

# Unannounced Care Inspection Report 22 March 2018











# **Action on Hearing Loss**

Type of Service: Residential Care Home Address: 1-2 Harkness Gardens, Londonderry, BT47 6GG

Tel No: 028 7134 1005 Inspector: Laura O'Hanlon It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

#### 1.0 What we look for



#### 2.0 Profile of service

This is a residential care home with six beds registered to provide care under the categories as detailed in section 3.0.

#### 3.0 Service details

| Organisation/Registered Provider: Royal National Institute for Deaf People t/a Action on Hearing Loss  Responsible Individual: Sharon Ford                | Registered Manager: Ann Patricia Kelly |
|---|--|
| Person in charge at the time of inspection: Peter McCafferty  | Date manager registered: 1 April 2005  |
| Categories of care: Residential Care (RC) MP - Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia LD - Learning Disability SI - Sensory impairment | Number of registered places: 6         |

## 4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced care inspection took place on 22 March 2018 from 10.30 to 14.30.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

The inspection sought to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, communication between the residents and the staff, care records and the management of accidents and incidents.

There were no areas requiring improvement identified at this inspection.

During the inspection the inspector met with five residents. The residents were observed to be relaxed and comfortable within their environment. Positive interactions were observed between the staff and the residents.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and resident experience.

# 4.1 Inspection outcome

|                                       | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Peter McCafferty, Support Worker, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

## 4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

No further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 21 September 2017.

#### 5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the service was reviewed. This included the following records: the previous inspection report and the notifications of accidents and incidents.

During the inspection the inspector met with five residents and four staff.

A total of three questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents and their representatives. The staff were directed to complete an electronic questionnaire. No questionnaires were returned within the requested timescale.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Two staff competency and capability assessments
- Staff training schedule/records
- Three resident's care files
- The home's Statement of Purpose and Residents' Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc.
- A sample of policies and procedures

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

#### 6.0 The inspection

# 6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 23 November 2017

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced medicines management inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the pharmacist inspector. This QIP will be validated by the pharmacist inspector at the next medicines management inspection.

# 6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 21 September 2017

There were no areas for improvements made as a result of the last care inspection.

# 6.3 Inspection findings

#### 6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

The person in charge confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with staff. A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

Discussion with the person in charge and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was reviewed at the last inspection. The schedule for mandatory training was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection.

The person in charge and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were retained. Two completed staff competency and capability assessments were reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

As the registered manager was not on duty on the day of the inspection; staff personnel files were not reviewed on this occasion.

The adult safeguarding policy was reviewed at the last inspection and was consistent with the current regional guidance and included definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed.

Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the person in charge, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse were fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records were retained.

The person in charge confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the person in charge identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

The person in charge confirmed there were restrictive practices employed within the home, notably the use of locked wardrobes, alarmed bedroom doors and an alarmed front door. Discussion with the person in charge regarding such restrictions confirmed these were appropriately assessed, documented, minimised and reviewed with the involvement of the multi-professional team, as required.

Inspection of care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-professional team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

The person in charge confirmed there were risk management policy and procedures in place. The person in charge confirmed that equipment and medical devices in use in the home were well maintained and regularly serviced.

Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in infection prevention and control (IPC) in line with their roles and responsibilities. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The person in charge reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with the home's policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the person in charge confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated 5 January 2018. There were no recommendations made as a result of this assessment.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. Fire drills were completed regularly. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

#### Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff training, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

#### **Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                                       | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

#### 6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome

Discussion with the person in charge established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of three care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and a daily statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents' health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were observed to be signed by the resident and/or their representative. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice. This was

demonstrated through discussion with the staff in regard to their detailed knowledge of individual residents.

Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The person in charge confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents' meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. The person in charge and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents. Minutes of resident meetings were reviewed during the inspection.

A review of care records, along with accident and incident reports, confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents. The person in charge confirmed that arrangements were in place, in line with the legislation, to support and advocate for residents.

#### Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

#### **Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                                       | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

#### 6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

The registered manager confirmed that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

Discussion with staff and review of care records confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand, which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment. One example of this was the use of pictorial care plans.

Discussion with staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their

awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence and dignity and were able to demonstrate how residents' confidentiality was protected.

The staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner.

Discussion with staff, review of care records and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff.

There were systems in place to ensure that the views and opinions of residents, and or their representatives, were sought and taken into account in all matters affecting them. Such systems included daily discussions with staff, residents meetings, annual reviews and the monthly monitoring visits by the responsible individual.

Discussion with staff, residents, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. On the day of the inspection some residents were out for coffee while others were out on the bus.

Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community. The staff confirmed that family were welcome to visit the home at any time.

During the inspection the inspector met with five residents. The residents were observed to be relaxed and comfortable within their environment. Positive interactions were observed between the staff and the residents.

Comments made by staff during the inspection included:

- "The staffing levels are good. We all work together as a team and help each other out.
   There is good communication among the team. We are always trying to improve things for the residents."
- "This is an excellent staff team. We all work together as a team, if a resident was having a bad day we help each other out."

#### Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

#### **Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                                       | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

#### 6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care

The person in charge outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home's statement of purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff.

Review of the complaints records confirmed that arrangements were in place to effectively manage complaints from residents, their representatives or any other interested party. Records of complaints included details of any investigation undertaken, all communication with complainants, the outcome of the complaint and the complainant's level of satisfaction.

A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was reviewed as part of the inspection process.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. This was outlined in the home's Statement of Purpose and Residents Guide.

Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employers' liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned QIP confirmed that the registered provider responded to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the staff confirmed that any adult safeguarding issues were managed appropriately and that reflective learning had taken place. The person in charge confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

The person in charge confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns they will offer support to staff. Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

There were also open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

# Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, and maintaining good working relationships.

## **Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                                       | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

# 7.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
9th Floor
Riverside Tower
5 Lanyon Place
BELFAST
BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500 Email info@rqia.org.uk Web www.rqia.org.uk ② @RQIANews