

# Inspection Report

27 November 2023



## Clearwater House

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency  
Address: 3-7 Brookhill Avenue, Belfast, BT1 6BS  
Telephone number: 028 90872233

[www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

---

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website <https://www.rqia.org.uk/>

## 1.0 Service information

<p><b>Organisation/Registered Provider:</b> Clearwater House / Threshold (Richmond Fellowship NI Ltd)</p> <p><b>Responsible Individual:</b> Dr Raman Kapur</p>	<p><b>Registered Manager:</b> Mr John Calvert</p> <p><b>Date registered:</b> 30 November 2015</p>
<p><b>Person in charge at the time of inspection:</b> Mr John Calvert</p>	
<p><b>Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:</b> Clearwater House, located in Belfast, is a supported living type domiciliary care agency provided by Threshold (Richmond Fellowship NI Ltd). The agency provides personal, domestic and social support to service users who are recovering from mental health problems. The support focuses upon the promotion of good mental health and independence, with the aim of each individual moving towards independent living.</p> <p>The ethos of the agency's service provision is developed around the model of a therapeutic community; service users are supported by the agency for an initial period of two years. With support from staff, service users are encouraged to live as independently as possible.</p>	

## 2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 27 November 2023 between 8.55 a.m. and 2.40 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), service user involvement, restrictive practices and Dysphagia management was also reviewed.

An area for improvement was identified, which was related to the security of the premises.

Good practice was identified in relation to service user involvement. There were good governance and management arrangements in place.

### 3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included any previous areas for improvement identified, registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trust.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included questionnaires and an electronic staff survey.

### 4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we spoke with a number of service users and staff members.

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the agency.

Comments received included:

#### **Service users' comments:**

- "I like living here."
- "The staff are good."
- "We had a day trip to Portrush."
- "I feel safe here."
- "Staying here is better than staying in a hostel."

**Staff comments:**

- “Everyone has been really supportive since I started.”
- “I am NISCC registered.”
- “I am encouraged to ask questions.”
- “The training and support has been incredible”
- “I love supervision; you can discuss anything.”
- “Manager very approachable.”
- “No concerns.”
- “Good standard of care.”

A number of staff responded to the electronic survey. The respondents indicated that they were ‘very satisfied’ or ‘satisfied’ that care provided was safe, effective and compassionate and that the service was well led. Written comments included:

- “Great place to work in, even though I haven't been here long I can see myself working here for many more years.”

**5.0 The inspection****5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?**

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 29 November 2022 by a care inspector. A Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) was issued. This was reviewed by the care inspector and was validated during this inspection.

<b>Areas for improvement from the last inspection on 29 November 2022</b>		
<b>Action required to ensure compliance with The Domiciliary Care Agencies Minimum Standards (revised) 2021.</b>		<b>Validation of compliance</b>
<b>Area for improvement 1</b>  <b>Ref:</b> Standard 1 (1.8) (1.9)  <b>Stated:</b> First time  <b>To be completed by:</b> Immediately from the date of inspection	The views of service users and their carer / representatives should be sought formally at least once a year and a summary of the key findings provided to service users and their carers / representatives. Regular informal seeking of feedback from the service users and their carers/ representatives was evident on inspection.  Ref: 5.2.2	<b>Met</b>
	<b>Action taken as confirmed during the inspection:</b> Annual report viewed, evidenced Registered Manager did formally seek the views of service users and their carers/representatives and provided a formal summary/report to reflect key findings.	

## 5.2 Inspection findings

### 5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC). The agency's annual Adult Safeguarding Position report was reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Discussions with the manager established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during induction and every two years thereafter. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse and the process for reporting concerns in normal business hours and out of hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to raising concerns.

The agency retained records of any referrals made to the HSC Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that these had been managed appropriately.

Service users said they had no concerns regarding their safety; they described how they could speak to staff if they had any concerns about safety or the care being provided.

RQIA had been notified appropriately of any incidents that had been reported to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI) in keeping with the regulations. Incidents had been managed appropriately.

The manager reported that none of the service users currently required the use of specialised mobility equipment. They were aware of how to source such training should it be required in the future.

Care reviews had been undertaken in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures. There was also evidence of regular contact with service users, in line with the commissioning trust's requirements.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. The manager advised that no service users required their medicine to be administered with a syringe. The manager was aware that should this be required, that a competency assessment should be undertaken before staff undertook this task.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA) (2016) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack

capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the MCA.

Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) training appropriate to their job roles. The manager reported that none of the service users were subject to DoLS. A resource folder was available for staff to reference.

RQIA were not assured that all possible actions had been undertaken to assure the safety of service users. This relates specifically to the door closure mechanism. An area for improvement has been made in relation to security and intruder prevention measures.

### **5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?**

From reviewing service users' care records and through discussions with service users, it was good to note that service users had an input into devising their own plan of care. The service users' care plans contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and services users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes occur.

It was also good to note that the agency had service users' meetings on a regular basis which enabled the service users to discuss the provisions of their care.

### **5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?**

A service user was assessed by SALT with recommendations provided and some required their food and fluids to be of a specific consistency. A review of training records confirmed that staff had completed training in Dysphagia and in relation to how to respond to choking incidents.

Discussions with staff and review of service users' care records reflected the multi-disciplinary input and the collaborative working undertaken to ensure service users' health and social care needs were met within the agency. There was evidence that staff made referrals to the multi-disciplinary team and these interventions were proactive, timely and appropriate.

### **5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?**

A review of the agency's staff recruitment records confirmed that all pre-employment checks, including criminal record checks (AccessNI), were completed and verified before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC). There was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

### 5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the agency's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured, induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member. Written records were retained by the agency of the person's capability and competency in relation to their job role.

### 5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulations and Standards. A review of the reports of the agency's quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately along with current certificates of public and employers' liability insurance. There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. No complaints were received since the last inspection.

There is a system in place that clearly directs staff as to what actions they should take if they are unable to gain access to a service user's home.

## 6.0 Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)/Areas for Improvement

An area for improvement has been identified where action is required to ensure compliance with The Domiciliary Care Agencies Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007.

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of Areas for Improvement</b>	1	0

The area for improvement and details of the QIP were discussed with Mr John Calvert, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process. The timescales for completion commence from the date of inspection.

<b>Quality Improvement Plan</b>	
<b>Action required to ensure compliance with The Domiciliary Care Agencies Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007</b>	
<p><b>Area for improvement 1</b></p> <p><b>Ref:</b> Regulation 25</p> <p><b>Stated:</b> First time</p> <p><b>To be completed by:</b> Immediately from the date of inspection</p>	<p>The registered person shall ensure the premises are suitable for the purpose of achieving the aims and objectives of the agency set out in the statement of purpose. This relates specifically to the door closure mechanism</p> <p>Ref: 5.2.1</p>
	<p><b>Response by registered person detailing the actions taken:</b></p> <p>The registered person shall ensure the premises are suitable for the purpose of achieving the aims and objective of the agency set out in the statement of purpose.</p>

*\*Please ensure this document is completed in full and returned via Web Portal\**





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority  
James House  
2-4 Cromac Avenue  
Gasworks  
Belfast  
BT7 2JA

**Tel** 028 9536 1111  
**Email** [info@rqia.org.uk](mailto:info@rqia.org.uk)  
**Web** [www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)  
**Twitter** @RQIANews