

# Inspection Report

## 23 September 2022



## Praxis Care Group

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency  
Address: 132 - 136 Thomas Street, Portadown, BT62 3AN  
Telephone number: 02838331196

[www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

---

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website <https://www.rqia.org.uk/>

## 1.0 Service information

<b>Organisation/Registered Provider:</b> Praxis Care Group  <b>Responsible Individual:</b> Mrs Alyson Dunn	<b>Registered Manager:</b> Ms Christine Bracewell - registration pending  <b>Date registered:</b> Ms Christine Bracewell – application received 16 July 2021
<b>Person in charge at the time of inspection:</b> Ms Christine Bracewell	
<b>Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:</b>  Praxis Care Group is a supported living type domiciliary care agency located in Locke House, Portadown. The agency's aim is to provide care and support to meet the needs of people who live in individual flats, and a group setting. Under the direction of the manager, staff are available to support service users 24 hours per day with tasks of everyday living, emotional support and assistance to access community services, with the overall goal of promoting health and maximising quality of life.	

## 2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 23 September 2022 between 9.15 a.m. and 2.45 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), Service user involvement, Restrictive practices, Dysphagia management and Covid-19 guidance was also reviewed.

Good practice was identified in relation to service user involvement. There were good governance and management arrangements in place.

## 3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure

compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included any previous areas for improvement identified, registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trust.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included questionnaires and an electronic survey.

#### **4.0 What did people tell us about the service?**

During the inspection we spoke with a number of service users, relatives and staff members.

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the agency.

Comments received included:

Service users' comments:

- "It's great here."
- "I get support with shopping, cleaning and talking."
- "I feel safe here."
- "My life has improved by being here."

Staff comments:

- "Staff are enthusiastic and go above and beyond."
- "No concerns regarding care or support."
- "Manager very approachable."
- "I love my job."

HSC Trust representatives' comments:

- "Locke House is my preferred provider."
- "No concerns, great continuity of staff, very responsive to the needs of the service users."
- "Service users are well managed."
- "It is very difficult to find any negatives about this service."

Returned questionnaires indicated that the respondents were very satisfied with the care and support provided.

## **5.0 The inspection**

### **5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?**

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 17 February 2022 by a care inspector. No areas for improvement were identified.

## **5.2 Inspection findings**

### **5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?**

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC). The agency's annual Adult Safeguarding Position report was reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Discussions with the manager established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during induction and every two years thereafter. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse and the process for reporting concerns in normal business hours and out of hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to whistleblowing.

The agency retained records of any referrals made to the HSC Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that these had been managed appropriately.

Service users said they had no concerns regarding their safety; they described how they could speak to staff if they had any concerns about safety or the care being provided.

RQIA had been notified appropriately of any incidents that had been reported to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI) in keeping with the regulations. Incidents had been managed appropriately.

Staff were provided with training appropriate to the requirements of their role.

The manager reported that none of the service users currently required the use of specialised mobility equipment. They were aware of how to source relevant training in specialised mobility equipment should it be required in the future.

Care reviews had been undertaken in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures. There was also evidence of regular contact with service users and their representatives, in line with the commissioning trust's requirements.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. The manager advised that no service users required their medicine to be administered with a syringe. The manager was aware that should this be required, a competency assessment would be undertaken before staff undertook this task.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA) (2016) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the MCA. Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) training appropriate to their job roles. The manager reported that none of the service users were subject to DoLS. The register for restrictive practices was viewed.

### **5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?**

From reviewing service users' care records and through discussions with service users, it was good to note that service users had an input into devising their own plan of care. The service users' care plans contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and service users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes occur.

### **5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?**

New standards for thickening food and fluids were introduced in August 2018. This was called the International Dysphagia Diet Standardisation Initiative (IDDSI). Whilst none of the service users had swallowing difficulties, dysphagia training has been undertaken by all staff.

### **5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?**

A review of the agency's staff recruitment records confirmed that all pre-employment checks, including criminal record checks (AccessNI), were completed and verified before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council

(NISCC) there was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

There were no volunteers working in the agency.

#### **5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?**

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the agency's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured, three day induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member. Written records were retained by the agency of the person's capability and competency in relation to their job role.

#### **5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?**

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulations and Standards. A review of the reports of the agency's quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

No incidents had occurred that required investigation under the Serious Adverse Incidents (SAIs) or Significant Event Audits (SEAs) procedures.

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately along with current certificates of public and employers' liability insurance.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. Where complaints were received since the last inspection, these were appropriately managed and were reviewed as part of the agency's quality monitoring process.

The manager had submitted an application to RQIA for registration as manager; this will be reviewed in due course.

There is a system in place that clearly directs staff from the Agency as to what actions they should take if they are unable to gain access to a service users home.

## **6.0 Conclusion**

RQIA was satisfied that this agency was providing services in a safe, effective, caring and compassionate manner and the service was well led by the manager.

## **7.0 Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)/Areas for Improvement**

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with the manager as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.



The **Regulation** and  
**Quality Improvement**  
**Authority**

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

7th Floor, Victoria House  
15-27 Gloucester Street  
Belfast  
BT1 4LS

**Tel** 028 9536 1111

**Email** [info@rqia.org.uk](mailto:info@rqia.org.uk)

**Web** [www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care