

# Announced Care Inspection Report 12 June 2018



# **Forthill Dental Practice**

Type of Service: Independent Hospital (IH) – Dental Treatment Address: 54 Forthill Street, Enniskillen BT74 6AJ Tel No: 028 6632 8333 Inspector: Stephen O'Connor

<u>www.rqia.org.uk</u>

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

### 1.0 What we look for



In respect of dental practices for the 2018/19 inspection year we are moving to a more focused, shorter inspection which will concentrate on the following key patient safety areas:

- management of medical emergencies
- infection prevention and control
- decontamination of reusable dental instruments
- radiology and radiation safety
- review of areas for improvement from the last inspection

# 2.0 Profile of service

This is a registered dental practice with two registered places.

# 3.0 Service details

| Organisation/Registered Person:                    | Registered Manager:          |
|--|------------------------------|
| Mr John Magee                                      | Mr John Magee                |
| <b>Person in charge at the time of inspection:</b> | Date manager registered:     |
| Mr John Magee                                      | 7 October 2011               |
| Categories of care:                                | Number of registered places: |
| Independent Hospital (IH) – Dental Treatment       | 2                            |

# 4.0 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent inspection dated 24 May 2017

The most recent inspection of the establishment was an announced care inspection. No areas for improvement were made during this inspection.

### 4.1 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 24 May 2017

There were no areas for improvement made as a result of the last care inspection.

### 5.0 Inspection findings

An announced inspection took place on 12 June 2018 from 13:50 to 15:35.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Independent Health Care Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005, The Regulation and Improvement Authority (Independent Health Care) (Fees and Frequency of Inspections) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2011 and the Department of Health (DOH) Minimum Standards for Dental Care and Treatment (2011).

A poster informing patients that an inspection was being conducted was displayed.

During the inspection the inspector met with Mr John Magee, registered person and two dental nurses. A tour of some areas of the premises was also undertaken.

The findings of the inspection were provided to Mr Magee at the conclusion of the inspection.

# 5.1 Management of medical emergencies

#### Management of medical emergencies

A review of arrangements in respect of the management of a medical emergency evidenced that emergency medicines in keeping with the British National Formulary (BNF), and emergency equipment as recommended by the Resuscitation Council (UK) guidelines were retained. A discussion took place in relation to the procedure for the safe administration of Buccolam prefilled syringes and the various doses and quantity needed as recommended by the Health and Social Care Board (HSCB) and BNF. Mr Magee has advised that Buccolam will be administered safely in the event of an emergency as recommended by the HSCB and in keeping with the BNF. A robust system was in place to ensure that emergency medicines and equipment do not exceed their expiry date.

Review of training records and discussion with staff confirmed that the management of medical emergencies is included in the induction programme and training is updated on an annual basis in keeping with best practice guidance. The most recent occasion staff completed medical emergency refresher training was during May 2018.

Discussion with staff demonstrated that they have a good understanding of the actions to be taken in the event of a medical emergency and the location of medical emergency medicines and equipment.

#### Areas of good practice

The review of the arrangements in respect of the management of a medical emergency confirmed that this dental practice takes a proactive approach to this key patient safety area. This includes ensuring that staff have the knowledge and skills to react to a medical emergency, should it arise.

#### Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                       | Regulations | Standards |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

# 5.2 Infection prevention and control

#### Infection prevention and control (IPC)

During a tour of the premises, it was evident that the practice, including the clinical and decontamination areas were clean, tidy and uncluttered.

The practice continues to audit compliance with Health Technical Memorandum (HTM) 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices using the Infection Prevention Society (IPS) audit tool. This audit includes key elements of IPC, relevant to dentistry, including the arrangements for environmental cleaning, the use of personal protective equipment, hand hygiene practice, and waste and sharps management.

A review of the most recent IPS audit, completed during January 2018, evidenced that the audit had been completed in a meaningful manner and had identified areas of good practice. Mr Magee confirmed that should the audit identify areas for improvement an action plan would be generated to address the issues identified.

Mr Magee confirmed that he completes the audit in conjunction with staff and that the findings of the audits are discussed at practice meetings. Mr Magee was advised that if all clinical staff continue to contribute to the completion of the audit, this will help to empower staff and will promote staff understanding of the audit, IPC procedures and best practice.

Arrangements were in place to ensure that staff received IPC training commensurate with their roles and responsibilities and during discussion with staff it was confirmed that they had a good level of knowledge and understanding of IPC procedures.

# Areas of good practice

A review of the current arrangements evidenced that standards in respect of infection prevention and control practice are being given high priority. This includes proactively auditing practice, taking action when issues are identified and ensuring staff have the knowledge and skills to ensure standards are maintained.

# Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                       | Regulations | Standards |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

# 5.3 Decontamination of reusable dental instruments

### Decontamination of reusable dental instruments

A decontamination room separate from patient treatment areas and dedicated to the decontamination process was available. The decontamination room facilitates the flow from dirty through to clean areas for the cleaning and sterilising of reusable instruments.

The processes in respect of the decontamination of reusable dental instruments are being audited in line with best practice outlined in HTM 01-05 using the IPS audit tool.

As discussed a review of the most recent IPS audit, completed during January 2018 evidenced that the audit had been completed in a meaningful manner and had identified areas of good practice.

Arrangements were in place to ensure that staff receive training in respect of the decontamination of reusable dental instruments commensurate with their roles and responsibilities.

A review of current practice evidenced that arrangements are in place to ensure that reusable dental instruments are appropriately cleaned, sterilised and stored following use in keeping with best practice guidance as outlined in HTM 01-05.

Appropriate equipment, including a washer disinfector and a steam steriliser have been provided to meet the practice requirements. The equipment used in the decontamination process had been appropriately validated and inspected in keeping with the written scheme of examination and equipment logbooks evidenced that periodic tests are undertaken and recorded in keeping with HTM 01-05. It was confirmed that a second steam steriliser is available for use should the steam steriliser in use malfunction.

Staff are aware of what equipment in the practice should be treated as single use and what equipment is suitable for decontamination. It was confirmed that single use devices are only used for single-treatment episodes and disposed of following use.

# Areas of good practice

A review of the current arrangements evidenced that best practice as outlined in HTM 01-05 is being achieved in respect of the decontamination of reusable dental instruments. This includes proactively auditing practice, taking action when issues are identified and ensuring staff have the knowledge and skills to ensure standards are maintained.

# Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                       | Regulations | Standards |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

# 5.4 Radiology and radiation safety

### Radiology and radiation safety

The practice has two surgeries, each of which has an intra-oral x-ray machine.

Mr Magee was aware of the most recent changes to the legislation surrounding radiology and radiation safety and a radiation protection advisor (RPA) and medical physics expert (MPE) have been appointed.

A dedicated radiation protection file containing all relevant information was in place. The radiation protection supervisor (RPS) regularly reviews the information contained within the file to ensure that it is current.

The appointed RPA completes a quality assurance check every three years. A review of the report of the most recent visit by the RPA demonstrated that any recommendations made have been addressed.

Staff spoken with demonstrated sound knowledge of radiology and radiation safety in keeping with their roles and responsibilities.

The RPS takes a proactive approach to radiation safety and protection by conducting a range of audits, including x-ray quality grading and justification and clinical evaluation recording.

# Areas of good practice

A review of radiology and radiation safety arrangements evidenced that the radiation protection supervisor for this practice takes a proactive approach to the management of radiology and radiation safety.

#### Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

|                       | Regulations | Standards |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |

### 5.5 Equality data

### **Equality data**

The arrangements in place in relation to the equality of opportunity for patients and the importance of staff being aware of equality legislation and recognising and responding to the diverse needs of patients was discussed with Mr Magee.

Discussion with Mr Magee and staff and review of information evidenced that the equality data collected was managed in line with best practice.

### 5.6 Patient and staff views

Five patients submitted questionnaire responses to RQIA. All five patients indicated that they felt their care was safe and effective, that they were treated with compassion and that the service was well led. All patients indicated that they were very satisfied with each of these areas of their care. No comments were included in submitted patient questionnaires.

Four staff submitted questionnaire responses to RQIA. Three staff indicated that they felt patient care was safe and effective, that patients were treated with compassion and that the service was well led. Three staff indicated that they were either satisfied or very satisfied with each of these areas of patient care. One staff member indicated that they were very unsatisfied in each of the areas of patient care. The inspector had the opportunity to meet with most of the staff on the day of inspection; the staff spoken with confirmed that they were very satisfied with each of the areas of patient care. It is possible that the staff member who submitted a very unsatisfied response in all areas of patient care did so in error.

The following comment was included in a submitted staff response:

• "Nice environment to work in. Good well trained staff."

# 5.7 Total number of areas for improvement

|                                       | Regulations | Standards |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0           | 0         |
|                                       |             |           |
| 6.0 Quality improvement plan          |             |           |

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority 9th Floor Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST BT1 3BT

Tel028 9536 1111Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Orgin and the second seco

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care