

Unannounced Care Inspection Report 3 March 2017











Prospects Beacon Centre

Type of service: Day Care Service

Address: River Suite, 5-7 Parkview Road, Castlederg, BT81 7AH

Tel no: 028 8167 0600 Inspector: Angela Graham

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

1.0 Summary

An unannounced inspection of Prospects Beacon Centre took place on 3 March 2017 from 09.50 hours to 15.55 hours.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the day care service was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Is care safe?

On the day of the inspection Prospects Beacon Centre was found to be delivering safe care. There was positive feedback from all service users about the delivery of safe care in the day centre. Observations of care practices showed there was a culture of ensuring service users were safe and protected from harm. Staff were knowledgeable of their specific roles and responsibilities in relation to adult safeguarding.

The staff in Prospects Beacon Centre were observed caring for a range of service users' needs. On the day of the inspection the staffing levels were responsive to service user's needs, welfare and safety.

Is care effective?

On the day of the inspection it was established that the care in Prospects Beacon Centre was effective. Observations of staff interactions with service users and discussions with a total of five service users and a service user's representative evidenced this. There were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to service users.

The inspection of elements of three service users care records; incident recording; discussion with the service users, a service user's representative and staff concluded care was being delivered at the right time, in the right place, and with the best outcome. Staff demonstrated a high level of commitment to ensure service users received the right care at the right time. Staff spoken with understood their role, function and responsibilities. Staff also confirmed that if they had any concerns, they could raise these with the registered manager.

One area for quality improvement was identified during the inspection regarding this domain. The registered manager should ensure that when no recordable events occur, there is an entry at least every five attendances for each service user to confirm that this is the case.

Is care compassionate?

On the day of the inspection Prospects Beacon Centre was found to be delivering compassionate care. The inspection of records, observations of practice and discussions with staff, a service user's representative and service users confirmed that service users were being treated with compassion, dignity and respect. Furthermore they were encouraged by staff to be involved in decisions affecting their care and support.

Staff were observed responding to service users' needs and requests promptly and professionally. Discussion with service users and observation provided evidence that service users were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner.

Is the service well led?

On the day of the inspection there was evidence of effective leadership and management in Prospects Beacon Centre and a culture focused on the needs of service users.

The discussion with staff and service users regarding management arrangements confirmed they were informed regarding the arrangements and the staffs role and responsibilities. Staff confirmed that they were well supported in their roles and that good training is provided.

This inspection was underpinned by The Day Care Setting Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007, the Day Care Settings Minimum Standards 2012.

1.1 Inspection outcome

	Requirements	Recommendations
Total number of requirements and recommendations made at this inspection	0	1

Details of the Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) within this report were discussed with Ciara McGoldrick, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process. The timescales for completion commence from the date of inspection.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

There were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection.

2.0 Service details

Registered organisation/registered person: William Henry Murphy	Registered manager: Ciara McGoldrick
Person in charge of the service at the time of inspection: Ciara McGoldrick	Date manager registered: Ciara McGoldrick 11 November 2016

3.0 Methods/processes

- The registration details of the day centre
- Written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection
- The previous care inspection report.

Specific methods/processes used in this inspection include the following:

- Discussion with the registered manager
- Discussion with two care staff
- Discussion with five service users
- Discussion with one service user's representative
- Examination of records
- File audits
- Evaluation and feedback

The registered manager was provided with ten questionnaires to distribute to service users; five staff members and five relatives for their completion. The questionnaires asked for service user, staff and relatives views regarding the service, and requesting their return to RQIA. One relative and eight service users' questionnaires were returned to RQIA. The content of the questionnaires is discussed in the main body of the report.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Complaints and compliments records
- Accident/untoward incident record
- Staff roster
- Staff supervision and appraisal records
- Elements of three service users' care records
- Sample of policies and procedures
- · Sample of quality assurance audits
- Staff training information
- Minutes of three staff meetings
- · Minutes of three service user meetings
- Three monthly monitoring reports

4.0 The inspection

4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the last care inspection dated 1 March 2016.

There were no requirements or recommendations made as a result of the last care inspection.

4.2 Is care safe?

The registered manager confirmed the planned daily staffing levels for the day care centre, and that these levels were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the service users were met. A review of the staffing roster for weeks commencing 3 February until 3 March 2017 evidenced that the planned staffing levels were adhered to.

Staff consulted confirmed that staffing levels met the assessed needs of the service users. Observation of the delivery of care evidenced that service users' needs were met by the numbers of staff on duty.

Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21, Schedule 2 of The Day Care Setting Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007 and that records were retained at the organisation's personnel department.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the centre for any period in the absence of the registered manager. Records of competency and capability assessments were retained and examined during the inspection.

Review of a sample of staff training records concluded staff had received mandatory and other training relevant to their roles and responsibilities since the previous care inspection.

Review of records pertaining to accidents, incidents and notifications forwarded to RQIA confirmed that these were appropriately managed.

The staff on duty on the day of inspection discussed the needs of the service users they were looking after. They gave a clear description of their needs and how those needs will be met. They knew who may need additional time to manage and support their behaviour; and gave examples of how they respond in a safe way to calm and redirect service users. Overall the staff described their aim was for service users to enjoy their time in the day service by undertaking stimulating activities and for service users to feel comfortable and safe in the group environment. The staff confirmed if they had any concerns regarding safe practice they would discuss this with their colleagues and/or the management team; whom they advised were accessible and supportive. Staff confirmed service users are encouraged to give their views, opinions and preferences. In summary the discussion with staff confirmed they were proactively promoting safe care for service users in this setting.

The registered manager and staff spoken with clearly demonstrated knowledge of their specific roles and responsibilities in relation to adult safeguarding. The registered manager stated that there were no current or ongoing safeguarding concerns.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they had attended safeguarding vulnerable adults training in March 2016.

A review of the day centre's environment was undertaken and the day centre was found to be warm, fresh smelling and clean throughout. There was evidence that hand hygiene was promoted through notices, handwashing supplies and facilities. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of service users, visitors or staff. Fire exits and corridors were observed to be clear of clutter and obstruction.

Eight service users completed questionnaires for this inspection. These service users confirmed they felt safe in the setting; they could talk to staff if they were unhappy or had any issues or concerns, they could tell someone if they were worried about someone being treated badly, the setting is comfortable and they knew what to do if the fire alarm sounded.

One relative returned a questionnaire to RQIA post inspection. The relative confirmed they were very satisfied with the safe care in Prospects Beacon Centre. They stated their relative is safe and protected from harm, they could talk to staff, the environment is suitable to meet their relative's needs and they would report concerns to the manager.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

4.3 Is care effective?

Discussion with the registered manager established that staff in the day care setting responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the service users.

Review of elements of three service users' care records reflected there are risk assessments and care plans in place. There was evidence that risk and other assessments informed the care planning process. There was also recorded evidence of multi-professional collaboration. Care recording for every five attendances was not being maintained in two of the three care records inspected. A recommendation has been made to address this issue.

Service users and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process.

Review of elements of three service users' care records confirmed annual reviews of the individual's day care placement had taken place in the previous year.

It was observed during this inspection that records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The registered manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to service users at appropriate intervals.

Records were made available for inspection concerning audits of the environment, staff training, supervision and appraisal and care records and evidenced that actions identified for improvement had been completed.

Evidence was provided to verify systems were in place to ensure effective communication with service users, their representatives and key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional reviews, service users and meetings.

Discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the day care setting. Staff stated that there was effective teamwork; each staff member knew their role, function and responsibilities. Staff also confirmed that if they had any concerns, they could raise these with the registered manager. All grades of staff consulted clearly demonstrated the ability to communicate effectively with their colleagues and other health care professionals.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records evidenced that service user meetings were generally held monthly. The last meeting was held on 20 February 2017 and minutes were made available.

There was information available to staff, service users and representatives in relation to advocacy arrangements.

Observation of practices evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with service users.

Eight service users' completed questionnaires. These service users confirmed they were getting the right care at the right time; staff were communicating well with them; their choices are listened to; they choose the activities they take part in; and they had been involved in the annual review of their day centre placement.

One relative returned a questionnaire to RQIA post inspection. The relative confirmed they were very satisfied with the effective care. They stated their relative gets the right care, at the right time, in the right place. They also confirmed they are satisfied with communication with staff, their awareness of their relative's needs, preferences and choices and that these are incorporated into the care they receive and that they are involved in their relative's annual review.

Areas for improvement

One area for quality improvement was identified during the inspection regarding this domain. The registered manager should ensure that when no recordable events occur, there is an entry at least every five attendances for each service user to confirm that this is the case.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	1
------------------------	---	---------------------------	---

4.4 Is care compassionate?

The registered manager confirmed that staff in the day care setting promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of the service users.

Discussion with staff regarding the activities they were delivering confirmed the activities were tailored to meet the needs of the service users, as well as promoting their strengths and providing choice. Observations of service users taking part in activities showed participation was good.

During the observation and discussions staff were observed checking service users were comfortable, that their needs and preferences were being met. If the staff identified someone needed additional support they sensitively and discretely got their attention and accompanied the service user to where they could get more individualised care and privacy. Overall staff were observed supporting service users in a compassionate way, encouraging service users to be involved in their care and promoting their independence.

An observation of the lunch time meal confirmed that service users were given a choice in regards to where they preferred to dine, food and fluid choices and the level of help and support requested. Staff treated service users with dignity and respect affording adequate time for service users to make decisions and choices, staff offered reassurance and assistance appropriately. Staff interactions with service users were observed to be compassionate, caring and timely.

Staff were aware of each service user's individual needs and were observed to respond positively and warmly. During discussion staff presented as knowledgeable and informed regarding each service user's needs.

The staff described they consult with service users informally when they are in the day care setting regarding their needs, preferences and choices. They described consultation is not always discussion; it can also be gauging preferences through body language or behaviour.

Service users are consulted on a formal basis via service users' meetings and the annual review of their day care placement.

Service users spoken with during the inspection commented positively in regard to the care they received. Examples of some of the comments made by service users are listed below:

- "I like it here. I seen some old items this morning from the Folk Park. I enjoyed looking at them and talking about them. It reminded me of the old days."
- "I get to choose what I want to eat."
- "Staff are lovely and always help when I need it."
- "If I wanted to talk to staff about anything I would talk to Ciara."
- "I like doing art when I am here."

The inspector met with one service user's representative. The service user's representative spoke positively of the service, care delivered and the staff team. Examples of some of the comments made by the service user's representative are listed below:

- "The service user has recently started attending the day centre and they have settled well."
- "Staff inform me of any changes that occurred during the service users time in the day centre."
- "A very good service."

Consultation with service users regarding compassionate care and service users' questionnaires identified they were treated with respect and are involved in decisions affecting them, the staff are kind and caring, their privacy is respected; they have choices and are involved in decisions.

One relative returned a questionnaire to RQIA post inspection. The relative confirmed they were very satisfied their relative was treated with dignity and respect and involved in decisions affecting their care. Their relative is treated well and they are consulted regarding decisions.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0

4.5 Is the service well led?

The registered manager confirmed that there were management and governance systems in place to meet the needs of service users.

A range of policies and procedures were in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Staff confirmed that they had access to the day centre's policies and procedures.

Discussion with staff confirmed they knew how to respond to a range of situations such as responding to issues of dissatisfaction or a vulnerable adult concern. The staff confirmed there is good communication across the team and clear working together practices. They described the management team as supportive and they knew they could speak to them for guidance or advice. All grades of staff were clear who they report to and what to do if they had a concern about a staff member or service user. Discussions with staff also confirmed that management were responsive to any suggestions or concerns raised.

Discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that systems were in place to monitor staff performance and ensure that staff received support and guidance. A schedule for annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was available for inspection.

A review of the schedule confirmed that supervisions were completed for staff on a quarterly basis, or more frequently, and staff appraisals were completed annually. Discussion with staff confirmed that they had received supervision and appraisal accordingly.

Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that staff meetings were held generally monthly, and records verified this. The last meeting was held on 9 January 2017 and minutes were available. The previous staff meeting had been undertaken on 5 December 2016. The registered manager confirmed that the minutes of staff meetings were made available for staff to consult.

The registered manager confirmed that no complaints were received since the previous care inspection on 1 March 2016. Compliments records were also recorded and maintained by staff.

The inspector discussed the monitoring arrangements under Regulation 28 of The Day Care Setting Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007. A monitoring visit had been undertaken on 24 February 2017 however a report of the visit was not available in the service on the day of inspection. Three monitoring reports were reviewed from November 2016 to January 2017. The monitoring officer reported on the conduct of the day care setting and any improvements required were put into an action plan.

The certificate of registration issued by RQIA was displayed in a prominent position in the day care setting.

There were systems in place to ensure that notifiable events were investigated and reported to RQIA or other relevant bodies appropriately.

Based on the findings of this care inspection RQIA concluded the day care service was well led. There was evidence of good leadership, robust and effective management and governance systems in Prospects Beacon Centre which were focused on the needs of service users.

Eight service users' questionnaires confirmed the service was managed well; they said they knew the manager and could talk to the manager if they had any concerns. The service users also confirmed staff had responded well to them and they are asked what they would like to do in the setting.

The relative questionnaire confirmed they were very satisfied the service was managed well; staff and the manager are approachable, professional and caring. They were informed about the complaints process and they have a copy of the service user's guide.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
------------------------	---	---------------------------	---

5.0 Quality improvement plan

Any issues identified during this inspection are detailed in the QIP. Details of the QIP were discussed with, Ciara McGoldrick, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process. The timescales commence from the date of inspection.

The registered provider/manager should note that failure to comply with regulations may lead to further enforcement action including possible prosecution for offences. It is the responsibility of the registered provider to ensure that all requirements and recommendations contained within the QIP are addressed within the specified timescales.

Matters to be addressed as a result of this inspection are set in the context of the current registration of the day care setting. The registration is not transferable so that in the event of any future application to alter, extend or to sell the premises RQIA would apply standards current at the time of that application.

5.1 Statutory requirements

This section outlines the actions which must be taken so that the registered provider meets legislative requirements based on The Day Care Setting Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007.

5.2 Recommendations

This section outlines the recommended actions based on research, recognised sources and Day Care Settings Minimum Standards 2012. They promote current good practice and if adopted by the registered provider/manager may enhance service, quality and delivery.

5.3 Actions to be taken by the registered provider

The QIP should be completed and detail the actions taken to meet the legislative requirements and recommendations stated. The registered provider should confirm that these actions have been completed and return the completed QIP to day.care@rqia.org.uk for assessment by the inspector.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards. It is expected that the requirements and recommendations outlined in this report will provide the registered provider with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities and enhance practice within the service.

Quality Improvement Plan		
Recommendations		
Recommendation 1	The registered manager should ensure that when no recordable events occur, there is an entry at least every five attendances for each service	
Ref: Standard 7.5	user to confirm that this is the case.	
Stated: First time	Response by registered provider detailing the actions taken: This was an oversight by staff who had mistakenly used group session	
To be completed by: 30 April 2017	notes on the system, rather than required individual notes, for a one month period at most. This has been rectified and session notes are no longer being used in this scheme.	

^{*}Please ensure this document is completed in full and returned to day.care@rqia.org.uk from the authorised email address*





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

9th Floor

Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST

BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500

Fax 028 9051 7501

Email info@rqia.org.uk

Web www.rqia.org.uk

@RQIANews