

Inspection Report

25 September 2023











342 Ormeau Road

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency Address: 342 Ormeau Road, Belfast, BT7 2GE Telephone number: 028 95042813

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website https://www.rqia.org.uk/

1.0 Service information

Organisation/Registered Provider:

Belfast HSC Trust

Registered Manager:

Mr Padraic Fenlon

Responsible Individual:

Dr Catherine Jack

Date registered:

03/12/2011

Person in charge at the time of inspection:

Mr Padraic Fenlon

Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:

342 Ormeau Road is a domiciliary care agency supported living type located in the Belfast Health and Social Care Trust (BHSCT) area which provides personal care and housing support to a maximum of 12 service users with mental ill health, dual diagnosis and complex needs.

2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 25 September 2023 between 9.15 a.m. and 1.15 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), service user involvement, restrictive practices and dysphagia management was also reviewed.

No areas for improvement were identified.

Good practice was identified in relation to service user involvement, quality monitoring reporting and incident management.

3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trust.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included questionnaires and an electronic survey.

4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we spoke with a number of service users, relatives and staff members.

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the agency.

Comments received included:

Service users' comments:

- "I am very happy here."
- "I feel very supported and encouraged."
- "I am the very best I have been for over 18 years since living here."
- "I have no complaints."
- "My room is lovely, it is nice here, I get plenty of support."

Feedback was also available from previous service users, comments included:

- Staff are excellent at listening, showing respect and helping me to feel safe.
- The best thing about the care received was that staff were great

Service users' relatives' comments:

- "This is the best place my son has ever been."
- "I can sleep at night when he is living here."
- "All the staff are very friendly, they keep you informed and are very willing to answer all my questions."
- "I wouldn't want him to live anywhere else."

Staff comments:

- "Service users receive an excellent standard of care."
- "We have some staffing issues but these are managed well."
- "I am happy to raise any concerns and am confident that my manager will listen and act on these."
- "My training is up to date."
- "I am registered with NISCC."
- "I am aware of the policy for missing persons and for accessing the homes of the service users in emergency situations."
- "This is a hard job, but very rewarding, there is good support from our team."

HSC Trust representatives' comments:

- "This is a really busy scheme."
- "They give people time, support and encourage independence."
- "The staff are very patient."
- "Communication is good; they are very flexible when plans need to change."
- "I think they could improve the service user experience by considering offering self-catering."

There were no responses to the questionnaires or the electronic staff survey.

5.0 The inspection

5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 7 October 2022 by a care inspector. No areas for improvement were identified.

5.2 Inspection findings

5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC).

Discussions with the manager established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during induction and every two years thereafter. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their

responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse and the process for reporting concerns in normal business hours and out of hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to raising concerns.

The agency retained records of any referrals made to the HSC Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that these had been managed appropriately.

Service users said they had no concerns regarding their safety; they described how they could speak to staff if they had any concerns about safety or the care being provided.

RQIA had been notified appropriately of any incidents that had been reported to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI) in keeping with the regulations. Incidents had been managed appropriately.

The manager reported that none of the service users currently required the use of specialised equipment. They were aware of how to source such training should it be required in the future.

Care reviews had been undertaken in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures. There was also evidence of regular contact with service users and their representatives, in line with the commissioning trust's requirements.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. The manager advised that no service users required their medicine to be administered with a syringe. The manager/person in charge was aware that should this be required; a competency assessment would be undertaken before staff undertook this task.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA) (2016) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the MCA.

Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) training appropriate to their job roles. The manager reported that none of the service users were subject to DoLS. A resource folder was available for staff to reference. A restrictive practice register was available to review.

5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?

From reviewing service users' care records and through discussions with service users, it was good to note that service users had an input into devising their own plan of care. The service users' care plans contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and services users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes occur.

5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

None of the current service users had dysphagia needs identified. A review of training records confirmed that staff had completed training in Dysphagia and in relation to how to respond to choking incidents.

5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?

A review of the agency's staff recruitment records confirmed that all pre-employment checks, including criminal record checks (AccessNI), were completed and verified before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC) or the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC). There was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the agency's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured, at least three-day induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member.

5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulations and Standards. A review of the reports of the agency's quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

RQIA is aware of a Serious Adverse Incident (SAI) that is being investigated by the Belfast HSC Trust. Whilst RQIA is satisfied that measures have been put in place to reduce the risk of recurrence, RQIA awaits the SAI reports which will be available when the investigations are concluded. These will be reviewed at future inspection to ensure that any recommendations are embedded into practice.

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. Where complaints were received since the last inspection, these were appropriately managed and were reviewed as part of the agency's quality monitoring process.

There is a system in place that clearly directs staff as to what actions they should take if they are unable to gain access to a service user's home.

6.0 Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)/Areas for Improvement

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Mr Padraic Fenlon, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority James House 2-4 Cromac Avenue Gasworks Belfast BT7 2JA

Tel 028 9536 1111

Email info@rqia.org.uk

Web www.rqia.org.uk

@RQIANews