Unannounced Care Inspection Report
23 May 2017

Oakmont Lodge Residential Unit

Type of Service: Residential Care Home
Address: 267 - 271 Old Belfast Road, Bangor, BT19 1LU
Tel no: 028 9146 5822
Inspector: Ruth Greer
An unannounced inspection of Oakmont residential care home took place on 23 May 2017 from 10.30 to 14.35.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the residential care home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

**Is care safe?**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home’s environment.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

**Is care effective?**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews and to communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

**Is care compassionate?**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

**Is the service well led?**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

### 1.1 Inspection outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This inspection resulted in no requirements or recommendations being made. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Lisa Harrison, registered manager and Pauline Lewis, regional quality assurance manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

### 1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP there were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 6 December 2016

### 2.0 Service details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered organisation/registered person:</th>
<th>Registered manager:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maria Mallaband (9) Limited</td>
<td>Mrs Lisa Harrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Victoria Craddock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person in charge of the home at the time of inspection:</th>
<th>Date manager registered:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Lisa Harrison</td>
<td>26 August 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of care:</th>
<th>Number of registered places:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I - Old age not falling within any other category</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE – Dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP – Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP (E) – Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia – over 65 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD - Learning Disability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD (E) – Learning disability – over 65 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH - Physical disability other than sensory impairment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH (E) - Physical disability other than sensory impairment – over 65 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.0 Methods/processes

Prior to inspection the following records were analysed: the report of the last inspection of the home and notifications of accidents/incidents since that date.

During the inspection the inspector met with seven residents, two care staff, one catering staff, one admin staff and one visiting professional. No residents’ visitors/representatives were present.
The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Induction programme for new staff
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Sample of competency and capability assessments
- Staff training schedule/records
- Staff recruitment file(s)
- Four resident’s care files
- The home’s Statement of Purpose and Residents’ Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Minutes of recent residents’ meetings
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc
- Individual written agreement
- Programme of activities
- Policies and procedures manual

Questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents, their representatives and staff for completion and return to RQIA. No questionnaires were returned within the requested timescale.

4.0 The inspection

4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the most recent inspection dated 6 December 2016

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the care inspector. The QIP was validated by the inspector at this inspection.
4.2 Review of requirements and recommendations from the last care inspection dated 06 December 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last type e.g. care inspection recommendations</th>
<th>Validation of compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 1</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref: Standard 25.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stated: First time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be completed by: 31 January 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The registered provider should agree specific hours for the activity coordinator to work in the residential home

Action taken as confirmed during the inspection:
The registered manager confirmed that the dedicated hours for the residential home by the activity therapist were adequate to meet the needs of the residents

4.3 Is care safe?

The registered manager confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents and staff.

A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home. The duty rota identified the staff members which were designated fire marshal, first aider and safeguarding champion for the duration of each shift. This represented good practice.

Review of completed induction records and discussion with the registered manager and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for all employees including any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were retained. Samples of completed staff competency and capability assessments were reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Review of the recruitment and selection policy and procedure confirmed that it complied with current legislation and best practice. Discussion with the registered manager and review of staff personnel files confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

Enhanced AccessNI disclosures were viewed by the registered manager for all staff prior to the commencement of employment. Personnel records reviewed confirmed that AccessNI information was managed in line with best practice.
Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body.

The adult safeguarding policy and procedure in place was consistent with the current regional guidance and included definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed. The registered manager and senior staff who took charge of a shift had been established as safeguarding champions. The registered manager confirmed that additional training was being accessed in relation to the responsibilities of the safeguarding champion role.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were aware of the new regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015) and a copy was available for staff within the home. Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the registered manager, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse were fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records were retained.

The registered manager confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the registered manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

A review of policy and procedure on restrictive practice/behaviours which challenge confirmed that this was in keeping with DHSSPS Guidance on Restraint and Seclusion in Health and Personal Social Services (2005) and the Human Rights Act (1998). It also reflected current best practice guidance including Deprivation of Liberties Safeguards (DoLS).

The registered manager confirmed that no restrictive practices were undertaken within the home and on the day of the inspection none were observed.

Inspection of care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-professional team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

The registered manager confirmed there were risk management policy and procedures in place. Discussion with the registered manager and review of the home’s policy and procedures relating to safe and healthy working practices confirmed that these were appropriately maintained and reviewed regularly. The registered manager confirmed that equipment and medical devices in use in the home were well maintained and regularly serviced.

Review of the infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedure confirmed that this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities. Training certificates in infection control were in place in the personnel files reviewed by the inspector. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and
procedures. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The registered manager reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with trust and home policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents’ bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. All bedrooms had en-suite bathroom facilities. The home was fresh-smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated 30 November 2016. There were no recommendations made as a result of the assessment.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually, most recently on 28 January 2017. Fire drills were completed most recently on 20 April 2017. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

Comments received from residents and staff included:

- “I’m happy in here, I get lots of visitors and the day isn’t long”(resident)
- “There has been an improvement in the meals and you can always have an alternative”(resident)

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of requirements</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of recommendations</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion with the registered manager established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of four care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred. There were nine permanent residents and four residents receiving respite care at this inspection. The registered manager confirmed that, in the main, residents enjoyed a high degree of independence.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents' health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were signed by the resident. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice. Staff were able to describe the individual preferences (as well as needs) of the residents. For example, “X (resident) likes to have cereal in the middle of the night” and “Y (resident) likes to spend a lot of time in her room.”

An individual agreement setting out the terms of residency was in place and appropriately signed. Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The registered manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to residents at appropriate intervals. Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care review, accidents and incidents (including falls) complaints and catering were available for inspection and evidenced that any actions identified for improvement were incorporated into practice. Further evidence of audit was contained within the monthly monitoring visits reports. The home has not yet been operational for a year therefore an annual quality report has not been compiled.

The registered manager confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents’ meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. The registered manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Residents spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents. Minutes of resident meetings for 17 January 2017 and 2 March 2017 were reviewed during the inspection. There was evidence that issues raised by residents were taken seriously and actioned where possible. For example, the minutes recorded a suggestion that fruit was made available in the dining room. Another suggestion was the provision of a piano in the lounge. Both of these suggestions were seen in place at this inspection.

A review of care records, along with accident and incident reports, confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents.
Comments received from staff and one visiting professional included:

- “The home works well with us and phone for advice if they need it” (visiting dietician)
- “The residents here are well able to tell us what they want and we try hard to make sure they have everything they need” (staff)
- “This is a good home and residents are well cared for” (staff)

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of requirements</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of recommendations</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5 Is care compassionate?

The registered manager confirmed that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

A range of policies and procedures was in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff and residents confirmed that residents’ spiritual and cultural needs were met within the home.

Residents were provided with information in a format that they could understand which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment.

The registered manager, residents and observation of practice confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion with residents and staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their awareness of promoting residents’ rights, independence and dignity. Staff were able to demonstrate how residents’ confidentiality was protected in the examples they provided when speaking with the inspector.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Residents confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

There were systems in place to ensure that the views and opinions of residents, and or their representatives, were sought and taken into account in all matters affecting them. Resident meetings took place regularly and minutes were maintained.

Discussion with staff and residents, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. The activity therapist confirmed that residents tended not to enjoy communal activities but preferred one to one time with her, for example, to go out for coffee/shopping, manicures etc. Visitors to the home included representatives from Pet Therapy which residents enjoyed. Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community. The home operated an open visiting policy.
Comments received from residents and staff included:

- “This is a good place, couldn’t be better” (resident)
- “The staff are all great girls” (resident)

## Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |

## 4.6 Is the service well led?

The registered manager outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice. In addition, there are comprehensive quality assurance processes in place from the organisation in control. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home’s Statement of Purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed. The home was first registered in August 2016 and policies and procedures were generated from that date. The registered manager was aware that these must be reviewed systematically every three years.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and Department of Health (DoH) guidance on complaints handling. Residents and/or their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the Residents Guide and posters displayed in the home.

Review of the complaints records confirmed that arrangements were in place to effectively manage complaints from residents, their representatives or any other interested party. Records of complaints included details of any investigation undertaken, all communication with complainants, the outcome of the complaint and the complainant’s level of satisfaction.

Three complaints had been raised in relation to the catering arrangements in the home. These were specifically about portion sizes, choice and times of meals served. The inspector observed the lunch time meal. This was cooked in an upstairs kitchen and served by the cook from a hot trolley. Portion sizes were adequate and a choice of two alternatives was offered. In discussion with residents afterwards they confirmed that the meal was appetising and enjoyable. The home had purchased a bread maker which was used daily in the dining room. Residents stated that the “really loved” the fresh warm bread. Examination of the menus showed that a balanced and varied range of meals was provided and that special diets were catered for. The registered manager, residents, a review of records and the inspector’s observation confirmed that the complaints had been resolved effectively. This evidences that an audit of complaints was used to identify trends and to enhance service provision.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents
was undertaken and was reviewed as part of the inspection process. Learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

There were quality assurance systems in place to drive quality improvement which included regular audits and satisfaction surveys. There was also a system to ensure medical device alerts, safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.

Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. Staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents, for example Dementia Awareness training had been provided in January 2017.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read. The most recent monitoring visits were on 9 February 2017, 2 March 2017 and 6 April 2017.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. This was outlined in the home’s Statement of Purpose and Residents Guide. Discussion with the regional quality assurance manager identified that the registered providers had understanding of their role and responsibilities under the legislation. The registered manager confirmed that the registered provider was kept informed regarding the day to day running of the home.

The registered manager confirmed that the management and control of operations within the home was in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employer’s liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned RQIA Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) confirmed that the registered providers responded to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that there had been no adult safeguarding issues. The registered manager confirmed that any such issues would be managed appropriately and that reflective learning would take place. The registered manager confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place and discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding this. The registered manager confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns and that they would offer support to staff.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

The registered manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff. There were also open and
transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |

5.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no issues identified during this inspection, and a QIP is neither required, nor included, as part of this inspection report.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards.