

Unannounced Care Inspection Report 15 November 2016











Triangle Housing Association

Domiciliary Care Agency/Supported Living Service 2 Garryduff Road, Ballymoney, BT53 7AF Tel no: 028 2766 7686 Inspectors: Rhonda Simms

Kieran Murray

1.0 Summary

An unannounced inspection of Triangle Housing Association took place on 15 November 2016 from 10.00 to 16.00.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the care was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Is care safe?

During the inspection the inspectors found evidence to indicate the delivery of safe care. Examination of the staffing arrangements showed that the agency maintains a provision of appropriately trained and supervised staff who understand the needs of service users. Staff provided feedback that managers are approachable and accessible for consultation at all times.

The arrangements to protect service users include the provision of safeguarding training which reflects the most up to date regional guidance. The arrangements for the provision of care and support include appropriate involvement of service users, the HSC Trust and relatives. The inspectors found evidence of positive outcomes for service users through a process of person centred assessment, and review of needs, preferences, and risks.

Is care effective?

During the inspection the agency was found to be competently delivering effective care. The agency has systems in place to ensure an effective response to the assessed needs of service users. Service users and/or their representatives are closely involved in the development of care and support plans which are appropriately reviewed. The agency maintains effective communication with service users, relatives and key stakeholders, particularly the HSC Trust. The quality monitoring arrangements include consultations with service users, their representatives and the HSC Trust, and provide a thorough system of audit and service improvement. The inspectors received feedback from service users, professionals and staff, which indicated that service provision had resulted in positive outcomes for service users' lives.

Is care compassionate?

During the inspection the agency was found to be delivering a high standard of person centred compassionate care.

The inspectors observed interactions between staff and service users and received feedback from service users which indicated that the human rights, choice and respect of service users are upheld through service delivery. There was evidence which indicated that the views and wishes of service users are consistently sought by staff on a day to day basis, in addition to formal processes such as monthly quality monitoring and the annual service user survey. The agency maintains systems to seek the views of service users' representatives and there was evidence of regular involvement of representatives as appropriate.

The inspectors noted that the provision of a high standard of compassionate care has enabled service users to enhance the quality of their lives.

Is the service well led?

During the inspection competent delivery of a well led service was found. Management and governance systems have been effectively implemented by the agency to ensure that the needs of service users are met and quality improvement systems are maintained. Agency staff are aware of their roles, responsibilities and accountability systems within the organisational structure. It was noted that staff have access to a manager who has knowledge of the needs of service users at all times. The inspectors noted evidence of effective team working to the benefit of service users. Constructive working relationships with key stakeholders, including relatives and the HSC Trust, have contributed to positive outcomes achieved with service users.

This inspection was underpinned by the Domiciliary Care Agencies Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007 and the Domiciliary Care Agencies Minimum Standards 2011.

1.1 Inspection outcome

	Requirements Recommendations	
Total number of requirements and	0	0
recommendations made at this inspection	0	U

This inspection resulted in no requirements or recommendations being made. Findings of the inspection were discussed with the person in charge at the time of the inspection as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

There were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection.

2.0 Service details

Registered organisation/registered person: Christopher Alexander	Registered manager: Orlagh McGivern
Person in charge of the service at the time of inspection: Team leader	Date manager registered: Orlagh McGivern - 17/07/2015

3.0 Methods/processes

Prior to inspection the following records were analysed:

- Previous inspection report
- Records of notifiable incidents
- Correspondence with RQIA

During the inspection process the inspectors spoke with the team leader; Marie Scullion, a registered manager of another agency managed by provider and regional manager of the agency; seven support workers; three service users, one relative and one HSC Trust professional. During the inspection the inspectors met a further two service users and observed the interactions of staff with a range of service users.

As part of the inspection and at the request of the inspectors, questionnaires were distributed for completion by staff; ten were returned. At the request of the inspectors, questionnaires were distributed for completion by service users; five were returned.

Feedback received by the inspectors during the inspection process is included throughout this report.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- A range of care and support plans
- HSC Trust assessments of needs and risk assessments
- Care review records
- Recording/evaluation of care used by the agency
- Monthly monitoring reports
- Tenant meeting minutes
- Service user evaluation survey results
- Staff meeting minutes
- Records relating to staff training, including induction training
- Records relating to staff supervision
- Complaints records
- Incident records
- Records relating to safeguarding of adults
- Staff rota information
- Staff communication records
- Recruitment policy, 2016
- A range of policies relating to the management of staff
- Supervision policy, 2015
- Induction policy, 2014
- Safeguarding Adults In Need Of Protection policy, 2016
- Restrictive practice policy, 2016
- Use of restrictive practice procedure, 2016
- Management of behaviour which challenges policy, 2016
- Risk management policy, 2015
- Incident policy, 2015
- Whistleblowing policy, 2016
- Statement of Purpose (2016)
- Service User Guide (2015)

4.0 The inspection

Triangle Housing Association at 2 Garryduff Road is a registered domiciliary care agency of a supported living type. The agency provides care and support to seven service users who live in their own home, with shared communal areas.

4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the most recent inspection dated 15/01/2016.

There were no requirements of recommendations made as a result of the last care inspection.

4.2 Is care safe?

The inspectors reviewed staffing arrangements during the inspection. The agency has a recruitment policy and a mechanism in place to ensure that appropriate pre-employment checks are completed and satisfactory.

The agency has a structured induction programme lasting up to ten days shadowing experienced staff, plus five additional days training before the end of the six month probationary period. The agency maintains a record of the induction provided to staff, including details of the information provided during the induction period. Staff are provided with a handbook, and have access to policies, procedures, and guidance. The inspectors spoke to staff members whose feedback supported the above information. Staff provided positive feedback to the inspectors regarding how the induction period prepared them for their roles and responsibilities and how they felt supported by staff, team leaders and managers. A staff member commented, 'I got to work with different people and was learning from others'.

The team leader advised the inspectors that the agency uses a small pool of staff from an employment agency which is also a registered domiciliary care agency. The team leader provided the inspectors with a detailed list of staff, their photographic evidence and evidence of the induction programme provided to them.

Staff rotas and feedback from staff indicated that sufficient numbers of staff are available to meet the needs of service users at all times. The team leader and staff informed the inspectors that future staff rotas/levels would reflect the day and night time needs of a new service user due to take up a tenancy to their home.

Staff commented:

'The rota is very accommodating.' (There are) 'Enough staff.'

Examination of records indicated that a system to ensure that staff supervision and appraisals are planned and completed in accordance with policy has been maintained. It was noted that the agency maintains robust systems to assess the competency of staff, particularly in relation to administering medication and handling service users' finances. Staff who spoke to the inspectors provided feedback that they had received supervision and appraisal in line with policy and procedure; records provided to the inspectors confirmed this. A detailed matrix of completed supervision and appraisal was available in the office.

Records of training and staff feedback indicated that staff attend a range of training necessary to meet the needs of service users. There was evidence that staff have attended training additional to that stated in the Minimum Standards e.g. Makaton communication training, mental health first aid training, ligature training, 'Heartstart' and 'Wellness Recovery Action Plan' (WRAP) training. The inspectors reviewed the staff training matrix which indicated compliance with regulations and standards. Staff interviewed informed inspectors that they had received support from specialist HSC Trust professionals who provided training and guidance which were

beneficial in meeting the specific needs of individual service users. The inspectors were informed that Triangle Housing Association has appointed an individual to provide behaviour support and advice across its domiciliary care agencies and link with HSC Trust professionals. Staff provided positive feedback regarding this role.

Staff commented:

- 'Training is good opportunity to learn.'
- 'All training needs can be discussed.'
- 'Training is good...everyone comes together and there is really good feedback from other projects.'
- 'Training is always ongoing, there are regular updates.'

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was examined by the inspectors. The inspectors viewed a policy maintained by the agency in relation to the safeguarding of adults (2016) in accordance with the regional guidance 'Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership' July 2015. The inspectors received feedback from staff and examined documentation which indicated that safeguarding training provided by the agency includes the regional guidance. Staff informed the inspectors that adult safeguarding training was included in their induction programme; this was confirmed by records reviewed by an inspector. The training manager for Triangle Housing Association delivers safeguarding training and has been appointed as safeguarding champion. Staff interviewed on the day of inspection were able to name the agency safeguarding champion and also the Trust designated officer.

The inspectors noted that staff were confident regarding their roles and responsibilities in relation to safeguarding issues and clear about lines of accountability. The agency maintains a system of access at all times to a registered manager or senior manager who has knowledge of the needs of service users. Staff provided feedback that they are able to access advice and guidance from a manager at all times.

Records reviewed by the inspectors indicated that the agency responds promptly and fully to all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse and makes appropriate referrals and management plans in conjunction with the HSC Trust.

The inspectors received staff feedback which indicated that staff are aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice, and are confident of an appropriate management response.

The inspectors examined the safety of the agency's arrangements to identify and manage risk to service users. Documentary evidence and discussion with staff indicated that referral information and risk assessments are completed by the HSC Trust. Care plans and 'Be Safe' plans reviewed by an inspector reflected risk assessments supplied by the HSC Trust.

The inspectors noted that evidence of review of service users' needs in conjunction with the HSC Trust took place annually or as required. Staff who provided feedback to the inspectors were aware of changes in individual service user's plans as directed by HSC Trust professionals. Agency care plans examined by an inspector had been updated to reflect changes agreed through review with the HSC Trust.

Agency staff provided feedback which indicated that they had an understanding of the management of risk, and an ability to balance risk with the wishes and human rights of individual service users. It was noted that restrictive practices implemented were of the least

restrictive nature considered necessary in conjunction with the HSC Trust and were reviewed regularly and evaluated. An HSC Trust professional commented positively on the staff team's attitude to facilitate service users to appropriately undertake positive risks.

The regional manager and team leader discussed evidence to show how the needs of a service user have been managed, in conjunction with regular review with HSC Trust professionals, in order to maximise their safety and independence within the setting their own home. Inspectors noted that agency staff have provided person centred care to the service user, and made appropriate judgements regarding timely involvement of HSC Trust professionals.

The inspectors found that care and support plans are formally reviewed by agency staff with service users on a six monthly basis, and that monthly reviews between service user and keyworker can highlight changes which result in amendments to care plans.

Service user's comments:

'I'm happy when I see the staff I like.'

Staff comments:

'(Care is) safe and supportive and service users are put first.'

Of questionnaires returned by staff, nine indicated they were 'very satisfied' that care was safe, and one was 'satisfied'. Of questionnaires returned by service users, five indicated they were 'very satisfied' that care was safe.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0

4.3 Is care effective?

The agency's arrangements for appropriately assessing and meeting the needs of people who use the service were examined during the inspection. The full nature and range of service provision is laid out in the Statement of Purpose (2016) and Service User Guide (2015).

The inspectors reviewed service users' care and support plans. The inspectors were informed by staff that person centred care plans are developed with service users and/or their representatives in conjunction with relevant assessments provided by the HSC Trust multidisciplinary team. Examination of a range of care and support plans indicated that information from HSC Trust assessments is incorporated accurately. A community professional provided positive feedback regarding the effectiveness of staff implementation of care plans and professional guidance.

Feedback received by the inspectors from staff and service users indicated that service users have a genuine influence on the content of their care plans. The inspectors had an opportunity to speak to one family member who also confirmed their involvement in care and support plans along with attending reviews.

The inspectors were informed that care and support plans are reviewed on a six monthly basis or sooner if required. The inspectors were informed that service users are involved prior to these reviews and saw 'Preparation for review' forms which recorded the views of service users. The team leader advised the inspectors that monthly reviews were carried out by each key worker in conjunction with individual service users. It was noted by the inspectors that a newly appointed individual within Triangle Housing Association whose role is to provide positive behaviour support has provided input to the review process.

The inspectors particularly noted that review of restrictive practices and risk assessments are included in specialist HSC Trust review/reassessment records, and reflected accurately in agency care and support plans. The inspectors noted that where a service user could be restricted unnecessarily by a restriction on another service user, the agency has successfully taken appropriate steps to minimise or remove the potential for restriction.

Service users' comments:

- 'Staff do a good job'
- 'Speak to key workers if I have a problem'
- 'I get privacy and respect'

Relative's comments:

'Any changes I will be informed'
'I go to my relative's reviews'

Staff comments:

Care is 'very effective and always promote new experiences and challenges.'

The agency has developed and maintained a thorough quality monitoring system to oversee, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to service users. Monthly quality monitoring is undertaken by managers who have a good working knowledge of the service. The quality monitoring system provides a thorough standard of monitoring in accordance with RQIA guidance. Quality monitoring reports included consultation with a range of service users, staff, relatives as appropriate and HSC Trust professionals, and progress on improvement matters. The inspectors noted that HSC Trust professionals provided comments regarding how well the agency team communicate regarding service users' behaviour; the 'holistic' manner in which service users' needs are met; and the delivery of safe and effective care in a manner which promotes service users' independence.

The agency maintains communication systems to ensure that staff receive information relevant to the care and support of service users. Staff described effective verbal and written communication systems within the staff team and with the registered manager, including the use of delegation sheets, a diary and daily verbal handovers. It was noted that staff meeting minutes recorded the discussion of information regarding service users and a range of relevant issues including: NISCC standards and preparation for RQIA inspections.

During the inspection the inspectors noted that a team meeting was taking place to update all staff on the care and support needs of a new service user who was due to move to the agency. Staff commented, 'we are aware of the care plan and the service user's hopes'.

Review of team meeting records indicated that team meetings took place every three months; staff who provided feedback to inspectors verified this timescale and advised inspectors that

they could contribute items to the agenda for these meetings. Staff who spoke with the inspectors indicated the staff team is supportive to each other and that staff communication is good.

Examination of documentation and discussion with staff indicated that the agency promotes good working relationships with the HSC Trust and refers to or consults with a range of appropriate professionals when relevant. A community professional provided positive feedback regarding effective regular communication with the agency which led to positive outcomes for service users.

It was evident that the agency maintains a range of methods to communicate with and record the comments of service users, including through routinely speaking with service users on a daily basis and being available for discussion. In the course of the inspection it was noted that service users freely approached staff as they wished and appeared to enjoy good relationships with staff. Staff discussed how they learnt to communicate with a service user who has communication needs by obtaining specific training in Makaton.

Review of tenant meeting minutes by an inspector showed the views of service users are taken into account in planning and making decisions. The inspectors were informed by the team leader that service users are also invited to contribute to the Triangle Housing Association Tenants' Advisory Group, and to attend the Tenants' Conference, which is service user led. Inspectors were advised that service users have availed of befriending services from a local advocacy network.

Of questionnaires returned by staff, ten indicated they were 'very satisfied' that care was effective. Of questionnaires returned by service users, four indicated they were 'very satisfied' that care was effective and one was 'satisfied'.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
4.4 Is care compassionate?			

The inspection sought to assess the agency's ability to treat service users with dignity and respect, and to fully involve service users/their representatives in decisions affecting their care and support. On the day of inspection the inspectors found that an ethos of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity was reflected throughout staff attitudes and the delivery of the service.

Discussion with staff and observation of their interactions with service users during the inspection showed that staff understand and respect the differing needs and wishes of service users. The inspectors observed that the language and behaviour of staff sensitively promoted the independence and choice of service users throughout their interactions with service users.

The inspectors were invited to visit service users in the communal areas of their homes. The interests of service users were reflected through the display of arts, crafts, evidence of hobbies and photographs. The inspectors particularly noted displays of photographs which reflected service users enjoying holidays and social activities.

Feedback from staff indicated that they have developed knowledge of individual service users through careful observation and interaction over time. The inspectors noted that staff have made particular efforts to facilitate service users to achieve goals and participate in activities of their choice. During the inspection progress, staff facilitated the inspectors in communication with a service user regarding their interests and preferences.

It was evident from discussion with staff, service users and an HSC Trust professional, that the agency promotes the independence, equality and diversity of service users. Service users are encouraged and facilitated to participate in activities in the local and wider community, with appropriate staff support. The inspectors found that service users are involved in making plans for future activities such as holidays, leisure activities, and the purchase of items for their homes.

The inspectors noted that service users' care plans were very person centred specific to the individual, with the inclusion of pictorial guides which reflected service users' wishes or needs. The likes and dislikes of each service user were noted in detail in their file, alongside information about preferred means of communication and delivery of care. Some service users had individual pictorial guides in their homes. The inspectors noted that where the agency is implementing a behaviour plan in conjunction with the HSC Trust, this plan is applied in a manner which is most specific and relevant to the individual, and is understood by staff.

The inspectors noted that service users are encouraged to develop their independence inside and outside of their own homes. On the day of inspection, a number of service users were involved in a range of activities with various voluntary and statutory sector agencies, and activities within the local community.

Discussion with service users and observations during the day of inspection indicated that service users are fully involved in day to day decisions and routines. The inspectors observed staff using language and behaving in a manner which encouraged service users to make their own choices, whilst balancing their health and wellbeing needs. It was evident to the inspectors that service users had individual plans and goals, which the agency staff were enabling them to progress. The inspectors found that the agency has participated in liaison with a range of professionals and agencies to enable service users to make decisions, whilst providing appropriate safe guards. It was noted that the process of supporting service users to make informed decisions has involved the agency in the consideration of complex issues regarding safeguarding and human rights. An HSC Trust professional commented positively on the ability of agency staff to participate in appropriate positive risk taking in promoting the independence and choice of service users.

During the inspection the inspectors noted examples of how service user choice was being upheld by agency staff. For example, service users' preferences of whether or not to meet the inspectors were fully respected. Service users who wished to meet the inspectors were provided with privacy as appropriate, and communication facilitated where need be. The inspectors noted that service users have choice regarding their daily routines, including the choice not to partake of activity.

The views of service users are recorded through the minutes of tenants' meetings. The inspectors noted that the views of service users and service user involvement are included as standing agenda items in each service user meeting. Tenant meeting minutes recorded discussions on a range of matters, including decisions made by service users regarding the purchase of items and future activities.

The inspectors noted that the agency actively promotes service user involvement across the wider agency through the Triangle Housing Association Tenants' Advisory Group. A service user has been involved with the Tenants' Advisory Group which has contributed to the development of a range of information in a suitable format for service users on a range of subjects such as keeping safe, how to make a complaint, and a tenancy agreement.

The agency maintains a range of quality monitoring systems to evaluate the quality of services provided, including monthly quality monitoring reports which specifically ascertain and include the views of service users and their representatives. The inspectors examined the report of the annual service user evaluation survey 2016, which reflected a high level of satisfaction amongst service users regarding the care they receive and the manner in which staff treat them.

The inspectors were informed that the agency responded to service user feedback in 2015 which directly resulted in changes to the format of the survey in 2016. The agency maintains formal processes to ascertain and respond to the views of relatives, such as monthly quality monitoring, review meetings and a relatives' evening which was held in May 2016.

The inspectors were provided with examples of information provided to service users, such as how to make a complaint, human rights information, and how to stay safe.

Relative's comments:

'Staff are very friendly and welcoming'

Staff comments:

Care is 'compassionate and empathetic.'

- 'Service users are always asked for their input (in care and support plans)...and can make changes.'
- 'We say 'is this ok for you, what would you like'.'
- 'Service users are very much able to say what they like and don't like.'

Of questionnaires returned by staff, ten indicated they were 'very satisfied' that care was compassionate. Of questionnaires returned by service users, five indicated they were 'very satisfied' that care was compassionate.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0

4.5 Is the service well led?

The inspectors examined management and governance systems in place to meet the needs of service users. It was noted that robust systems of management and governance established by Triangle Housing Association have been implemented at the agency. The day to day operation of the agency is overseen by a registered manager who is supported by a regional manager. The management structure of the agency is clearly defined and was well understood by staff.

Staff provided positive feedback to inspectors regarding effective working relationships within the staff team and with managers. A staff member commented that staff are invited to express

their views on a range of matters and receive feedback regarding management response. Staff are invited to attend an annual staff conference.

The inspectors saw evidence of a systematic approach to reviewing information with the aim of improving safety and quality of life for service users. This includes the agency's governance of risk which includes appropriate policies and procedures, regular audit of adverse incidents including safeguarding incidents and incidents notifiable to RQIA, and service improvement strategies implemented by the senior management team. A staff member commented that the agency is 'always trying to improve.'

The agency operates a robust training system and has an appointed training manager/safeguarding champion who is available for consultation with staff on training and safeguarding issues. It was noted that senior managers available for consultation out of hours have a working knowledge of the service and are respected by staff. Feedback from staff indicated that they are confident that managers would listen and respond to their concerns.

The agency maintains a comprehensive range of policies and procedures which are reviewed at least every three years. Policies and procedures are maintained on an electronic system accessible to all staff, and paper policies are retained in the office used by staff daily.

The agency maintains and implements a policy relating to complaints and compliments. The inspectors noted that no complaints were received and addressed in accordance with agency procedures during the reporting period of 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016.

There are effective systems of formal and informal supervision and consultation, both inside and outside of normal working hours. Staff that provided feedback to the inspectors were informed of their responsibilities and understood their roles. Written and verbal guides to daily roles and responsibilities were available to staff on a daily basis. It was noted that staff had been provided with specific information regarding the role of RQIA, inspection guidance issued by RQIA to providers, and their role in the event of an RQIA inspection. Staff could describe how they would respond to concerns about performance of a colleague and knew how to access the whistleblowing policy.

The registered person has worked effectively with RQIA and maintained their roles and responsibilities in accordance with legislation.

Feedback provided to the inspectors indicated that there are effective collaborative working relationships with key stakeholders, including the HSC Trust and families, which are valued by staff. The inspectors noted that the agency had received positive feedback through the quality monitoring report from HSC Trust professionals regarding the ability of the agency staff to work in partnership to meet the needs of service users. It was evident to the inspectors that effective partnership working with Trust professionals has resulted in positive outcomes for service users.

Staff comments:

'The staff team, team leaders and service manager all work together and I feel free to talk to anyone regarding any issues.'

Of questionnaires returned by staff, ten indicated they were 'very satisfied' that the service was well led. Of questionnaires returned by service users, five indicated they were 'very satisfied' that the service was well led.

RQIA ID: 10904 Inspection ID: IN25839

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
------------------------	---	---------------------------	---

5.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no issues identified during this inspection, and a QIP is neither required, nor included, as part of this inspection report.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

9th Floor

Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place

BELFAST

BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500

Fax 028 9051 7501

Email info@rqia.org.uk

Web www.rqia.org.uk

@RQIANews