

Inspection Report

4 October 2021



North West Supported Living Service

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency
Address: 17 Templemore Business Park, Londonderry, BT48 0LD
Telephone number: 028 7130 8020

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website <https://www.rqia.org.uk/>

1.0 Service information

Organisation/Registered Provider: Praxis Care Group	Registered Manager: Mrs Clare Shannon
Responsible Individual: Mr Greer Wilson	Date registered: 23 July 2019
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Team Leader	
Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:	
This is a domiciliary care agency supported living type which provides personal care and housing support to up to eight service users living in their own homes with learning disabilities, mental health and behaviours that challenge within the Western Health and Social Care Trust (WHSCT) area. Service users are supported by 14 staff.	

2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection was undertaken by a care inspector on 4 October 2021 between 10.00am and 12.30pm.

This inspection focused on recruitment, Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC) registrations, adult safeguarding, notifications, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) including money and valuables, restrictive practice, dysphagia, monthly quality monitoring and Covid-19 guidance.

Good practice was identified in relation to recruitment and appropriate checks being undertaken before staff were supplied to service users' homes. There were good governance and management oversight systems in place. Good practice was also found in relation to the system in place of disseminating Covid-19 related information to staff.

The findings of this report will provide the agency with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and service users' experience.

3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

Prior to inspection we reviewed the information held by RQIA about this agency. This included the previous inspection report, notifications, concerns and any written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection.

The inspection focused on:

- contacting the service users, their relatives, HSCT representatives and staff to find out their views on the service.
- reviewing a range of relevant documents, policies and procedures relating to the agency's governance and management arrangements.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders to request feedback on the quality of service provided. This included questionnaires and 'Tell us' cards for service users/relatives. An electronic survey was provided to enable staff to feedback to the RQIA.

4.0 What people told us about the service

We spoke with two service users, one relatives and one staff. No questionnaires were received prior to the issue of the report.

In addition we received electronic survey feedback from staff and HSCT representatives which indicated that they were generally happy with the service provided by the agency.

Comments received during inspection process-

Service users' comments:

- "My house is nice and warm in the wintertime."
- "The staff are good to me."
- "If I had any concerns I would tell XXXX XXXX."
- "The staff treats me with respect."
- "Staff wear masks."
- "I wear a mask when out."

Relatives' comments:

- "XXX is very well looked after."
- "The staff always phone me up if there any changes in XXXX."
- "I am always happy with the staff."

- “XXXX is always nice and clean.”

Staff comments:

- “I am really enjoying working here.”
- “The training is good.”
- “I have done DoLS training.”
- “Great wee team in my house.”
- “Excellent managers and team leaders, very helpful.”
- “From my experience, service users are extremely well supported and cared for by dedicated staff members who ensure their needs and plan of care is met.”

WHSCCT representatives’ comments:

- “The tenants that I work with say that they are very happy in their home and they have positive relationships with staff and say they feel confident to talk about any concerns or queries.”

5.0 The inspection

5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since last inspection?

The last inspection of North West Supported Living Service was undertaken on 16 February 2021 by a care inspector; no areas for improvement were identified.

5.2 Inspection findings

5.2.1 Are there systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency’s provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation’s policy and procedures reflect information contained within the Department of Health’s (DOH) regional policy ‘Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership’ July 2015 and clearly outlines the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC). The Adult Safeguarding Position report for the agency has been formulated and was reviewed.

Discussions with the person in charge and staff demonstrated that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting adult safeguarding concerns.

It was noted that staff are required to complete adult safeguarding training during their induction programme and two yearly updates thereafter.

Staff indicated that they had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse. They could describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to whistleblowing.

The agency had a system for retaining a record of referrals made to the WHSCT in relation to adult safeguarding. The person in charge told us that no adult safeguarding referrals had been made since the last inspection.

Service users who spoke to us stated that they had no concerns regarding their safety; they described how they could speak to staff if they had any concerns in relation to safety or the care being provided.

There were systems in place to ensure that notifiable events were investigated and reported to RQIA or other relevant bodies appropriately. It was noted that incidents had been managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedures.

Staff had undertaken DoLS training appropriate to their job roles. It was established that none of the service users met the criteria to have a DoLS practice in place.

Staff demonstrated that they had an understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment had rights as outlined in the Mental Capacity Act.

Where a service user is experiencing a restrictive practice, examination of these care records contained details of assessments completed and agreed outcomes developed in conjunction with the WHSCT representative.

The person in charge told us that the agency does not manage individual service users' monies.

There was a good system in place in relation to the dissemination of information relating to Covid-19 and infection prevention and control practices.

5.2.2 Is there a system in place for identifying care partners who visit service users to promote their mental health and wellbeing during Covid-19 restrictions?

The person in charge advised us that there were no care partners visiting service users during the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions.

5.2.3 Is there a system in place for identifying service users Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

The discussions with the person in charge and review of service user care records reflected the multi-disciplinary input and the collaborative working undertaken to ensure service users' health and social care needs were met within the domiciliary care agency. There was evidence that agency staff had made a referral to the multi-disciplinary team for specific SALT recommendations to ensure the care received in the service user's home was safe and effective.

It was positive to note that staff had completed Dysphagia training.

5.2.4 Are their robust systems in place for staff recruitment?

The review of the agency's staff recruitment records confirmed that recruitment was managed in accordance with the regulations and minimum standards, before staff members commence employment and engage with service users. Records viewed evidenced that criminal record checks (Access NI) had been completed for staff.

A review of the records confirmed that all staff provided are appropriately registered with NISCC. Information regarding registration details and renewal dates are monitored by the Manager; this system was reviewed and found to be in compliance with Regulations and Standards. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

The person in charge told us that the agency does not use volunteers or voluntary workers.

5.2.5 Are there robust governance processes in place?

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulation 23 of The Domiciliary Care Agencies Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007. Reports relating to the agency's monthly monitoring were reviewed. The process included engagement with service users, service user's relatives, staff and WHSCT representatives. The reports included details of the review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; complaints; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements. It was noted that an action plan was generated to address any identified areas for improvement and these were followed up on subsequent months, to ensure that identified areas had been actioned.

There is a process for recording complaints in accordance with the agency's policy and procedures. It was noted that complaints received since the last inspection had been managed in accordance with the organisation's policy and procedures and are reviewed as part of the agency's monthly quality monitoring process.

Staff described their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure on whistleblowing.

It was established during discussions with the person in charge that the agency had not been involved in any Serious Adverse Incidents (SAIs) Significant Event Analysis's (SEAs) or Early Alert's (EAs).

6.0 Conclusion

Based on the inspection findings and discussions held RQIA are satisfied that this service is providing safe and effective care in a caring and compassionate manner; and that the service is well led by the manager/management team.

7.0 Quality Improvement Plan/Areas for Improvement

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of Areas for Improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with the person in charge, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.



The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

7th Floor, Victoria House
15-27 Gloucester Street
Belfast
BT1 4LS

Tel 028 9536 1111
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
Twitter @RQIANews

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care