

Unannounced Medicines Management Inspection Report 14 January 2019



Cedarhurst Lodge

Type of Service: Nursing Home Address: Cedarhurst Road, Belfast, BT8 7RH Tel No: 028 9049 2722 Inspectors: Catherine Glover Fionnuala Breslin (observing)

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

1.0 What we look for



2.0 Profile of service

This is a nursing home that provides care for up to 43 patients with a range of care needs as detailed in Section 3.0. The nursing home is on the same site as a residential care home.

3.0 Service details

| Organisation/Registered Provider: | Registered Manager: |
|---|---|
| Four Seasons Health Care | Ms Lavina Ann Harris |
| Responsible Individual: | |
| Dr Maureen Claire Royston | |
| Person in charge at the time of inspection: | Date manager registered: |
| Ms Lavina Harris | 13 June 2007 |
| Categories of care: | Number of registered places: |
| Nursing Homes (NH) | 43 comprising: |
| DE – Dementia | |
| MP – Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia MP(E) - Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia – over 65 years | A maximum of 20 patients in category NH-DE accommodated in the Beech Unit and a maximum of 23 patients in category NH- MP/MP(E) accommodated in the Sycamore |
| | Unit. |

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 14 January 2019 from 10.00 to 14.00.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) Care Standards for Nursing Homes, April 2015.

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified during and since the last medicines management inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to the administration of medicines, medicine records and storage and the management of controlled drugs.

No areas for improvement were identified.

Patients were relaxed and comfortable in the home. They said that the staff were great.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and patients' experience.

| 4.1 Inspection outcome | |
|------------------------|--|
| | |

| | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Ms Lavina Harris, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 30 August 2018. Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the service was reviewed. This included the following:

- recent inspection reports and returned QIPs
- recent correspondence with the home
- the management of medicine related incidents reported to RQIA since the last medicines management inspection.

During the inspection we met with five patients, the registered manager and three registered nurses.

We provided the registered manager with 10 questionnaires to distribute to patients and their representatives, for completion and return to RQIA. 'Have we missed you?' cards were left in the foyer of the home to inform patients/their representatives of how to contact RQIA, to tell us of their experience of the quality of care provided. Flyers providing details of how to raise any concerns were also left in the home. Staff were invited to share their views by completing an online questionnaire.

A poster informing visitors to the home that an inspection was being conducted was displayed.

A sample of the following records was examined during the inspection:

- medicines requested and received
- personal medication records
- medicine administration records (MAR)
- medicines disposed of or transferred
- controlled drug record book

- medicine audits
- policies and procedures
- care plans
- training records
- medicines storage temperatures

Areas for improvement identified at the last medicines management inspection were reviewed and the assessment of compliance recorded as met, partially met, or not met.

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 30 August 2018

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was approved by the care inspector. This QIP will be validated by the care inspector at the next care inspection.

6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last medicines management inspection dated 24 April 2017

| Areas for improvement from the last medicines management inspection | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | compliance with the Department of Health, c Safety (DHSSPS) Care Standards for 5 | Validation of compliance |
| Area for improvement 1 Ref: Standard 30 | The registered person should ensure that the medicine keys are not left unattended in the trolley during the medicine rounds. | |
| Stated: First time | Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: The medicines keys were not left unattended in the medicine trolley during this inspection. The registered manager advised that she would routinely check that the trolleys were appropriately locked during medicine rounds. | Met |
| Area for improvement 2 Ref: Standard 29 Stated: First time | The registered person should ensure that the personal medication records in the Sycamore Suite are closely monitored to ensure are accurate at all times. | Met |
| | Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: The personal medication records had been fully and accurately maintained. | |

6.3 Inspection findings

6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

Medicines were managed by staff who have been trained and deemed competent to do so. An induction process was in place for registered nurses. The impact of training was monitored through team meetings, supervision and annual appraisal. Competency assessments were completed annually. Refresher training in medicines management was provided by e-learning in the last year.

There were procedures in place to ensure the safe management of medicines during a patient's admission to the home.

Systems were in place to manage the ordering of prescribed medicines to ensure adequate supplies were available and to prevent wastage. Staff advised of the procedures to identify and report any potential shortfalls in medicines. Antibiotics and newly prescribed medicines had been received into the home without delay.

There were satisfactory arrangements in place to manage changes to prescribed medicines. Personal medication records and handwritten entries on medication administration records were updated by two registered nurses. This safe practice was acknowledged.

Records of the receipt, administration and disposal of controlled drugs subject to record keeping requirements were maintained in a controlled drug record book. Checks were performed on controlled drugs which require safe custody, at the end of each shift. Additional checks were also performed on other controlled drugs which is good practice.

Robust arrangements were observed for the management of high risk medicines e.g. insulin. The use of separate administration charts was acknowledged.

Discontinued or expired medicines were disposed of appropriately.

Medicines were stored safely and securely and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Medicine storage areas were clean, tidy and well organised. There were systems in place to alert staff of the expiry dates of medicines with a limited shelf life, once opened. Medicine refrigerators and oxygen equipment were checked at regular intervals.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to staff training, competency assessment, the management of medicines on admission, controlled drugs and the storage of medicines.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome.

There were a very limited number of audits completed during the inspection as the new medicine cycle had just commenced that morning. The running stock balances that were completed by staff and recorded on the previous month's MAR sheets indicated that medicines had been administered as prescribed. There was evidence that time critical medicines had been administered at the correct time. There were arrangements in place to alert staff of when doses of weekly medicines were due.

When a patient was prescribed a medicine for administration on a "when required" basis for the management of distressed reactions, the dosage instructions were recorded on the personal medication record. Staff knew how to recognise signs, symptoms and triggers which may cause a change in a patient's behaviour and were aware that this change may be associated with pain. The reason for and the outcome of administration were recorded. A care plan was usually maintained. One care plan for a recently admitted patient had not been completed, however it was agreed that this would be done following the inspection.

The sample of records examined indicated that medicines which were prescribed to manage pain had been administered as prescribed. Staff were aware that ongoing monitoring was necessary to ensure that the pain was well controlled and the patient was comfortable. Staff advised that most of the patients could verbalise any pain, and a pain assessment tool was used as needed. A care plan was maintained. There was evidence that a pain assessment was completed as part of the admission process.

The management of swallowing difficulty was examined. For those patients prescribed a thickening agent, this was recorded on their personal medication record and included details of the fluid consistency. Care plans and speech and language assessment reports were in place.

Staff confirmed that compliance with prescribed medicine regimes was monitored and any omissions or refusals likely to have an adverse effect on the patient's health were reported to the prescriber.

Medicine records were well maintained and facilitated the audit process. Areas of good practice were acknowledged. They included additional records for the administration of transdermal patches and medicines that are prescribed to be administered "when required".

Practices for the management of medicines were audited throughout the month by the staff and management. This included running stock balances for most medicines and weekly audits of creams and supplements. In addition, a quarterly audit was completed by the community pharmacist.

Following observation of care records and discussion with the registered manager and staff, it was evident that other healthcare professionals are contacted when required to meet the needs of patients.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to the standard of record keeping, care planning and the administration of medicines.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

The administration of medicines to patients was completed in a caring manner, patients were given time to take their medicines and medicines were administered as discreetly as possible.

It was found that there were good relationships between the staff and the patients. Staff were noted to be friendly and courteous; they treated the patients with dignity. It was clear from discussion and observation of staff, that the staff were familiar with the patients' likes and dislikes.

We spoke to five patients. They were happy with the care that was provided and said that the staff were very kind and helpful. One patient said, "They're great here".

None of the questionnaires that were issued to patients and their representatives were returned within the specified time frame (two weeks) for inclusion in this report. Any comments from patients and their representatives in questionnaires received after the return date will be shared with the registered manager for information and action as required.

Areas of good practice

Staff listened to patients and relatives and took account of their views.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care. We discussed arrangements in place in relation to the equality of opportunity for patients and the importance of staff being aware of equality legislation and recognising and responding to the diverse needs of patients. Arrangements were in place to implement the collection of equality data.

Written policies and procedures for the management of medicines were in place. Following discussion with staff it was evident that they were familiar with the policies and procedures and that any updates were highlighted to staff.

There were robust arrangements in place for the management of medicine related incidents. Staff confirmed that they knew how to identify and report incidents. Medicine related incidents reported since the last medicines management inspection were discussed. There was evidence of the action taken and learning implemented following incidents. In relation to the regional safeguarding procedures, staff confirmed that they were aware that medicine incidents may need to be reported to the safeguarding team.

A review of the audit records indicated that largely satisfactory outcomes had been achieved. Where a discrepancy had been identified, there was evidence of the action taken and learning which had resulted in a change of practice.

Following discussion with the registered manager and registered nurses it was evident that staff were familiar with their roles and responsibilities in relation to medicines management.

Staff confirmed that any concerns in relation to medicines management were raised with management. They advised that there were good working relationships within the home.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to governance arrangements, the management of medicine incidents and quality improvement. There were clearly defined roles and responsibilities for staff.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

| 7.0 Quality improvement plan |
|------------------------------|
|------------------------------|

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority 9th Floor Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST BT1 3BT

Tel028 9536 1111Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Orgin and the second seco

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care