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Background to the review

- On 8 January 2014, a major incident was declared at RVH due to the number of people waiting in the ED department
- On 17 February 2014, RQIA was commissioned by the Minister to review the arrangements for the management and coordination of unscheduled care in the Belfast Health and Social Care Trust and across the wider HSC
- Fieldwork for the review has been taking place from March to May 2014
- RQIA to report to the Minister by 15 June 2014
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Regional Terms of Reference

• “To consider the operation of the Belfast Trust Emergency Departments in the regional context, in order to assess current practice in unscheduled care services and to identify how existing best practice might be applied through learning across the region.”

• “To review the effectiveness of the arrangements in place for the regional coordination of unscheduled and emergency care, including primary care and ambulance services regionally and arrangements for regional escalation when required. This will include consideration of the effectiveness of planning for periods of increased demand.”
Approach to the review

• Goal is to promote **Learning and Improvement**

• Methods:
  – Collect and analyse data
  – Organisational questionnaires
  – Visits and meetings
  – Workshops and summits
  – Sharing and testing ideas and approaches

• A major focus is on **Understanding and Improving Patient Flows**
Aims for today

• To learn about a major project designed to test approaches to improve flows
• To share experience from recent initiatives across Northern Ireland designed to improve flows, and thus enhance patient safety and patient experience
• To consider some emerging challenges
• To help inform the recommendations for the review
Improving Flow Initiatives

- New System Designs
- New Hospital Doors
- New Ambulatory Services
- Tackling local bottlenecks
- Major ICT Initiatives
- New roles for staff
- Remodelling Bed Profiles
- Improving Coordination

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
Pre-lunch exercise
Where are the main bottlenecks?

- Consider a hospital you know well
- Consider the journey of an older person, living in a nursing home, with an acute exacerbation of a chronic illness, who is brought to the hospital
- Where do you think will be the single most significant bottleneck for that patient’s journey?
- Place an X on the flow diagram at that position and indicate the hospital(s)
- Remember you are only allowed one X!
RQIA Unscheduled Care Review

Feedback session

Emerging challenges and possible recommendations
Possible Success Factors

- Everyone understands how system works and their role
- Escalation and Contingency plans in place and acted on
- Robust Discharge processes
- Relevant information is acted on
- Innovative models are tested and implemented
- Consistency of approach to flows
- Sufficient staff in critical areas
- Clear System Vision and Design
- System is stable
- System is well integrated
- Balance between flows and capacity
- Different patient flow streams are understood and addressed
- Clear leadership and responsibility for Flow
- Agreed rules are implemented
Each hospital is different with a unique mix of challenges

- Catchment geographies
- Demographic patterns
- Socio-economic patterns
- Disease patterns of patients in catchments
- Sizes and shapes of hospital
- Specialties provided
- Degree of integration with community services
- Staff recruitment and retention

*Leading to differences in Flow patterns and possibly different Bottlenecks?*
Generic Flow Challenges

1. Emergency or Urgent?

- Throughout the patient journey, Emergencies are prioritised over Urgent patients
  - Nursing homes call 999 or GP
  - GP call 999 or GP Urgent to NIAS
  - NIAS prioritise by emergency category
  - ED triage prioritise by emergency category
  - Admission for specific emergencies and direct specialist service are prioritised
  - Emergency patients prioritised when in hospital

- Impacts
  - Rapid access to care for emergency cases
  - Slower access and flow for urgent patients
Generic Flow Challenges

2. Timing of flows

• People told us:
  – Patients are arriving at hospitals late afternoon
  – Ambulances are arriving in “batches”
  – Transfers in and between hospitals late in pm
  – Discharges are delayed to later in day
  – Very short windows for patients flows

• Impacts:
  – Unnecessary overnight stays
  – Late transfers of patients
  – Services working later in evening and harder to staff
  – Lack of available transport at key times
Generic Flow Challenges

3. Changing models of Assessment

- New models are emerging, designed to provide rapid access to specialist assessment
  - Community and ambulatory assessment services
  - Specialist assessment and admission units
  - Specialty assessment teams to EDs/AMUs

- Impacts
  - System flows are becoming increasingly complex
  - Changes in the number of steps in patient journey flows both up and down
  - Significant changes in case mix in hospital wards
  - Challenges in providing the staff needed for 7 day cover
  - Roles of generalists and specialists in period of change
  - Need to ensure patients arrive at the “Right Door”
Generic Flow Challenges

4. Coordination of flows

• People told us:
  – Coordination of flows to, in, between and out of hospitals now critical to success
  – Real time information is vital to function
  – There are challenges at boundaries, within and between services, in maintaining flows
  – Lack of systems for cooperation across region and clarity of roles when there are major periods of demand

• Impacts
  – System response challenges during peak periods of pressure impacting on more than one organisation
  – Improvements in coordination are leading to improvements in flows
Generic Flow Challenges
5. Impacts on other key functions

- Changing Unscheduled care flows are impacting on the **What, Who, How, Where & When** of service delivery
- Changing requirements for other key functions including Diagnostics, HR and Training
- Impacts:
  - Challenges in matching diagnostic services to demands at key steps in the patient journey
  - Challenges in ensuring the right staff are available at the right time in the right place
  - May be very different training roles and experience in different units, depending on local service models
Learning from “Improving Patient Flow”

- Key Learning Points
  - Working on flows is crucial
  - Measurement and analysis is key
  - Involve stakeholders ‘up and down stream” to identify problems
  - Use a combination of changes
Wider implications of reorganising services to optimise flow

- Change thinking about how organisations work
- Understanding overall impact on cost
- Apply the ‘flow lens’ to all aspects of an organisation
- Managing complex change
- Generating the will for change
- Building capability
- Context and culture
- Achieving impact takes time
- Taking a system approach for executive leaders
Possible regional recommendations from the RQIA Unscheduled Care Review

- Regional lead and organisational task group to tackle generic system issues including
  - Ensuring patients arrive as early as possible
  - Reviewing regional coordination and escalation
  - Enhancing training and service coordination
  - Ensuring approaches in place on key staffing issues
  - Agreeing information exchange arrangements

- Establish a collaborative approach to building capacity across organisations in flow management
  - Building skills in analysis and management of flows
  - Sharing learning on local and national initiatives
Next steps

- On-going work with Belfast Trust teams to inform the report of the review
- College of Emergency Medicine Follow Up regional workshop June 2014
- Review report being prepared over next 2 weeks
- Report to be with Minister by 15 June
  - Some immediate steps to prepare for next winter
  - Emphasise need for longer term sustained programme of action on flow to and within hospitals as part of taking forward both *Quality 2020* and *Transforming Your Care*
Presentation

- Programme Treatment Unit (PTU) – Dr Johnny Cash

- Emergency Surgical Unit (EmSU) – Caroline Leonard

- Older People’s Timely, Interventional and Management Service (OPTIMAL7) – Dr Paul Turkington
Programmed Treatment Unit

BHSCT

2010-present
The Challenge

- Perfectly justified patient complaint
- Outdated and unsafe service
  - No protocol
  - No governance
Small beginnings

- 2 chairs
- 1 bank nurse – dedicated training
- Protocol for safety
Winning hearts and Minds

- Liver transplantation assessments added
  - 1 patient per week
  - Negotiated dedicated slots for tests
  - Now 40+ per annum = 280+ bed days saved

Feb – Aug 2009: 31 patients – mean LOS 11.55 days
Feb – Aug 2012: 33 patients – mean LOS 0.25 days
PTU users group and governance

Operational Policy

Programmed Treatment Unit
Acute Services
Royal Victoria Hospital
Unit Growth

- 2 beds added
- Day case Paracentesis added with protocol adapted from Hammersmith
- Procedures and interventions requiring short admissions to hospital targeted

Day Case Abdominal Paracentesis for patients with refractory ascites

March 2012
W.J Cash
Challenges of a Nomadic existence

- Several moves required to ensure growth
  Appointment of a charge nurse and nursing team

- Ultimately housed in current location
  Full refurbishment of derelict area
  - Estates
  - Pharmacy regulations
  - Infection control

  Acquisition of furniture computers etc
  budget for consumables?
  designated clerical staff
  designated cleaning staff
  designated portering service
Patient episodes continues to grow
Range and growth of services

- Liver transplant assessments
- Paracentesis
- Infusions
- Venesections
- Diagnostic tests
- Lumbar puncture
- ERCP
- Liver biopsy
- PEG attenuation
- Lung biopsies
- Transfusions
  - NB Interface with primary care
Range and Growth of services

New and growing users
Safety and Quality

● PTU users group

● Active audit program

● E.g. Venesection audit
  2007
    ● 72% patients met national targets *(published in journal hepatology)*
  2011/12
    ● 100% patients met same targets *(to be presented as abstract at ISG June 2014)*
The Future?

- Pathways with primary care to diminish ED attendances
  Now providing blood transfusions for primary care

- Pathways with ED to reduce admission rates

- Continued engagement with clinical teams to reduce unnecessary admissions and reduce length of stay
  Ambulatory workshops etc

SAFETY    SAFETY    SAFETY
Thank you
Reshaping Emergency General Surgery

Caroline Leonard
Co-Director Surgery & Specialist Services
BHSCT General Surgery - Context

19 General Surgeons, 148 beds
3 hospitals (BCH, Mater & RVH)
8,498 FCEs)
4,457 Theatre Visits
Budget £18 million
Drivers for change
Quality / Patient Risk

• “Those requiring emergency surgical assessment or treatment are among the sickest patients in the NHS. Often elderly, frail and with significant comorbidities, the risk of death or serious complication is unacceptably high.”
  Royal College of Surgeons 2011

• “There is too often a dependency on doctors in training to provide service; they may be exposed to circumstances beyond their capability; the necessary senior clinical leadership and wisdom is absent at times when it is most needed and could be most effective. The service is at its most fragile overnight and at weekends.”
  Royal College of Surgeons 2011

• Surgeons believe that dedicated operating theatre time for emergency cases; better care for high risk patients before and after surgery; and greater availability of consultants in a dedicated Assessment Unit would save lives and shorten hospital stays for emergency patients
Drivers for change
Access to theatre, elective cancellations and multiple ED readmissions

- Less than 5% operated on first index admission
  700 acute abdominal pain (colic and cholecystitis)
  300 acute appendicitis

- Surgery often performed after hours
  (an increased variation in outcomes such as LoS, re-admission and mortality rates)

- Uncertainty and stress for patients awaiting surgery - often with multiple readmissions whilst awaiting definitive treatment

- Poor 4 hour ED Performance (20% of attendances require surgical opinion = 29,000 PA)

- NIAS Patient transfers between sites both in and out of hours (20/30 per day)
Drivers for change

Separation of emergency and elective surgery

- Emergencies compete with elective patients
- Upset of elective cancellations to individuals and cost
- Dedicated beds, theatres and staff for either elective or emergency surgery can reduce cancellations and delays, achieve more predictable levels of work, and provide supervised training opportunities
Figure 1 Benefits of Emergency Surgery Redesign

**Patients & Families**
- Predictability of Surgery
- Reduced delay to Surgery
- Improved Safety of Surgery
- Safer Inter-hospital transfer

**Surgeons**
- Predictability of Surgery
- Improved workload balance
- Less night-time surgery
- Less "waiting"
- Improved Retention of Peers

**Teams**
- Predictability of Surgery
- Less out-of-hours OT work
- Staff Retention
- Protocol-directed clinical care
- Concentrated training in ES
- Fewer unplanned OT sessions/hours
- Reduced cancellation of electives
- Improved Emergency OT utilisation

**Hospital Administration**
- Reduced out-of-hours staffing
- Reduced out-of-hours call-backs
- Reduced radiology costs
- Reduced pathology costs
- Reduced pre-op LOS
- Reduced delay in ED

**Benefits**

---

HSC Belfast Health and Social Care Trust
Emergency Surgery standards

- National recommendations state that consultants should be available on site for emergency admissions.
- Best practice recommendations state that emergency admissions should be seen by a consultant within 12 hours.
- On-take consultants should have no other planned commitments when they are responsible for emergency admissions.
- Best practice is for twice daily ward rounds to take place, seven days a week.
Emergency Surgery Redesign: KPIs

- Timeliness of surgery and better access to theatres
- Surgery on first admission
- Better access to consultant care
- Better monitoring of emergency patients
- Timely Discharge
- Reduced Inpatient length of stay
- Fewer elective cancellations
- Fewer operations out of hours
- Fewer transfers between sites
- Cost reduction
General Surgery - Redesign

Aim: An Elective/Emergency Spilt

- To use the consultant of the week model to establish the EmSU at RVH for rapid assessment & treatment of general surgery non-elective admissions

- To develop **Specialist Elective Units** for colorectal/IF surgery and oesophagogastric surgery in BCH

- To develop a specialist unit for the delivery of day case/23 hour stay in the Mater Hospital
Phase 1: June 2013

- Cessation of alternative RVH/BCH Gen Surgical take
- A dedicated environment for the assessment & management of the BCH/RVH gen surgery take-in at RVH (EmSU)
- All OG cancers treated on BCH site by a team of four dedicated surgeons

Phase 2: October 2013

- Cessation of MIH Gen Surgical take
- MIH ED transfers to EmSU
- All elective Colorectal and IF surgery transferred to BCH site
Flow of surgical patients in previous system

- Emergency patients
  - Royal (colorectal, oesophagogastric, general, Endocrine)
  - City (colorectal, oesophagogastric, general)
  - Mater (colorectal, HPB, general)
- Elective patients

Alternate days connections between Royal, City, and Mater.
Flow of surgical patients in the new model
Emergency Surgical Unit (EmSU)

- 56 beds (47 inpatients, 9 assessment)
- Managed by two consultants of the week continuously.
- 20 hrs allocated to urgent bookable/emergency theatre sessions (5 x CEPOD lists per week) plus 24/7 emergency theatre access
- Out of hours cover 1 in 7 UGI surgeon rota [OG and HPB] & 1 in 7 Colorectal surgeon rota covering the Trust.
- Assessment area: one port of entry, focused assessment, treatment plan initiated.
- Focus on optimising the Patient Journey, Care Pathways and new ways of working.
Patient & staff input to improve systems and processes

Acute cholecystitis, Obstruction of the colon, Upper GI bleeding, Chronic Pancreatitis, Surviving Sepsis, Pain linked to potential Gallstones, Mechanical Bowel Obstruction and Diverticulitis

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust
New Ways of Working

- GP Referral
- A&E Referral
- EmSU
- Hot Clinic Assessment
- Further Review
- Discharge
- Elective List
- GP
- Home
- Ward Admission
- Theatre
- Refer to another specialty
- Day Case Discharge
- 9 bedded Assessment Area
- EmSU Admission

HSC Belfast Health and Social Care Trust
Activity

EmSU Activity June to Sept 2013

- 2822 attendances referral from ED or GP
- 2306 overnight admissions
- 516 patients discharged same day
- 431 patients treated & discharged in hot clinics
- 112 patients sent directly to theatres (June-Sept 2013)
## Measuring Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non- Elective LOS</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Elective Zero LoS</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Activity</td>
<td>2427</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>3701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mortality Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Readmission Rate</th>
<th>Peer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.20%</td>
<td>6.10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measuring Success

**Less Clinical Risk**
- Rapid assessment and immediate referral to the right specialty team
- Improved access to laparoscopic surgery by senior surgeons
- 112 operated on first admission
- Significant reduction in number operated on OOH

**Efficiency**
- Significant increase in patients discharged same day
- Significant reduction in patient length of stay
- Reduction in ED readmissions
- Junior Doctor F1 rota compliance

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust
Conclusion

- Safer system
- Popular with patients and relatives
- Greater team working
- Better for emergency patients
- Improved efficiency

Next Steps

- Evaluation against KPIs
- EmSU Improvement Team
- Key clinical relationships – ED, Theatres, Imaging, Diagnostics
Outline

- Aspirations of how frail older people should be managed
- Recent redesign in services across the trust
- Design of OPTIMAL7
- Early experience
- Future direction
How should frail older people be managed?

Making our health and care systems fit for an ageing population

Authors
David Oliver
Catherine Foot
Richard Humphries

The King's Fund
Ideas that change health care

HSC Belfast Health and Social Care Trust
How should frail older people be managed?

1. Use CGA
2. Focus on frailty
3. Specialist elderly care wards
4. Liaison services / specialist advice
5. Maximising continuity of care / minimising ward moves
6. Improving safety
7. Minimising harms of hospitalisation
8. Improved care for inpatients with dementia / mental health problems
9. Focus on dignified person-centred care
Service redesign

- Many changes and initiatives within BHSCT over last number of years to improve quality

- Some issues for Older peoples services

  Geriatrician in the AMU no longer linked specifically with specialist teams nor in a dedicated ward environment

  Inpatient bed base for older people mostly on BCH and MPH sites – issues with continuity, transfers, delays
OPTIMAL7

Older People’s Timely Intervention, Management and Admission service on Level 7 South BCH

- Started March 2014
- To streamline access to elderly care wards for older persons in need of input from a Geriatrician
- Start up
- How are patients identified
OPTIMAL7 - Performance

- Positive feedback from patients and primary care
- Wide range of GP practices engaging with service
Where next?

- Still relatively small numbers
- Need to capture more individuals who can benefit
- Need to move to 24/7
- Engagement with NIAS
- Redesign and simplify access to older peoples services
  - Incorporate OPC and MACC services in one place
BCH Age Centre

Assessment Area (1 South)

- 3 COE wards (7N, 7S, 6S)
- GP
- NIAS
- Admit

Next day rapid access assessment (admission avoidance)

- Urgent care at home
- MACC
- Meadowlands
- Community rehab teams
- Residential beds
- Re-ablement

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust
Questions?

- To discuss and arrange direct admission: 07917244532
Northern HSC Trust
Unscheduled Care Review

HSC Summit Event
19th May 2014
Strategic Context

• 3 independent reviews in 2012/13
  o Dr Rutter (Primary Care / AAH interface)
  o M Hinds (Unscheduled Care Pathway at AAH)
  o S Page (3 Stage process for Trustwide improvement)

• Changes in Senior Leadership
  o Chief executive leaves organisation
  o Turnaround Team appointed in May 2013
    ▪ 2 Senior Directors and 2 AD’s
  o Interim medical director appointment (May 2013 / Feb 14)
  o New Director AHS appointed (Dec 2012/Jan 2014)
Strategic Context

- **Turnaround Report (2013)**
  - **Phase 1** - the outcome for Phase 1 should be both acute hospital sites and PCCOPS operating efficiently and effectively as independent business units however the interface between clinical teams must be seamless
  - **Phase 2** - undertake service reviews to re-integrate Trust
  - **Phase 3** – TYC focus

Bi-weekly meeting with focus on detailed improvement plan, which had specific improvements, with nominated leads and timescale, chaired by Senior Director for Turnaround
## Summary of Unscheduled Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>ED Attendances</th>
<th>4 Hour Performance</th>
<th>12 Hour Breaches</th>
<th>Unscheduled Admissions</th>
<th>Unscheduled ALoS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AAH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11*</td>
<td>70,902</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>2,440</td>
<td>24,465</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>71,175</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>3,041</td>
<td>25,315</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>70,859</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>1,810</td>
<td>26,892</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>72,037</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>28,199</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **CAU** |                |                    |                  |                        |                  |
|---------|                |                    |                  |                        |                  |
| 2010/11*| 43,695         | 86%                | 319              | 9,922                  | 5.2              |
| 2011/12 | 43,080         | 83%                | 1,020            | 9,751                  | 5.7              |
| 2012/13 | 42,774         | 80%                | 726              | 10,520                 | 5.2              |
| 2013/14 | 41,798         | 79%                | 171              | 10,483                 | 4.8              |

*In summer 2010, Mid-Ulster and Whiteabbey A&E’s downgraded to MIU Service*
Focus for Today

1. GP direct access for assessment
   • The Assessment Unit

2. Bed Re-modelling
   • Unscheduled Care Admissions Pathway Reform

3. Improving Discharges at Weekends
   • Utilisation of Discharge Doctor

4. Causeway improvements
Focus for Today

1. GP direct access for assessment
   • *The Assessment Unit*

2. Bed Re-modelling
   • *Unscheduled Care Admissions Pathway Reform*

3. Improving Discharges at Weekends
   • *Utilisation of Discharge Doctor*

4. Causeway improvements
The Assessment Unit – the starting pilot

• A **dedicated assessment area** in the Acute Medical Unit (AMU) with a combination of chairs and trolleys, protected for the use of assessing medical patients referred into the hospital by GPs (October 2012).
• Available 11am - 5pm - Unit had 4 trolleys, 3 chairs
• GPs could call a **direct number**, speak to a **senior doctor** and have a clinical discussion regarding the patient.
• Following the conversation, if the patient needed a medical assessment they came directly to Assessment Unit where they were assessed by the senior doctor, with investigations starting immediately.
• Thus better patient experience and reduction in referrals to ED
• **Previous to Assessment Unit**, these patients would have attended Antrim ED.
The Assessment Unit – beyond the pilot

- In April 2013, new (replacement) ward opened
- Assessment Unit relocated from temporary home to dedicated floor space
- Moved again to old ED in September 2013
- Allowed for expansion of service to M-F 9am -6pm
- Introduction of Rapid Access Medical Clinic (RAC)
  - Significant challenge in defining cohort of patients, not so sick that requires seen today but too sick to wait for regular OP.
  - RAC used by ED as admission avoidance and by hospital teams to support early discharge (rapid review in RAC)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Contacts</th>
<th>Acute Assessment</th>
<th>Admissions</th>
<th>Discharges</th>
<th>Discharge Rate</th>
<th>RAC Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2776</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*discharges as % of total arrivals in unit, not as % of contacts with service
What have the Patients said...

- Retrospective survey sent to 200 random patients
- 111 replies (56% return rate)
- 88.3% reported experience as excellent or good
- 2.7% recorded a poor experience
Focus for Today

1. GP direct access for assessment
   - *The Assessment Unit*

2. Bed Re-modelling
   - *Unscheduled Care Admissions Pathway Reform*

3. Improving Discharges at Weekends
   - *Utilisation of Discharge Doctor*

4. Causeway improvements
Bed Readjustment – pathway reform

- Understanding what is coming in the door?
- Not all patients going to right beds
- AMU too big for resource covering
- Respiratory too small – constant outliers
- What resource you have available?
- Safari ward rounds
- Inbred inefficiency
HRG Analysis

- Any change must be driven by evidence and supported by robust data
- HRG analysis of admissions and converted to bed stock required for each specialty
- Showed specialty bed day utilisation
- This said we needed to double dedicate respiratory bed base
- Had to be considered in line with new (not additional) ward opening
Bed Remodelling – what we did?

- Data and paper of analysis presented to clinical leads on Antrim site
- Clinical leads had ownership of the reform and improvement
- Weekly meetings over a number of weeks to iron out operational issues
  - Definition of criteria for admission directly to specialty bed
  - Triage / handover of patient?
  - OOH arrangements
  - Ownership of outliers?
  - Reallocation of juniors
  - Consultant on call
  - Funded v non-funded bed stock
Operational Issues

• Definition of criteria for direct admission
  • Each specialty defined cohort of patients who would benefit most from earliest intervention

• Reallocation of juniors
  • Reallocated on basis on changing bed stock, e.g. reduction to 1 AMU, acute team gave away junior and respiratory team gained as bed stock doubled

• OOH arrangement
  • Medical specialties operates H@N model; thus after 8pm all admissions through Acute Medical Unit for cohorting of sick patients for safety reasons

• Ownership of Outliers
  • Specifically in relation to outliers from Acute Medical Unit
Operational Issues

• Triage / Handover / Review of Patients
  • H@N Handover meeting
  • 8AM – 4 take medical consultants present
  • Patients commence journey with most appropriate specialty
  • Previously this arrangement was a bit ad hoc
  • Teams had to arrange to ensure all patients admitted to specialty ward were seen same day
  • Medical wards implemented morning ward round and PM review of new and board round of ward, a practice which was already well established in Surgery and was made more robust through this process
  • Ward rounds and same day review became challenge when demand got even greater (winter) - “stretching the elastic”
Antrim Area Hospital Configuration

- 302 funded beds
- 355 adult operational beds (March 2014)
- 274 medical beds – 54 COE, 175 medical specialties, 35 cardiology, 10 observation beds
- 71 surgical
- 10 gynae beds
- This is core bed stock – not counting ad hoc additional temporary beds and trolley waits bed days
- Hospital consistently running with minimum 334 beds open
- GP Access to Assessment Unit M-F, 9.00-18.00
- Below shows number of beds required since 2009 (unscheduled only) at 85% and 90% occupancy on HRG analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Bed Required / Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALoS</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Focus for Today’s ...

1. GP direct access for assessment
   - The Assessment Unit
2. Bed Re-modelling
   - Unscheduled Care Admissions Pathway Reform
3. Improving Discharges at Weekends
   - Utilisation of Discharge Doctor
4. Causeway improvements
Weekend Discharges and Discharge Planning

- Weekend discharging does not just happen at the weekend – needed focus effort and plan
- Starts with weekend discharge planning meeting – ensure plans in place as required
- Discharging patients can be a complex task involving multiple professional groups
  - Increased consultant presence
  - Discharge doctor (middle grade)
  - HSW / OT / physio have dedicated weekend discharge
  - Increased pharmacy availability
  - ICC on site with greater community services
  - Senior manager on site support
Discharge Planning Meeting

- Consistent and focused
- Led by senior operational management
- Outcome is clear plan for all patients to go home with actions identified
- List of patients prepared for weekend discharge doctor
- Followed through on Saturday and Sunday
Specialty Discharge Doctor

- SD from 10.00-16.00 at weekends on both sites
- Allows juniors to support consultant ward rounds with increase consultant presence at weekends
- SD will ensure those identified for discharge go on basis of weekend handover
- Cost pressure – reliance on locum (in house), at times challenging to fill
- Performance can be person specific (drawback of not a substantive role function)
- 13/14 saw 8% increase in weekend discharges vs 12/13.
A few other initiatives...

- **Crisis response**
  - Currently a specialty doctor from CRT working in ED, plans to relocate CRT to Antrim site to improve response times, flexibility within team and co-ordination of care

- **Old age psychiatrist**
  - Consultant available on site to support management of elderly patients requiring specialist mental health issues. Invaluable asset across site supporting delivery of high quality care

- **Community rapid response**
  - Pilot from September 2013 to allow GPs, extending to ED and Assessment Unit to have patient need assessed in their home within 1 hour of referral to prevent unnecessary ED attendances and hospital admissions
A few more initiatives...

- **Diagnostics** – additional CT/USS at weekend
  - This ensures reduced non-value time in patient journey and also supports weekend discharge
  - GP have direct access to a range of diagnostic tests, including over 4200 plain film referrals in 2013

- **Up stream social intervention**
  - SSW aware of every potential EOAE and up stream work to prevent unnecessary delays. Information passed through daily planning meetings

- **Qlikview**
  - Use of technology to inform senior staff when pressures and beginning to build, specifically in relation to patients waiting on an admission bed.
Focus for Today

1. GP direct access for assessment
   • *The Assessment Unit*

2. Bed Re-modelling
   • *Unscheduled Care Admissions Pathway Reform*

3. Improving Discharges at Weekends
   • *Utilisation of Discharge Doctor*

4. Causeway improvements
What has happened in Causeway?

- Still significant challenges with recruitment and retention
- Site management – management based on site
- Doctor in charge – direct support and challenge
- Daily 8.30 meetings with clinical and management teams
- Fortnightly team meetings to resolve issues on site
- Discharge doctor at weekend
- Onsite management presences daily including weekend
What next...?

- Site management Antrim
- Person in charge – complete oversight of site every day
- Morning safety huddle - reflect on past 24 hours i.e. flow, safety, events, issues
- Review daily operational schedules
- Admission avoidance – OPALS, ambulatory care
- Continue to negotiate sufficient bed stock and associated resources
Thank you very much!

Questions?
Transforming our Patient Flow processes and links with community

Caitriona McGoldrick
Catriona Kavanagh
Charlotte–Anne Wells

Monday 19th May 2014
Historically Southern Trust performed well in terms of Unscheduled Care access standards across the patient’s journey. Strong 12 hour position, however performance with 4 hour position continues to be a challenge and must be improved. Strong operational focus on patient flow daily. Strong links across Directorates and shared ownership for flow. However, there continues to be pressures in the system and therefore there was a need for transformational change to move us to a more sustainable and improved position.
Our approach

- Wanted to build on the strong foundations we already had in place – operational focus on flow and strong links with other Directorates

- Modernise and reform our processes to add value across the system – focus on the patient and make the job easier for staff

- Maximise the opportunities offered by new technologies

- Simplify and streamline our processes where possible
Key Developments

- Implementation of IMMIX Flow
  - System to support the complete patient flow process from the Emergency Department to Discharge
  - Also incorporates a module we call Clinical Noting which supports medical staff for key tasks including allocation of work and handover

- Implementation of an Information Hub
  - Improving communication between acute and community services
  - Embedded the use of Estimated Date of Discharge (EDD)
  - Supporting proactive discharge planning
Implementing IMMIX Flow

Catriona Kavanagh
Challenges for Flow

- Repetitive, Illegible, Heavy, Hard to store & Vital information lost
- Interactions untraceable
- Saves space, Creates efficiencies, Easier and safer, Primary and secondary care/interdepartment
- Right information, Right patient, Right time, Right location
Embracing Technology

- Existing processes labour intensive
- Find a smarter and more efficient way of working
- How do we release time to care – patient focus
- We need to plan ahead and develop more proactive systems instead reacting to issues
- Create a solid IT infrastructure on which we can build new developments to meet emerging needs
- Need for robust information allow us to plan and improve
Fundamentals for Patient Flow

- Electronic bed management system
- System to manage patient pathways
- Instant snapshot of bed availability and occupancy
- Multi-disciplinary info on any patient on a ward
- Information accessible from any Trust PC
- Slicker and smarter processes for staff
- Be able to trace a patients journey at the click of a button
This is what we had....
## Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues with white board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcribing errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple phone calls to check receive information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewable at only one point / out of date information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time wasted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually confusing and all information viewable by public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscommunications/ inconsistent patient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to track orders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solutions with flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics always correct as PAS fed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to the second live data transmitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All information viewable and can be updated from any PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetically pleasing, sensitive data only viewable by permitted individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information standardisation/Configurable to wards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full audit trail on all transactions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Simplifying the process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLD PROCESS</th>
<th>New process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 Forms</td>
<td>1 Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Phone calls / bleeps</td>
<td>1 Phone call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple emails</td>
<td>Information available at a click</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Beds: 401

- Occupied: 339
  - 169 Male
  - 170 Female

- Closed: 1
  - 1 Male
  - 0 Female

- Empty: 61
  - 36 Male
  - 25 Female

- 85% Occupied
- 0% Closed
- 15% Empty

- 5 Delayed Discharge
- 38 EDD Today
- 5 PDT Today
- 43 Average Stay (Live)
- 0 Average Stay (Week)
- 0 Average Stay (Month)
- 1 No EDD Set
- 22.9% Discharge Before 11 Today
- 24.2% Discharge at Weekend
Bed Request Form

Admission from
A&E

Reason for admission
Broken arm

Admission notes
Low B/P, open wound

Department
Surgery

Hospital
CRAIGAVON AREA HOSPITAL

Has the patient been seen by a consultant?
Yes

Search for Consultant
WEIR - MR C.D.

Following assessment of vomiting and diarrhoea is isolation required?
No
Smith, Mia (Ms.)  
WEIR MR C.D. -- CAH TRAUMA WARD  

Born 15-Sep-1997 (15y)  
Gender Female  
H+C No 1900398850  
PMI No

Address: 20 Benfield Road, Gelilo...  
Phone and email: 077 4584 6251  
Next of Kin:  
GP Quinn Dr R P Unknown  
Allergy status unknown

**Current Admission**  
Patient Status: Inpatient (Normal)

- [ ] Does the patient have diarrhoea?  
- [ ] FP  
- [ ] LCP  
- [ ] QB?  
- [ ] DNR  
- [ ] Deceased  
- [x] EDD  
  
  September 14, 2013

- [ ] Infection Control Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Admission date</th>
<th>Reason for admission</th>
<th>Discharge Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-Sep-2013</td>
<td>Broken arm</td>
<td>Summary in Progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Previous Events**

- **Inpatients**  
- **Outpatients**  
- **Other**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Reason for adm.</th>
<th>Diagnosis on Discharge</th>
<th>Notes and Tasks</th>
<th>Discharge Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-Jul-2010 - 22-Jul-2010 PAEDIATRIC ALJARAD DR. B</td>
<td>prolonged seizure</td>
<td>Prolonged focal seizure</td>
<td>1 Notes and 8 tasks</td>
<td>Completed Summary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patient Notes**

- Add: [ ] Note  
- Task

- (choose one)

- Assign to

- Priority

  - [ ]

- Add

- Clear

**Showing completed tasks**

- [ ]

**Combined**  

- Tasks  
- Notes  
- Observations

- 1  
  - 5-Sep-2013 12:45  
    - Created by: Southern Trust Demo Account  
    - Blood cultures need to be taken  
    - Assigned to: Cathy Newell Hadden

- 2  
  - 5-Sep-2013 12:45  
    - Created by: Southern Trust Demo Account  
    - Please order MRI and have results for ward round.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward Description</th>
<th>Bed Capacity</th>
<th>Occupied</th>
<th>Bay Beds Available</th>
<th>Side Beds Available</th>
<th>Closed</th>
<th>Discharge Today</th>
<th>Potential Discharge Today</th>
<th>Delayed Discharge (EDD)</th>
<th>TCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(CDL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 NORTH CMED DAYCASE WARD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 NORTH HEMATOLOGY &amp; MEDICINE</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 NORTH MEDICINE (RESPIRATORY)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 SOUTH GERIATRIC RESPIRATORY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 SOUTH STROKE ACUTE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 SOUTH ELECTIVE WARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3SS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4N</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAH DAY CLINICAL CENTRE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAH DERMATOLOGY WARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAH ED Transfer Ward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAH ORTHOPAEDIC WARD</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAH TRAUMA WARD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATH LAB WARD, CAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCU/CARDIOLOGY 1 NORTH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLINICAL DECISION UNIT SHORT S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVALESCENCE UNIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONNAUGHT MANDEVILLE UNIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progress with IMMIX Flow

- Major focus on realtime information on Patient Administration System (PAS)

- Electronic Whiteboards operational in all wards and in our Emergency Departments – both CAH and DHH

- Patient flow staff now using hand held devices

- Focus now on increased rollout of Clinical Noting

- Real team effort in delivering the change – significant support from colleagues in IT Department
Feedback from nurses – more time to care

The productive ward is the initial ‘enabler’ which can be further advanced with the applied use of technology (i.e. build on the foundations)

“Everything I need to do my job is conveniently located.”

“The paperwork is easy to understand and quick to complete.”

“I am not interrupted by people requesting information or looking for things.”

“Handovers are concise, timely and provide all the information I need.”

“It is clear to everyone who is responsible for what.”

“We have the information we need to solve our own problems, and find out if we were successful.”
Implementing the Information Hub

Charlotte–Anne Wells
AIM

To support the proactive coordination of all complex and non-complex hospital discharges for patients aged over 65 years on both Acute Hospital sites.

The Southern Trust Information Hub provides a central point to coordinate patient information that is relevant and essential to patient care and facilitates safe and effective discharge planning.

Acute and Community Services work effectively together to allow the patient to achieve their full potential with the patient receiving the right service in the right place at the right time.
RAPID IMPROVEMENT DISCHARGE PROJECT: RATIONALE

- Discharge Workshop
  May 2013
- Acute and Older People Directorate
- Communication
- Integrated working
- Proactive coordination of Discharge
- Patient Centred
Email template completed by the keyworker and forwarded directly to the patients Acute location – establishes 2 way communication from admission

- Accommodation type
- NOK
- Main Carer
- Professionals involved
- Pre-admission level of function/independence
- Pre-admission cognitive status

Details of Care
- Equipment in place
- Risks
- Issues and or concerns relevant to discharge planning
- Contact details
WHERE ARE WE NOW?

- The ‘Hub’ is established
- Systems in place and developing further
- Integration into A&I
- Monitoring
- Pilot phase over

CHALLENGES

- Systems
- Staff application, utilisation, and compliance
- Key Performance Indicators
- Patient transfers
- EDD
It is great to be informed that patients have been admitted to hospital as we often do not find out until discharge! Also it helps in the discharge planning process if there are certain things the hospital staff need to be aware of, we can flag them up prior to discharge

“The Standard Operating Procedure............. means that when information requests arrive from the HUB they can be quickly identified and forwarded to the worker Named in the Subject Heading. The process also enables me (as a line manager) to check on a regular basis that emails are being returned to the Ward in a timely manner; as ......... also receives a copy of the reply to the ward”

Ward are making more appropriate referrals to hospital social worker due to info hub as they are quickly aware patient has a package of care (POC) which will need reviewed/restarted


I believe the improvement in interface between community and acute services had allowed for a better decision process within acute. This improved decision making has reduced the number of inappropriate referrals to intermediate care services and has streamlined the patient journey in terms of involving the right service at the right time to deal with patient discharge
Case study 1: Newly diagnosed Palliative patient

Hub alert - existing POC and named contacts in ICT

Discharge pathway - ICS for increase POC and a specialist bed/matress

Potential delayed discharge – bed ordered but not for delivery until following week

Direct contact with the named keyworker – necessary adjustments possible.

In this case the smooth and coordinated discharge of the patient with the people who knew her allowed her to die at home in accordance with her wishes – patients needs were met
Case Study 2: Potential delay, Home O2, Rails

Patient flow informed by Nursing staff of potential delay - home oxygen and a second handrail.

Using Hub information the keyworker was contacted directly - oxygen and rails were recently in place.

Liaison with named keyworker ensured that patients discharge was coordinated, smooth and timely.
Case Study 3 – Clinical Decision Unit – potential to discharge or admit

Telephone call to the ‘Hub’ to request if patient was known

Obtained details that patient was known to Reablement and was awaiting an OT home visit the following week

Ability to contact the named person in Reablement and Community OT

Patient went home same day
Some real examples..

Further Case study examples include:

Confirmation from OPPC re: the status of placements –

PVA issues forwarded directly to acute –

Up to date ICS forms, Reablement summaries being sent into Acute from OPPC

Transfer of information from Acute to non-Acute sites for continuity of care
Unlocking the Potential

- Expanding into other Directorates and systems: Mental Health and Disability, Enhanced Services..
- Expansion of the role within ED, CDU, Ambulatory Care & GLT
- Further development within Access and Information
- Link to IT developments: IMMIX, CIS, ECR
In summary

- Both major transformation projects have been implemented and are making a real difference.

- Once embedded, the changes will make our processes more sustainable and patient focussed.

- Creates further opportunities – for example, in due course we plan to integrate the Information Hub into IMMIX Flow.

- The opportunities are endless…
Thank you...
Emergency Care Summit
19th May 2014

Unscheduled Care Improvement
South Eastern Trust
Our Journey:

Internal turnaround team est Sept 13
Aim: To eliminate/reduce 12 hour waits
To refocus on 4 hour performance
Equal focus on safety/quality

Partnership with Alamac Feb 14
Aim: To sustain 12 hour performance
Improve 4 hour performance
Maintain safety/quality
Turnaround Overview:

• Governance Structures created:
  – Improvement Board monthly
  – Steering Group fortnightly
  – Project team weekly
• Assistant Director assigned to lead project
• Project team to support work established (AD Primary Care, ECR Manager, Planning staff, HR, Mgt Trainee)
• Critical friend procured to advise on best practice
Turnaround Focus

1. Enhanced focus on USC performance, safety, quality
2. Extensive staff engagement/communication
3. Identifying opportunities to improve collaboration between hospital and community/primary care
4. Challenging custom and practice where appropriate
5. Identifying “quick wins”, some small investments to gain confidence and kick start process
6. Greater focus on weekend performance
7. Targeted use of winter pressures funding
Turnaround Actions

1. **New or extended roles:** eg Trackers in ED, AD’S, Clinical Managers, AHP’s, Social Work on site at weekends

2. **New ways of working:**
   - Daily clinical handover at 11am in AMU
   - Pharmacy opened Sundays
   - Secured red cross service to transport patients home as alternative to NIAS
   - Extended admission criteria to community hospitals
Turnaround Actions

4. Improvements to systems and processes
   • Streamlined process for ordering equipment
   • Use of EPMS as a system for tracking patients through the journey and enabling electronic referrals for investigations

5. Improved relationships/processes with other hospitals:
   • Improvements in cardiac delays/ Belfast Trust
   • Better use of repatriation arrangements to Downe and LVH
Winter pressures funding/Nov 13

- 10 additional medical beds
- Extended pharmacy on Sun
- Extended pharmacy support for community
- Rapid Response Nursing
- Additional intermediate care beds
- AHP/Social work into community
- Red cross
Some results from turnaround

- 90% reduction in 12 hour waits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>No of 12 hour breaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr-13</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-13</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-13</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-13</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-13</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-13</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-13</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-14</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

South Eastern Health and Social Care Trust
Partnership with Alamac - Feb 14

Aims:
1. Sustainability of 12 hour position
2. Assist Trust to deliver improvement in 4 hour performance
3. Ensure safety and quality underpins all work
Alamac Methodology

• Understanding patient flow in numbers.
• Identifying ‘what good looks like’.
• Relentless daily focus on the numbers.
• Developing the art of prediction
• Encourages small step improvements
• If we fail, learn quickly and move on
Alamac (cont)

• Providing challenge
• Added independence
• Helping to drive cultural change.
• Assisting the teams to be accountable to one another.
• Replacing emotion, myth, anecdote with evidence and reality based on numbers
What it looks like on the ground...

- Approx 30 people inputting data on a “kitbag” daily ie ward managers, bed team, ED etc
- Call focuses on what actually happened the previous day and predicts the current day activity
- Plans agreed to ensure predictions happen
EXAMPLE

Each Ward inputs…..

• No of actual admissions previous day
• No of actual discharges previous day
• No of patents out before 11am previous day
• No of predicted discharges current day
Focus on predicting and planning...

- Outlier Plan-10 by Fri/20 by Mon
- Cap on complex delays.... 20 daily
- Discharge plan- 48 medical per day to ensure flow
- Weekend plan agreed each Fri based on knowledge of past week and predictions for Sat /Sun
Have agreed what good looks like for us…. but kept under review

- Triage > 85%
- Discharge -48 medical pts daily
- Complex pts - 8 discharges daily
- Outliers - 10 by Friday
- ED spaces at 8am > 18
- No. of pts waiting speciality beds < 30
Results: 4 weeks zero 12hrs

- Capacity created in the system - Winter beds now closed
Results: Improving 4hr waits...
Results: Weekday medical discharges >48

- Now striving for consistency
Results: No patients being cared for in non-designated bed spaces.
Demand: Increasing attendances but emergency admissions currently stable.
Next Steps.....

• Discharge lounge – 19\textsuperscript{th} May. To create bed capacity earlier in the day and push forward on “out before 11am”
• Bed management role to focus more into ED – flow out of ED
• Piloting new discharge co-ordinator role
• Community Hospitals to join daily call
Next steps....

4 hour plan in draft form by mid June to include:

- Refining of Triage processes
- Introduction of self registration kiosks
- Adaptations to clinical environment
- Review of staffing levels
- Ensure all improvement work reflects CEM standards for patient safety
So in summary........

12 hr performance
- 95% improvement in 12 hour performance
- Several zero 12 hour breach weeks Oct 13 to April 14. Have had 36 consecutive zero 12 hour breach days

4 hr performance
- 4 hr performance remains variable, but improvement evident. Ave now 73%. Working towards 85%.
What has worked

1. Dedicated management time on daily/weekly is key;
2. Dedicated resource vital in key areas – eg Tracker invaluable, Red Cross Ambulance Service, Discharge Manager, Clinical Manager at weekends to provide challenge focus;
3. Weekend funding of Pharmacy, AHP’s and SW enabling patients to be seen and discharged faster – better patient experience;
4. AMU new pull model improved clinical outcomes and faster result for some patients;
5. Alamac support has created sustained focus on “numbers” and kitbag approach has encouraged shared approach to ED pressure and ownership of patient flow across Directorates and out of Hospital.
6. Excellent clinical/management relationships
7. Strong hospital, community collaborative working
Challenges going forward

1. Sustaining improvement on 12 hour performance;
2. Development of plan to further improve 4 hour performance
3. Embedding turnaround work into normal business
4. Work with HSCB to agree current capacity demand shortfall in acute medical beds
5. Development of real time performance management systems to support unscheduled care
6. Delivering the “big ticket” reform of the system that is needed to meet ever more complex needs eg 7 day working, TYC etc
7. Getting the balance right between safety, quality, experience and quantitative improvements ie being driven by doing the right thing not by the target
THANK YOU FOR LISTENING
Workload

Attendances

- Workload values range from 0 to 70,000.
- Attendances from 2003 to 2013 show a general increasing trend.
Workload

- From 2003 – 2013: 26.10% increase
- From 2012 – 2013: 4.33% increase
- Acuity
Space

- Small department
- Interim measures – “Bolted-on areas”
- Refurbishment
- New Department
Staffing

- **Medical**

  Consultants:
  - 3 Substantive
  - 2 Locums
  - 1 Locum
  - 0 Locums
  - 1 Locum

  Middle Grade:
  - 1 Associate Specialist
  - 3 SAS/SG
  - 2 ST4+
  - 2 Locums

  “SHOs”:
  - 1 CT3 (EM)
  - 3 GPST1
  - 3 F2
  - 1 Locum
Staffing

Nursing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>WTE</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Band 7</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>WTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>WTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 5</td>
<td>25.62</td>
<td>WTE (Funded)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>WTE (Temporary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>WTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band 2</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>WTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ED Staffing

- Will not solve throughput.
- Is a safety/quality issue.
Performance

- 2013:
  - 95.59% against 12 hour access standard
  - 70.16% against 4 hour access standard

- 2014: (to 11 May)
  - 99.97% against 12 hour access standard
  - 69.72% against 4 hour access standard
Biggest Issues

- Approximately HALF of the patients who breach have been seen and are waiting for a bed.

- Approximately ONE THIRD of the patients who breach leave between 4 and 5 hours.
Historical Position

- Direct GP admissions to specialist wards
Then...

- Patients diverted to ED
- Resulting in GRIDLOCK
Response

- Acute Medical Unit - 22 Beds
  6 Assessment Trolleys

- Surgical Assessment Area
  3 Assessment Trolleys
  1 Chair (for R/V patients)
Aspiration

“Back to basics” - What is Emergency Medicine about?

- Larger, **fully resourced** Acute Medical Unit.
- Larger, **fully resourced** Surgical Assessment Unit.
- Separate area for e.g. Fracture reductions.

- ED no longer seen as everybody’s Assessment Area

- Alternative options for Primary Care
Unscheduled Care Project

- Informed/Supported by Director of Clinical Development, GMCSU.

- However, it is the Western Trust’s project.
Unscheduled Care Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>THEME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ACTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>New Policy, Practice and Support Tools</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Workforce</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Discharge Process</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Short Stay Treatment Pathways</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Capital Works</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bed Re-Modelling</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Acute Medical Unit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL 40
Unscheduled Care Project

- Some specifics:
  
  “Supernumerary” Resuscitation Room Nurses.

  Improve Streaming (ED refurbishment)

  Admission avoidance shouldn’t mean extra work in ED.

  Recruitment of ANPs
Any Questions?
Bed Remodelling

Number of Funded Beds and 85% Occupancy Bed numbers at Altnagelvin Hospital
Funded Beds and Bed numbers based on 85% Occupancy
bed numbers at Altnagelvin for some specialities
Escalation Plan

- Refine “Triggers.”

- Earlier activation.

- More proactive.
Any Questions?
The Flow Cost Quality Programme

Dr Jane Jones
Health Foundation
19 May 2014
The Health Foundation

The Health Foundation is an independent charity working to improve the quality of healthcare in the UK.

We want the UK to have a healthcare system of the highest possible quality – safe, effective, person-centred, timely, efficient and equitable.
What does the Health Foundation do?

We are here to inspire and create the space for people to make lasting improvements to health services.

We conduct research and evaluation, put ideas into practice through our improvement programmes, support and develop leaders and share evidence to drive wider change to the quality of healthcare in the UK.

We also have two priority areas where we actively influence healthcare policy and practice: patient safety and person-centred care.
The Flow Cost Quality Programme

How a focus on patient flow improved the quality of the care and patient experience at a time of financial pressure
We need to act now

**Pressure on hospital capacity** is growing in all 4 health systems of UK

- All admissions up 3.7% in Northern Ireland between 2008/09 and 2012/13
- Emergency admissions up 12% since 2007/08 in England and 9% over last decade in Scotland

**Health budgets** across the UK have slowed in response to austerity

- Between 2010/11 and 2012/13, the annual rate of growth in cash terms were 2% in Northern Ireland, 1% in England and Scotland and in Wales a 1% reduction

The **population** is getting older, frailer with many living with multiple, long-term conditions

- Life expectancy 12 years longer than in 1948
- People over 60 yrs = nearly 25% of UK population and 50% have chronic illness
Interest in patient flow is rising

“Good patient flow through the hospital system can reduce costs and significantly improve patient outcomes; however patient flow is often impeded by inefficient hospital systems”

NHS England Urgent and Emergency Care Review Evidence Base, November 2013

“The smooth flow of patients through hospital from their initial attendance at the emergency department to eventual discharge is fundamental to the operation of an emergency department”

House of Commons Health Select Committee, July 2013

“We need to address workforce and demand issues at the ‘front door’ to ensure effective ‘flow’ and the efficient, safe and effective care of patients in emergency departments and acute medical units”

Urgent and emergency care: a prescription for the future, Royal College of Physicians, College of Emergency Medicine, Society for Acute Medicine, NHS Confederation, July 2013
In Northern Ireland

“Improved patient flow will help reduce the pressures on Trust Emergency Depts”

Northern Ireland Health and Social Care Board and Public Health Agency 2013-14 Commissioning Plan

In Scotland

“Designing care systems with effective patient flow is critical to the delivery of NHS Scotland's Quality ambitions of safe, person centred and effective healthcare.”

“The Whole System Patient Flow Improvement Programmes vision for NHS Scotland aims to move away from focusing on a specific areas of flow i.e. unscheduled or elective in isolation and will bring together a whole systems approach to patient flow designed to ensure patients receive the right care at the right time in the right place by the right team”.

NHS Scotland Quality Improvement Hub

In Wales

“A&E departments are under increasing pressure to effectively meet the needs of the people who use them. NHS Wales organisations are working together to identify the blockages and delays in their hospital systems, to identify ways of achieving smoother patient flow. This will improve patient outcomes and experience, and alleviate the pressure on staff.”

1000 Lives Plus
What was the Flow Cost Quality Programme?

2 NHS trusts:

- South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust
- Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust

Large scale implementation of known approach

Experimental and ambitious
Why did we focus on flow?

Poor systems deliver poor results – for patients, NHS staff and taxpayers

- **Complex systems**
  organised by function not pathways/value stream

- **Invisible problems**
  no one responsible or seeing the whole patient pathway
Flows in a Healthcare System
- how, when, where & who = impact quality

Diagram showing the flow of care from social services, long-term care, home, A&E & EAU, radiology/pathology, tests, specialist clinic, theatre, follow-up, discharge, and death.
Why did we focus on flow?

Poor systems deliver poor results – for patients, NHS staff and taxpayers

- Complex systems
  (organised by function not pathways/value stream)

- Invisible problems
  (no one person responsible or sees)

- Poor quality at many steps in care pathways
The quality triangle

The relationship between patient flow, quality and cost in a care system

Improving the quality of each task by 1% and removing 10% of tasks in a 100 step patient journey would result in 25 out of 1,000 patients receiving perfect care.
Focus on the patient: the case for change

Day 1
Sunday
Presents to A&E with melaena
Assessed by Dr 1
Transferred to Assessment unit and reassessed by Dr 2

Day 2
BH Monday
Consultant 1 Ward round a.m.
Endoscopy p.m.
Midnight transferred to Rheumatology ward

Day 3
Tuesday
Missed on round ‘Not his Consultant’
Reassessed by Dr 3

Day 4
Wednesday
Consultant 3 Stop aspirin and clopidogrel
Possible home tomorrow

Day 5
Thursday
Chance meeting with Consultant 3
Can’t go home
HB 8.00 g/dl transfusion required
Dr 4 Cross match 1st unit at 8 pm

Day 6
Friday
2nd unit Dr 5
Query needs Helicobacter eradication?

Day 7
Saturday
Home tomorrow
If Hb okay Blood check

Day 8
Sunday
Dr 6
Discharged with Helicobacter Eradication

Patient had negative breath test on endoscopy
Aspirin and clopidogrel required for cardiac stent
Patient’s wife consulted with Cardiology centre, not staff!

Quality System?
Quality outcome?
Quality experience?
The patient experience: an effective use of time and resources?

Value adding 34 hours 18% of time value adding
--------------------- ------------------ =
Non value adding 8 days x 24 hours

82% of time and resource wasted – A poor quality experience and outcome from a poor quality system
The programme rationale

Root cause of delays lies in planning process

Usual capacity plans based on average levels of past activity (patients seen), not on demand (requests for care)

Mismatched between daily variations in demand and staff capacity result in queues and waiting lists at every stage along patient’s pathway of care

Focusing on patient flow along the care pathway and taking a ‘whole systems’ approach ensures that capacity is better matched with demand
The flaw of averages

Basing service delivery on average weekly patient demand levels can cause a mismatch between service capacity and demand.
Aims of the programme

The teams were supported to:

• **understand the emergency care patient pathway** & how it relates to wider healthcare system

• **understand demand being placed on every organisation & department** from all sources (emergency, planned, outpatient & follow-up care)

• **develop capacity plans to meet variations in demand** & prevent queues – assess gap between current & required capacity

• **test impact of changes** to capacity by reducing capacity variations, improving productivity, & reallocating resources to where needed
The improvement approach

Require **systematic approach** when faced with complexity
( large organisations, numbers of people, complex processes)

Clinically driven – executive led/ facilitated

Underpinned by:
- **principles of lean,**
- **theory of constraints**
- **clinical systems improvement**

Different **programme management** approaches- traditional vs lean

- A3 process
- Use of ‘big room’ method and **visual management**
# The A3 process template

## Title of problem: Owner and date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 1: Issue or problem</th>
<th>Box 4: Current state map (current condition)</th>
<th>Box 7: Improvements required (countermeasures to reach the future state)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is happening currently?</td>
<td>What changes are required?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 2: Background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How has this problem come to light?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How important is it to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppliers?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 5: Analysis: DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Why are these problems happening?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 6: Future state map (target condition)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What would the process look like if all the waste was eliminated?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 8: Weekly review meetings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 9: Measures for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target condition achieved by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graph</td>
<td>Graph</td>
<td>Graph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Oobeya (big room) process

- Macro plan
- Weekly plan

See together

Learn together

Act together

Metrics

Models

Voice of the client

Problem solving wall
Case Study 1: Providing patients with early access to senior decision makers

The problem
- Frail elderly waiting in A&E <4 hrs hours before transfer to Assessment Unit (MAU)
- 2/3 admissions arrive in MAU after 6pm – only junior medical staff available.
Results in overnight stay to see consultant next day
- Further delay - first by physician before referral to geriatric medicine consultant

The solutions
- Changed consultant timetable to match admission patterns
- Geriatric medicine consultants available ‘at the front door’
- Faster turnaround process for diagnostic tests
- Dedicated MAU for frail older people established co-locating multi disciplinary team
- Pooled junior doctors to meet patient demand, eliminate repeated assessments
Case Study 2: Discharge to assess

The problem

Data - many frail older people spent several months in hospital

Case note analysis - multiple points at which could have been discharged discharge services unable to respond in time

On average, these patients spent 4 times longer in hospital than necessary

The solution

Piloting model of ‘discharge to assess’

Patients discharged as soon as medically fit and have prompt assessment by social care & community intermediate care teams – in their own home

On the pilot ward the time between completion of patients’ acute care to their return home has been cut from 6.7 days to 0.4 days
Case Study 3: Pull system

The problem

- Most emergency patients delayed by multiple assessments by juniors & then registrar or consultant

- Peak influx of patients from A&E to Assessment Unit in evenings

- Lack of availability of right staff at right times to meet demand
The solutions

- Senior clinical decision Makers available in assessment unit- prompt assessment & referral to specialist ward on day of Admission- ‘pulled’ out of MAU

- Extended & weekend working
  For consultants from 8am to 8pm.
Case Study 4: Blood sciences & imaging services redesign

The problem
Processing of patients’ blood tests and consultants’ ward rounds not coordinated

Clinical decisions on test results at least 24 hours out of date due to a delay in collecting and processing patients’ blood samples

The solution
Multi-disciplinary team re-designed service

Patients’ blood taken & processed same morning in time for ward round

80% blood results available same day (only 15% before)
Key learning points from the programme

**Impact on mortality**

The programme demonstrated that **poor flow increases the likelihood of harm** to patients.

System-level measures at both trusts showed an apparent correlation between **poor flow and mortality**, while the trusts saw a reduction in mortality as they improved flow.

Focusing on patient flow in health and social care systems is crucial to reducing avoidable harm and deaths.
Improved flow at Sheffield associated with decline in geriatric deaths
Impact on quality

By reducing lengths of stay, bed occupancy and re-admissions the programme helped to improve patient and carer experience.

Despite an 11.5% growth in emergency admissions over 12 months, South Warwickshire maintained A&E performance and achieved high levels of patient satisfaction as a result of improved flow.

In Sheffield, improved flow led to a reduction in bed occupancy and a 37% increase in the number of patients discharged on the day of admission or the following day – at a time when demand remained the same.
Improved flow at Sheffield associated with increase in percentage of patients discharged from frailty unit on day of admission or following day.
Improved flow at Sheffield associated with reduction in bed occupancy
Impact on healthcare costs

The programme demonstrated a correlation between poor flow and higher costs.

Improving flow reduces delays and waste, which can reduce lengths of stay, bed occupancy and re-admissions.

Looking at problems and potential solutions within health and social care systems through the ‘lens’ of patient flow will help not only to improve the efficiency of care processes, but also the quality of the overall system.
Embedding and sustaining change

Two years on from the end of the Flow Cost Quality programme both Sheffield and South Warwickshire have succeeded in sustaining its momentum and impact.

**Sheffield’s** discharge to assess model is in operation on two wards – and is in the process of being rolled out across the whole city.

The discharge to assess model has been shortlisted as a finalist in the Quality Care category in the HSJ’s Safety and Care Awards.

In January 2014 **South Warwickshire** was able to report that it had hit its A&E targets for 7 consecutive months, had reduced mortality, was operating within tariff and had implemented its own discharge to assess model.
Important lessons

Focus on patients’ needs - gathering patient stories and using as driver for change

Diagnosis, rigorous data collection & sharing between teams enabled staff to understand why change was necessary

Visual management - making issues, data, patient stories, learning & progress visible widens involvement

Flow solutions involve cross-departments & function redesign

Testing ideas on a small-scale - gives confidence and evidence

Improvement strategies tailored to local situation – no one size fits all - an adaptable, participative approach is crucial

Achieving impact takes time – allow time for data collection and analysis and for improvement methods to be understood and embedded. Real change at system level has taken 2 to 3 years
Where next?

It is important to recognise that patient flow is not just a concern for A&E departments – every functional service in every tier of the NHS needs to take an interest in flow.

The challenge now for healthcare providers across the UK is to ensure that the capacity and staffing level of each service matches variations in patient demand.

Changes in existing structures, work processes and culture at every level are needed in order to improve patient flow across the health and social care system.

Sheffield and South Warwickshire have shown what is possible.
Spread across the UK

We are working with policymakers across the UK to widen the impact of the work of Sheffield and South Warwickshire

**NHS Scotland** is testing the Flow Cost Quality model in NHS Lanarkshire as part of its Whole Systems Patient Flow improvement programme

The Patient Flow programme in **Wales**, led by 1000 Lives Plus, draws heavily on the Flow Cost Quality programme – the Health Foundation is also funding the evaluation of the programme

In **England** we are working with the Urgent and Emergency Care Review team at NHS England and the Seven Day Working programme team at NHS IQ to identify ways of enabling providers to introduce whole system approaches to patient flow
HSCB/PHA
RQIA Summit
Unscheduled Care Presentation

19 May 2014
ALL NEW & UNPLANNED ATTENDANCES
AT ED 2007-2014

No of Attendances

Month & Year

REGIONAL ED PERFORMANCE:
% within 4hrs 2007-2014

Target Line 95%
TOTAL NUMBER OF PATIENTS WAITING > 12hrs in ED 2007-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of 12 Hour Breaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>2242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>3880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>7379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>10211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>5560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>3130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
07/08 huge efforts were made by everyone however the performance was not sustainable.

Embedding the fundamental best practice principles to improving whole system working remain key to all initiatives working and providing sustainability.

They are the bedrock on which all initiatives/solutions must be built.
Improving Patient Flow…HSCB Focus

- Audit of fundamental best practice principles to improve whole system working
- Audits of non–acute beds in relation to effective utilisation
- Bespoke support and advice to Trusts in relation to improving unscheduled care services
- Developed in collaboration with BSO the NIAS dashboard
  - Nine indicators
  - Designed to provide better information to assist NIAS in managing patient flows across the entire system
Audit Headlines

- 11 Acute Sites
- July’13 – April’14
- 800 patients – 85% were Non-Elective patients with only 6% admitted as a ‘GP direct’ admission
- 66% >65yrs of age
- 4,520 Acute in-patient days reviewed
Audit Headlines

- Outcome Focused Management Plan (OFMP) within 24hrs – evidenced on 43% of patients

- Discharge planning within 24hrs – evidenced on 22% of patients
  - How do we therefore achieve discharging 65% patients in < 2 midnights with this level of discharge planning

- Looking at the overall hospital processes, senior medical review was audited (4,520 days)
  - (776 days) 17% Twice daily senior review
  - (2277 days) 50% Once daily senior review
  - (1467 days) 33% No evidence of senior review

- The further into the inpatient stay, senior review becomes less evident
Audit Headlines

- 50% of all discharges before 1pm – achieved for 18% of patients
  - Linked to lengthy waits for patients in the ED
  - 60% patients do not leave the hospital on the day of discharge until 6pm or later
  - A similar percentage of patients are not being admitted into a bed from ED until 6pm or later
  - 80% of discharged patients everyday are categorised as ‘simple discharges’
  - We need to balance workload with staff resources
  - The challenge is to shave off **6 hours** from the LoS on the day of discharge
Themes From Elsewhere

- Direct admissions for patients not requiring resuscitation
- Direct admitting rights for ED to all specialities
- Ambulatory models of care and patients streamed accordingly
- Specialities taking ownership and ‘pulling patients’ out of ED
- Reducing redundant time for patients in ED
  - Triage and/or patient self selection
  - Identified stream
  - Registration
Themes From Elsewhere

- Escalation at 2/3 hours. Clinical Directors/Executive Directors involved at this point

- Bed/operational meetings chaired by Directors
  - Short, concise and focused
  - Action centred

- A patient waiting longer than 12 hours is a ‘never event’

- Focus on the maximum number of 4 hour breaches each day to ensure a consistent 95% 4 hour performance

- Effective hospital discharge processes which support early planning of patient discharge
Themes From Elsewhere

- No ‘magic bullet’

- An acceptance that 4 hour performance is a Trust wide standard which is measured in ED and therefore its achievement is reliant on everyone performing everyday!

- Creating a culture with corporate ‘buy in’ and ownership of the problem/s across the organisation

- ‘Hearts & Minds’ - influencing behaviour to achieve different and renewed ways of working across all managerial/professional groups
Themes From Elsewhere

- Not about additional capacity per se

- Not a ‘project’ but rather a consistent way of working every single day

- Relentless operational focus which never ends

- Relentless Executive Team focus which never ends

- Patient safety, experience and organisational reputation are key drivers

- Everyone is ‘on message’
True or false?

- A long wait in ED increases the risk of patient harm & death
- ED waits are an indicator of flow in the whole emergency system
- Most of the solutions are outside ED control
- Do clinical staff on wards believe they have a direct responsibility for the safety of future patients who will come in to their ward via ED – but cannot get in because of the way the current ward operates?
- The solutions require a change in longstanding traditional models of care & hence job plans of staff
- Change to culture and working practices is always hard
One Trust in NI

On average there were 338 ED attendances per day of whom

- 79 (24%) NIAS 999 (public and GP calls)
- 21 (6%) GP ‘Urgent’
- 45 (13%) GP referral
- 193 (57%) self-referred

Variation
- ED Atts 300-415
- Non-elective adms 80-150
- Non-elective discharges 60-200
Current Unscheduled Care Model - inflow

- GPs in & OOHs
- Self
- Community Pharmacist
- Patchy patient carer education
- Comm & Vol sector
- Limited 7-day District Nurses
- Limited Community Specialist nurses
- Limited Community AHPs
- Very limited GP direct admission

Additional resources:
- NIAS
- ED
- Specialty inpatient beds
- Acute Medical inpatients
- Limited Ambulatory Assessment
- Very Limited Acute Care at Home

Rx & leave/refer
Future Unscheduled Care Model inflows

- **GPs in & OOHs**
- **NIAS**
- **Self**
- **Proactive care of LTCs**
- **Extended 7-day District Nurses**
- **Community Pharmacist**
- **Pt/ carer education**
- **C&V sector**

**Acute Care at Home & Community Geriatric service**

**Ambulatory Assessment – same day telephone, email, face to face**

**ED**

**Specialty inpatient beds**

**Acute Medical inpatients**

**7-day diagnostics, AHP, Social Work**
Closing the Audit Loop

- Repeated audits show that the basic elements needed to fix the ED delays are still not routine practice. Solutions which focus on other issues are not going to succeed long-term.
- A smooth patient journey needs a very complex chain of actions & decisions. One weak link can undo the (sometimes superhuman) efforts made by others leading to demoralisation & collapse of early gains.
- Leadership by senior clinical staff & management is a vital component to achieve sustainable change.
Summary

- No ‘Magic Bullet’

- Commissioning focus on
  - enhanced community response for frail elderly
  - systems for GP referred patients to avoid ED
  - in-day discharge processes
  - 7 day & extended day working
  - rapid access to, patient review and discharge from specialist beds.

The 4 hour standard will flow from these changes

- Needs strong leadership and clinical engagement. This is primarily a patient quality issue. All staff – not just those working in ED - need to understand their role in achieving improvement and why that will need changes to longstanding ways of working
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Improving Care.

- Setting a context
- Evaluation of Initiatives
  - Hospital Ambulance Liaison Officers
  - HSCB Dashboard
  - Use of ICVs
- Future Plans
- Card 35
- TYC and New Models
Context: Demand pressures

Annual Emergency Calls and Total Journeys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Journeys</th>
<th>Emg Calls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>368,218</td>
<td>154,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>363,006</td>
<td>150,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>351,977</td>
<td>142,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>347,511</td>
<td>136,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>343,667</td>
<td>130,756</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northern Ireland Ambulance Service
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Context: 999 Activity

NIAS - Total Emergency Calls By Month for 2013/14

Northern Ireland Ambulance Service
Health and Social Care Trust
How we are measured: 72.5% A8
## The Challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCG Area</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>EMERGENCY AMBULANCE AVAILABLE</th>
<th>RRV</th>
<th>EDS</th>
<th>Cat A8 @ March 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>999 CALLS</td>
<td>999 CALLS %</td>
<td>Sq km</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belfast LCG</td>
<td>37,976</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern LCG</td>
<td>34,263</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>4,355.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Eastern LCG</td>
<td>26,796</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1,551.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern LCG</td>
<td>26,293</td>
<td>17.61%</td>
<td>3,187.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western LCG</td>
<td>23,944</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4,840.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NI</td>
<td>149,262</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>14,135.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Actual Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call Category</th>
<th>Variance 2011/12</th>
<th>Actual Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>+ 3.2% (4,062 calls)</td>
<td>Average 411 Emergency calls per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat A</td>
<td>+2.8% (1,288 calls)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat A responded to &lt; 8 mins</td>
<td>+ 1.5% (535 calls)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average 1,000 transports per day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AAH - Comparison of lost NIAS production hours for Ambulance Turnaround Times greater than 30 mins
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Managing Urgent Demand

NIAS - Total Urgent Calls By Month for 2013/14

Urgent Calls
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Reducing ED Attendance

NIAS New Models / pathways

- Diabetes
- Cardiac (PPCI)
- Blocked Catheters
- Falls
- Mental health
- Frequent callers
- Clinical Support desk
- Minor Injuries
- Epilepsy
- COPD
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