

Inspection Report

12 October 2023



Kimberley House Supported Living Service

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency Address: 45 Abbey Road, Newtownards, BT23 8JL Telephone number: 02891810003

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website https://www.rqia.org.uk/

1.0 Service information

Organisation/Registered Provider:	Registered Manager:
Praxis Care	Miss Nikki McMullan
Responsible Individual:	Date registered:
Mrs Alyson Dunn	5 December 2019
Person in charge at the time of inspection:	•

Person in charge at the time of inspection: Miss Nikki McMullan

Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:

Kimberley House Supported Living Service is a domiciliary care agency, supported living type. The agency provides 24-hour care and support to nine service users with Learning Disability. Seven of the service users reside in individual apartments within a shared facility and two in a house located adjacent to the service. There are approximately 28 staff employed by the domiciliary care agency and the service also use agency staff not employed by the Praxis Care organisation.

2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 12 October 2023 between 9.15 a.m. and 1 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training, and adult safeguarding. The inspection also considered reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), service user involvement, restrictive practices, dysphagia management, and compliance with Covid-19 guidance.

No areas for improvement (AFIs) were identified and the two AFIs from the previous inspection were reviewed and assessed as met.

Good practice was identified in relation to service user involvement, and governance and management arrangements in place.

Kimberley House Supported Living Service uses the term 'tenants' to describe the people to whom they provide care and support. For the purposes of the inspection report, the term 'service user' is used, in keeping with the relevant regulations.

3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included any previous areas for improvement identified, registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trusts.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Having reviewed the model "We Matter" Adult Learning Disability Model for NI 2020, the Vision states, 'We want individuals with a learning disability to be respected and empowered to lead a full and healthy life in their community'.

RQIA shares this vision and want to review the support individuals are offered to make choices and decisions in their life that enable them to develop and to live a safe, active and valued life. RQIA will review how service users who have a learning disability are respected and empowered to lead a full and healthy life in the community and are supported to make choices and decisions that enables them to develop and live safe, active and valued lives.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included easy read questionnaires and an electronic survey.

4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we spoke with a number of service users, relatives and staff members.

The information provided indicated that they had no concerns in relation to the agency.

Comments received included:

Service users' comments:

- "I like it OK."
- "Thumbs up for Nikki and staff."

Service users' relatives' comments :

- "Very good. No complaints."
- "[My son] loves it here. No problems."
- "I'm very happy with [my son's] care. Not one concern. Lovely place."

Staff comments:

- "I love the support the residents get. Individualised care."
- "I really like it. I like the family vibe."
- "Sometimes when you've been here this long (6 years) you feel like moving on. Not me. I love the service users."

None of the questionnaires which were issued were returned.

There were no responses to the electronic survey.

5.0 The inspection

5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 22 September 2022 by a care inspector. A Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) was issued. This was approved by the care inspector and was validated during this inspection.

Areas for improvement from the last inspection on 22 September 2023			
Action required to ensure compliance with The Domiciliary Care Agencies Minimum Standards (revised) 2021		Validation of compliance	
Area for improvement 1 Ref: Standard 13.3 Stated: First time To be completed: from the date of inspection and ongoing	The registered manager shall ensure that all agency staff working with service users in the domiciliary care setting receive recorded formal supervision meetings in accordance with procedures. This should be commensurate with their roles and responsibilities. The Agency responsible for employing the staff member must be informed if there are any issues arising or recurring from the formal supervision meetings.	Met	
	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: Discussion with the Registered Manager and review of governance records confirmed that this area for improvement was met.		

Area for improvement 2 Ref: Standard 6 Stated: First time	The registered manager shall ensure that the identified service user receives an annual review of their supported living placement with the responsible referring HSC Trust and staff in the agency.	Met
To be completed: 30 March 2023	Discussion with the Registered Manager and review of care records confirmed that all service users (including the identified service user) had received their annual HSCT care review within required timeframes.	

5.2 Inspection findings

5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC). The agency's annual Adult Safeguarding Position report was reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Discussions with the manager established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during their induction and every two years thereafter. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidents of abuse and the process for reporting concerns during and outside normal business hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to whistleblowing.

The agency retained records of any referrals made to the HSC Trusts in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that these had been managed appropriately.

Service users said they had no concerns regarding their safety; they described how they could speak to staff if they had any concerns about safety or the care being provided. The agency had provided service users with information about keeping themselves safe and the details of the process for reporting any concerns.

RQIA had been notified appropriately of any incidents that had been reported to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI) in keeping with the Regulations. Incidents had been managed appropriately.

Staff were provided with training appropriate to the requirements of their role. Where service users required the use of specialised equipment to assist them with moving, this was included

within the agency's mandatory training programme. A review of records confirmed that where the agency was unable to provide training in the use of specialised equipment, this was identified by the agency before care delivery commenced and the agency had requested this training from the HSC Trust.

The manager reported that none of the service users currently required the use of specialised equipment. They were aware of how to source such training should it be required in the future.

Care reviews for all service users had been undertaken in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures. There was also evidence of regular contact with service users and their representatives, in line with the commissioning HSC Trust's requirements.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. A review of the policy relating to medicines management identified that it included direction for staff in relation to administering liquid medicines. The manager confirmed that staff were required to administer liquid medications with a syringe to one service user in an emergency situation and this was clearly noted in their care records.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the Mental Capacity Act.

Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards training appropriate to their job roles. The manager reported that there were arrangements in place to ensure that service users who required high levels of supervision or monitoring had had their capacity considered and, where appropriate, assessed. Where a service user was experiencing a deprivation of liberty, the care records contained details of assessments completed and agreed outcomes developed in conjunction with the representative of the appropriate HSC Trust.

There was a system in place for notifying RQIA if the agency was managing individual service users' monies in accordance with best practice guidance.

5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?

From reviewing service users' care records and through discussions with service users, it was good to note that service users had an input into devising their own plan of care. Service users were provided with easy read reports which supported them to fully participate in all aspects of their care. The service users' care plans contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans had been kept under regular review and services users and/or their relatives had participated, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes had occurred.

The manager reported that service user meetings were not held at the request of the service users. The manager reported that service users preferred to meet on a one-to-one basis with staff to discuss planned activities. Bi-monthly newsletters were available for all service users in easy read format. Some of the activities discussed included:

- Shopping
- Chess Club
- Christmas

5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

A number of service users were assessed by SALT with recommendations provided and some required their food and fluids to be of a specific consistency. These recommendations were included in both the service user's care plan and their 'Hospital Passport'. A review of training records confirmed that staff had completed training in dysphagia and in relation to how to respond to choking incidents.

Discussions with staff and review of service users' care records reflected the multi-disciplinary input and the collaborative working undertaken to ensure service users' health and social care needs were met within the agency. There was evidence that staff made referrals to the multi-disciplinary team and these interventions were proactive, timely and appropriate. Staff also implemented the specific recommendations of the SALT to ensure the care received in the setting was safe and effective.

Staff demonstrated a good knowledge of service users' wishes, preferences and assessed needs. These were recorded within care plans along with associated SALT dietary requirements. Staff were familiar with how food and fluids should be modified.

5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?

A review of the agency's staff recruitment records confirmed that all pre-employment checks, including criminal record checks (AccessNI), were completed and verified before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC) or the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) or any other relevant regulatory body; there was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the agency's policies

and procedures. There was a robust, structured, induction programme of at least three days which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member. Written records were retained by the agency of the person's capability and competency in relation to their job role.

A review of the records relating to staff that were provided from recruitment agencies also identified that they had been recruited, inducted and trained in line with the regulations.

The agency has maintained a record for each member of staff of all training, including induction and professional development activities undertaken; this included staff that were supplied by recruitment agencies.

All registrants must maintain their registration for as long as they are in practice. This includes renewing their registration and completing Post Registration Training and Learning. The manager checks these on a monthly basis and advises staff when reregistration is required. The manager reported that all staff are currently registered.

5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monthly monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with the Regulations.A review of these reports established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user's care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

No incidents had occurred that required investigation under the Serious Adverse Incidents (SAI) procedure.

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately, along with current certificates of public and employers' liability insurance.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. Where complaints were received since the last inspection, these were appropriately managed and were reviewed as part of the agency's quality monitoring process.

Where staff are unable to gain access to a service users home, there is a policy and procedure in place that clearly directs staff from the Agency as to what actions they should take to manage such situations.

6.0 Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)/Areas for Improvement

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Miss Nikki McMullan, Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority James House 2-4 Cromac Avenue Gasworks Belfast BT7 2JA

Tel028 9536 1111Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Comparison of the state of t

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care