

Inspection Report

8 December 2023



Community Rehabilitation Service (Elderly)

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency Address: Mourne Villa, Knockbracken Health Care Park, Belfast, BT8 8BH Telephone number: 028 9504 7107

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website https://www.rqia.org.uk/

1.0 Service information

Organisation/Registered Provider:
Belfast Health and Social Care Trust

Responsible Individual: Dr Catherine Jack Registered Manager: Mrs Lucy Anne May

Date registered: 17 May 2022

Person in charge at the time of inspection: Operational Manager

Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:

Community Rehabilitation Service (Elderly) is a domiciliary care agency which provides a range of personal care services to service users living in the south and east Belfast area. A multi-disciplinary team, including an occupational therapist and physiotherapist, assesses and plans care for the service users and provides professional intervention as required.

2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 8 December 2023 between 10.30 a.m. and 430 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), service user involvement, restrictive practices and Dysphagia management was also reviewed.

Good practice was identified in relation to service user involvement. There were good governance and management arrangements in place.

3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trust.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included questionnaires and an electronic survey.

4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we spoke with a number of service users and staff members.

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the agency.

Comments received included:

Service users' comments:

 "I am happy with the service. The staff are never rushed and spend time with me. The staff are all very nice. If I had any concerns, I would share them with the staff or my social worker. They left contact details of who to contact if I have any concerns."

Staff comments:

 "The manager is approachable and has an open door policy. If I had any concerns, I would speak to the manager without hesitation. I am aware to keep my NISCC registration up to date and also keep my mandatory training up to date. Staff are always given the opportunity to refresh mandatory training. The service is very service user focused. The care plans are regularly reviewed and care calls are never rushed. I have no concerns about the service."

Returned questionnaires indicated that the respondents were very satisfied with the care and support provided. Written comments included:

• "I am very happy with my care package."

No responses were received to the electronic staff survey.

5.0 The inspection

5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 28 February 2023 by a care inspector. No areas for improvement were identified.

5.2 Inspection findings

5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC).

Discussions with the person in charge established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during induction and every two years thereafter. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse and the process for reporting concerns in normal business hours and out of hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to whistleblowing.

The agency retained records of any referrals made to the Health and Social Care (HSC) Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. No adult safeguarding referrals were made since the last inspection.

Service users said they had no concerns regarding their safety; they described how they could speak to staff if they had any concerns about safety or the care being provided. The agency had provided service users with details of the process for reporting any concerns.

The person in charge was aware that RQIA must be informed of any safeguarding incident that is reported to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI).

Staff were provided with training appropriate to the requirements of their role. Where service users required the use of specialised mobility equipment to assist them with moving, this was included within the agency's mandatory training programme. A review of care records identified that moving and handling risk assessments and care plans were up to date.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. The person in charge advised that no service users required their medicine to be administered with a syringe.

The person in charge was aware that should this be required, a competency assessment would be undertaken before staff undertook this task.

The Mental Capacity Act (Northern Ireland) (MCA) (2016) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the MCA.

Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) training appropriate to their job roles. The person in charge reported that none of the service users were subject to DoLS.

5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?

From reviewing service users' care records, it was good to note that service users had an input into their own plan of care. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and services users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided.

5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

Whilst none of the service users had swallowing difficulties, it was positive to note that staff had completed training in dysphagia and the management of a choking incident.

5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?

Staff recruitment was completed in conjunction with the organisation's Human Resources (HR) department and managed in accordance with the Regulation and Minimum Standards, before staff member's commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users.

Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC) and any other relevant regulatory body; there was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed Rehabilitation Assistants had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line

with the agency's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member. Written records were retained by the agency of the person's capability and competency in relation to their job role.

5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulations and Standards. A review of the reports of the agency's quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

No incidents had occurred that required investigation under the Serious Adverse Incidents (SAI) procedure.

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. No complaints were received since the last inspection.

There was a system in place to ensure that records were retrieved from discontinued packages of care in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures.

There was a system in place for reporting any instances where staff are unable to gain access to a service user's home.

6.0 Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)/Areas for Improvement

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with person in charge and the Assistant Service Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority James House

2-4 Cromac Avenue Gasworks Belfast BT7 2JA

Tel028 9536 1111Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Image: Imag

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care