

# Unannounced Care Inspection Report 29 March 2018



# **Orchardville House**

Type of Service: Residential Care Home Address: 12 Orchardville Avenue, Belfast, BT10 0JH Tel No: 028 9504 3150 Inspector: Patricia Galbraith

<u>www.rqia.org.uk</u>

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

#### 1.0 What we look for



### 2.0 Profile of service

This is a residential care home with 32 beds that provides care and support for residents living with a dementia.

# 3.0 Service details

Organisation/Registered Provider:	Registered Manager:
Belfast HSC Trust	Sharon Barr
<b>Responsible Individual:</b> Martin Dillon	
Person in charge at the time of inspection:	Date manager registered:
Ann Doherty	2 January 2018
Categories of care: Residential Care (RC) DE – Dementia	Number of registered places: 32

### 4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced care inspection took place on 29 March 2018 from 10.30 to 16.00.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified during and since the last care inspection and sought to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and resident experience.

4.1 Inspection out	come

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Anne Doherty, Person in Charge as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

# 4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent 23 November 2017 inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 23 November 2017.

## 5.0 How we inspect

Prior to inspection we analysed the following records: the previous inspection report, the returned QIP, notifiable events, and written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection.

During the inspection the inspector met with 18 residents and six staff.

A total of 10 questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents and their representatives for completion and return to RQIA. A poster was provided for staff explaining how they could complete an electronic questionnaire. No questionnaires were returned within the requested timescale.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Sample of competency and capability assessments
- Staff training schedule/records
- Three resident's care files
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
- Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care reviews; accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering
- Infection control register/associated records
- Equipment maintenance/cleaning records
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings/representatives' / other
- Evaluation report from annual resident quality assurance survey
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc.
- Individual written agreement
- Programme of activities
- Policies and procedures manual

Areas for improvements identified at the last care inspection were reviewed and assessment of compliance recorded as met.

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

## 6.0 The inspection

### 6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 23 November 2017

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the care inspector.

# 6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 23 November 2017

Areas for improvement from the last care inspection		
Action required to ensure compliance with The Residential Care		Validation of
Homes Regulations (Nort	nern Ireland) 2005	compliance
Area for improvement 1 Ref: Regulation 30	The registered provider shall ensure all notifiable events are reported to RQIA in keeping with legislation and relevant guidance.	
Stated: Second time	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: The notifiable events were reviewed and had been reported to RQIA in keeping with legislation and relevant guidance.	Met

# 6.3 Inspection findings

#### 6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

The person in charge advised the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents and staff.

A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

In discussion with staff they advised mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection.

The person in charge and staff advised that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were retained. Samples of completed staff competency and capability assessments were reviewed and found to satisfactory.

In discussion with the person in charge it was stated that no staff have been recruited since the previous inspection, therefore staff personnel files were not reviewed on this occasion.

Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body where applicable.

In discussion with staff they advised that they were aware of the regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015) along with the new procedures and a copy was available for staff within the home. Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the person in charge, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse were fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records were retained.

The person in charge advised there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the person in charge identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

The person in charge stated there were restrictive practices employed within the home, notably locked doors, keypad entry systems and lap belts etc. Discussion with the person in charge regarding such restrictions confirmed these were appropriately assessed, documented, minimised and reviewed with the involvement of the multi-professional team, as required.

Inspection of care records showed there was a system of referral to the multi-professional team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

Discussion with the person in charge and examination of accident and incident records confirmed that when individual restraint was employed, the appropriate persons/bodies were informed.

The person in charge stated there were risk management policy and procedures in place. Discussion with the person in charge in relation to safe and healthy working practices confirmed that these were appropriately maintained and reviewed regularly e.g. COSHH, fire safety etc.

Discussion with the person in charge confirmed that equipment and medical devices in use in the home were well maintained and regularly serviced.

Staff training records showed that all staff had received training in IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The person in charge reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with the trust's policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated. The home had been redecorated in various areas including the lounges, residents' rooms and hallways. The home had also purchased a number of new armchairs.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the person in charge confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated 1 December 2017 and all recommendations were noted to be addressed.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. The last fire drill had been completed 28 December 2017. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and monthly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

### Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

### Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

# 6.5 Is care effective?

# The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome

Discussion with the person in charge established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of three care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments (e.g. manual handling, bedrails, nutrition, falls, where appropriate) were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents' health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were observed to be signed by the resident and/or their representative. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice.

An individual agreement setting out the terms of residency was in place and appropriately signed. Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The person in charge advised that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to residents at appropriate intervals. Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care review, accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), environment, catering were available for inspection and evidenced that any actions identified for improvement were incorporated into practice.

The person in charge confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents' meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. The person in charge and staff stated that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Residents spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. Minutes of resident and/or their representative meetings were reviewed during the inspection.

A review of care records, along with accident and incident reports, showed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents. The person in charge confirmed that arrangements were in place, in line with the legislation, to support and advocate for residents.

# Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

## Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

#### 6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

The person in charge advised staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

A range of policies and procedures was in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff and residents advised that residents' spiritual and cultural needs, including preferences for end of life care, were met within the home. Discussion with residents and staff confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand, which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment. For example memory boxes were outside each residents' room if they wanted to have one.

The person in charge and residents advised that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion with residents, their representatives and staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. In discussion with staff they confirmed their awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence and dignity and were able to demonstrate how residents' confidentiality was protected. For example, staff ensured any relevant information relating to a resident was passed on in the office in a discreet manner.

The person in charge and staff advised that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Residents reported that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

Discussion with staff, residents and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff.

There were systems in place to ensure that the views and opinions of residents, and or their representatives, were sought and taken into account in all matters affecting them. For example residents' meetings.

Residents are consulted with, at least annually, about the quality of care and environment. The findings from the consultation were collated into a summary report which was made available for residents and other interested parties to read. An action plan was developed and implemented to address any issues identified.

Discussion with staff, residents and observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. For example residents had taken part in crafts and quizzes. Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community. For example, local schools had been in the home and they had carol services.

Comments received from residents were as follows:

- "I like living here"
- "This is a great place staff are very good"
- "Staff are great I love my room"
- "The food is good and staff always there to look after me"

## Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

## Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

# 6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care

The person in charge outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home's statement of purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

Residents and/or their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the Residents Guide, Poster/leaflet etc. In discussion with staff they advised that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

Review of the complaints records showed there had been no complaints since the last inspection. There were arrangements in place to manage complaints from residents, their representatives or any other interested party.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was reviewed as part of the inspection process. Learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

There were quality assurance systems in place to drive continuous quality improvement which included regular audits and satisfaction surveys.

There was a system to ensure medical device alerts, safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.

In discussion with the person in charge it was confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. Staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read.

There was evidence of managerial staff being provided with additional training in governance and leadership. Learning from complaints, incidents and feedback was integrated into practice and fed into a cycle of continuous quality improvement.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. The person in charge advised that the registered provider was kept informed regarding the day to day running of the home.

The person in charge advised that the management and control of operations within the home was in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration certificate was displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned QIP confirmed that the registered provider/s respond to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the person in charge and staff confirmed that any adult safeguarding issues were managed appropriately and that reflective learning had taken place. In discussion the person in charge confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place and discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding this. The person in charge advised that staff could also access line management to raise concerns they will offer support to staff.

In discussion with staff they stated that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

The person in charge advised that there were arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff. There were also open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

## Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

### Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

# 7.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.





The **Regulation** and **Quality Improvement Authority** 

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority 9th Floor Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST BT1 3BT

Tel028 9051 7500Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Comparison of the second of the second

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care