

Unannounced Medicines Management Inspection Report 29 November 2018



Brooklands Healthcare Antrim

Type of service: Residential Care Home Address: Residential Unit, 50 Bush Road, Antrim, BT41 2QB Tel No: 028 9446 0444 Inspector: Rachel Lloyd

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

1.0 What we look for



2.0 Profile of service

This is a residential care home that provides care for up to 44 residents living with dementia. The residential care home is on the same site as a nursing home.

3.0 Service details

Organisation/Registered Provider:	Registered Manager:
Brooklands Healthcare Ltd	See below
Responsible Individual: Ms Therese Elizabeth Conway	
Person in charge at the time of inspection:	Date manager registered:
Ms Julie Cruz (Acting manager from 19	Mrs Claire Coen, Acting manager – no
November 2018)	application required
Categories of care: Residential Care (RC) DE – Dementia	Number of registered places: 44

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 29 November 2018 from 10.35 to 14.45.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards (2011).

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified since the last medicines management inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to medicines management and governance, medicine administration, medicine records and the management of controlled drugs.

No areas for improvement were identified.

Residents were relaxed and comfortable in the home and good relationships with staff were evident. One relative was spoken to and gave positive feedback about the care provided.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and residents' experience.

4.1 Inspection outcome	

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Ms Julie Cruz, Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

No further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 8 August 2018. Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the service was reviewed. This included the following:

- recent inspection reports and returned QIPs
- recent correspondence with the home
- the management of medicine related incidents reported to RQIA since the last medicines management inspection

A poster informing visitors to the home that an inspection was being conducted was displayed.

During the inspection we met with two residents and one relative, three senior care assistants and the manager.

We provided the manager with 10 questionnaires to distribute to residents and their representatives, for completion and return to RQIA. 'Have we missed you?' cards were left in the foyer of the home to inform residents/their representatives of how to contact RQIA, to tell us of their experience of the quality of care provided. We asked the manager to display a poster which invited staff to share their views and opinions by completing an online questionnaire.

A sample of the following records was examined during the inspection:

- medicines requested and received
- personal medication records
- medicine administration records
- medicines disposed of or transferred
- controlled drug record book

- medicine audits
- care plans
- training records
- medicines storage temperatures
- policies and procedures

The findings of the inspection were provided to the manager at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 8 August 2018

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. There were no areas for improvement identified as a result of the inspection.

6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last medicines management inspection dated 22 March 2018

There were no areas for improvement identified as a result of the last medicines management inspection.

6.3 Inspection findings

6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

Medicines were managed by staff who have been trained and deemed competent to do so. The impact of training was monitored through observation, supervision and annual appraisal. Refresher training in medicines management and training on the new monitored dosage system was provided in the last year. Competency assessments were completed annually. In relation to safeguarding, staff advised that they were aware of the regional procedures and who to report any safeguarding concerns to.

Systems were in place to manage the ordering of prescribed medicines to ensure adequate supplies were available and to prevent wastage. Staff advised of the procedures to identify and report any potential shortfalls in medicines. Antibiotics and newly prescribed medicines had been received into the home without delay.

There were satisfactory arrangements in place to manage changes to prescribed medicines. These were detailed in policies and procedures. Personal medication records were updated by two trained members of staff which is good practice.

There were satisfactory procedures in place to ensure the safe management of medicines during a resident's admission to the home.

Records of the receipt, administration and disposal of controlled drugs subject to record keeping requirements were maintained in a controlled drug record book. Checks were performed on controlled drugs which require safe custody, at the end of each shift. Additional checks were also performed on other controlled drugs which is good practice.

Satisfactory arrangements were observed for the management of high risk medicines e.g. warfarin. The use of separate administration charts was acknowledged.

Appropriate arrangements were in place for administering medicines in disguised form.

Discontinued or expired medicines were disposed of appropriately.

Medicines were mostly stored safely and securely. Staff were reminded that the medicine trolley should be secured to the wall in the medicine storage area at all times when not in use. Medicine storage areas were clean, tidy and well organised. There were systems in place to alert staff of the expiry dates of medicines with a limited shelf life, once opened. Medicine refrigerators were checked at regular intervals.

Records indicated that the calibration of blood glucose meters had not taken place recently. Staff were reminded that these must be maintained according to the manufacturer's instructions. It was agreed that this would be addressed immediately.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to staff training and competency assessment, the management of medicines at admission, changes to prescribed medicines and the management of controlled drugs.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome.

The sample of medicines examined had been administered in accordance with the prescriber's instructions. There was evidence that time critical medicines had been administered at the correct time. There were arrangements in place to alert staff of when doses of weekly, monthly or three monthly medicines were due.

The management of distressed reactions, pain and swallowing difficulties were reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Staff confirmed that compliance with prescribed medicine regimes was monitored and any omissions or refusals likely to have an adverse effect on the resident's health were reported to the prescriber.

Medicine records were well maintained and facilitated the audit process. Staff were commended on the standard of record keeping and areas of good practice were acknowledged. These included the use of separate colour coded personal medication records

for antibiotics and topical preparations and antibiotic administration sheets. Staff were reminded to cancel and archive all previous warfarin dosage information records.

Practices for the management of medicines were audited throughout the month by the staff and management. This included running stock balances for several medicines not dispensed in the monitored dosage system. In addition, audits were completed by the community pharmacist.

Following discussion with the staff on duty and a review of the care plans, it was evident that, when applicable, other healthcare professionals were contacted in response to medication related issues. Staff advised that they had good working relationships with healthcare professionals involved in the care of the residents.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to medicine records and the administration of medicines.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

We observed the administration of medicines to a small number of residents. Staff interacted positively with the residents and explained that they were having their medicines.

Throughout the inspection, it was found that there were good relationships between the staff and the residents. It was clear from discussion and observation, that the staff were familiar with the residents' needs. Residents who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff. We spoke with two residents briefly who appeared relaxed and content in their environment but did not discuss the care provided or the management of their medicines.

Ten questionnaires were left in the home to facilitate feedback from residents and their representatives. None were returned within the specified timescale (two weeks).

Any comments from residents and their representatives in questionnaires received after the return date will be shared with the manager for information and action as required.

Areas of good practice

The administration of medicines to residents was completed in a caring manner and residents were given time to take their medicines.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care.

We discussed the arrangements in place in relation to the equality of opportunity for residents and the importance of staff being aware of equality legislation and recognising and responding to the diverse needs of residents. Arrangements were in place to implement the collection of equality data.

Written policies and procedures for the management of medicines were in place. They were not reviewed on this occasion. Following discussion with staff it was evident that they were familiar with policies and procedures and that any updates were highlighted to them.

There were arrangements in place for the management of any medicine related incidents. Staff confirmed that they knew how to identify and report incidents and were aware that medicine incidents may need to be reported to the safeguarding team.

A review of the audit records indicated that mostly satisfactory outcomes had been achieved. Where a discrepancy had been identified, there was evidence of the action taken.

Following discussion with the staff on duty, it was evident that they were familiar with their roles and responsibilities in relation to medicines management. We were advised that there were effective communication systems in the home, to ensure that all staff were kept up to date.

No online questionnaires were completed by staff within the specified time frame (two weeks).

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to governance arrangements, the management of medicine incidents and quality improvement. There were clearly defined roles and responsibilities for staff.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0
7.0 Quality improvement plan		

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority 9th Floor Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST BT1 3BT

Tel028 9536 1111Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Image: Imag

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care