

Inspection Report

25 June 2024



Oakridge Social Education Centre

Type of service: Day Care Setting
Address: 20-26 Coalisland Road, Dungannon, BT71 6LA
Telephone number: 028 8775 2892

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website <https://www.rqia.org.uk/>

1.0 Service information

Organisation/Registered Provider: Southern HSC Trust	Registered Manager: Mr Melyvn Purdy
Responsible Individual/s: Dr Maria O’Kane	Date registered: Acting
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Ms Ellen Taaffe, Assistant Manager	
Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates: This is a day care setting providing a programme of day care and day time activities Monday to Friday for adults living with a learning disability. Oakridge Social Education Centre (SEC) provides day care for those adults presenting with learning disability. An intensive support unit is located on the site and accommodates service users with complex medical/nursing needs. Oakridge also has a bespoke unit “Little Oaks” for a number of service users.	

2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection was undertaken on 25 June 2024 between 9.15 a.m. and 3.30 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency’s governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), Service user involvement, Restrictive practices and Dysphagia management was also reviewed.

No areas for improvement were identified.

Good practice was identified in relation to governance and management arrangements.

3.0 How we inspect.

RQIA’s inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

As a public-sector body RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of day care services, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will be seeking assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of day care settings have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included easy read questionnaires and an electronic survey.

4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we observed a number of service users and spoke with a number of staff members.

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the day care setting.

Staff comments:

- "I love it here"
- "My induction and training was really good."
- "I have no concerns about the care of any of the service users."
- "The team are very supportive."
- "I would feel that I could raise any concerns that I would have."

Returned questionnaires indicated that the respondents were very satisfied with the care and support provided.

Written comments from a service user's relative included:

- "The building is depressing and totally lacking in space and facilities required."

There were no responses to the electronic survey.

5.0 The inspection

5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since last inspection?

The last care inspection of the day care setting was undertaken on 20 July 2023 by a care inspector. No areas for improvement were identified.

5.2 Inspection findings

5.2.1 Are there systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The day care setting's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's policy and procedures reflected information contained within the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy 'Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership' July 2015 and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC).

Discussions with the manager established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

The day care setting retained records of any referrals made to the HSC Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that these had been managed appropriately.

Staff were provided with training appropriate to the requirements of their role. Where service users required the use of specialised equipment to assist them with moving, this was included within the day care setting's mandatory training programme. A review of care records identified that moving and handling risk assessments and care plans were up to date.

Care reviews had been undertaken in keeping with the day care setting's policies and procedures. There was also evidence of regular contact with service users and their representatives, in line with the commissioning trust's requirements.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. A review of the policy relating to medicines management identified that it included direction for staff in relation to administering liquid medicines.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible.

Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) training appropriate to their job roles. Changes to the DoLS register were suggested which were implemented during the inspection. There were arrangements in place to ensure that service users who required high levels of supervision or monitoring and restriction had had their capacity considered and, their care records contained the appropriate documentation.

5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?

From reviewing service users' care records, it was good to note that service users had an input into devising their own plan of care. Service users were provided with easy read reports which supported them to fully participate in all aspects of their care. The service users' care plans

contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and services users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes occur.

It was also positive to note that the day care setting had service user meetings, the manager has agreed to review the frequency of these meetings.

The day care setting had completed an annual review in relation to their practice which incorporated service user and their representatives' feedback.

5.2.3 Is there a system in place for identifying service users Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

A number of service users were assessed by SALT with recommendations provided and some required their food and fluids to be of a specific consistency. A review of training records confirmed that staff had completed training in Dysphagia and in relation to how to respond to choking incidents.

5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?

A review of the day care setting's staff recruitment records confirmed that all pre-employment checks, including criminal record checks (AccessNI), were completed and verified before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC) or the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC). There was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. The manager had agreed to review the current process for checking registrations.

There was a volunteer working in the day centre. The day care setting had a policy and procedure for volunteers which clearly specified their role and responsibilities. The manager confirmed that volunteer did not undertake any personal care duties and that AccessNI checks had been completed.

5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the day care setting's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured, induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member.

5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monthly monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulation 28 of The Day Care Setting Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007. A review of the reports of the day care setting's monthly quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements. The manager has agreed to record actions taken to progress long standing actions.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

No incidents had occurred that required investigation under the Serious Adverse Incidents (SAI) procedure.

The day care setting's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the day care setting's policy and procedure. No complaints were received since the last inspection.

There was a system in place for managing instances where a service user did not attend the day centre as planned. This included a system for signing in and out the service users who attend.

We discussed the acting management arrangements which have been ongoing since 1 March 2024; RQIA will keep this matter under review.

6.0 Quality Improvement Plan/Areas for Improvement

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Ms Ellen Taaffe, Assistant Manager and Mr Melyvn Purdy, Acting Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.



The **Regulation** and
Quality Improvement
Authority

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
James House
2-4 Cromac Avenue
Gasworks
Belfast
BT7 2JA

Tel 028 9536 1111
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)