

Announced Care Inspection Report 12 October 2020



The Family Practice

Type of Service: Independent Hospital (IH) – Dental Treatment

**Address: 1 Sydney Terrace, Great James Street, Londonderry
BT48 7DQ**

Tel No: 028 7126 4155

Inspector: Carmel McKeegan

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

1.0 What we look for



In respect of dental practices for the 2020/21 inspection year we are moving to a more focused, shorter inspection which will concentrate on the following key patient safety areas:

- management of operations in response to the COVID-19 pandemic;
- management of medical emergencies;
- infection prevention and control (IPC);
- decontamination of reusable dental instruments;
- governance arrangements and review of the report of the visits undertaken by the Registered Provider in line with Regulation 26, where applicable; and
- review of the areas for improvement identified during the previous care inspection (where applicable).

2.0 Profile of service

This is a registered dental practice with three registered places.

3.0 Service details

| | |
|--|---|
| Organisation/Registered Provider: Mr Peter Smith | Registered Manager: Mr Peter Smith |
| Person in charge at the time of inspection: Mr Peter Smith | Date manager registered: 26 August 2011 |
| Categories of care: Independent Hospital (IH) – Dental Treatment | Number of registered places: 3 |

4.0 Inspection summary

We undertook an announced inspection on 12 October 2020 from 14.00 to 15.15 hours.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Independent Health Care Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005, The Regulation and Improvement Authority (Independent Health Care) (Fees and Frequency of Inspections) (Amendment) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2011 and the Department of Health (DoH) Minimum Standards for Dental Care and Treatment (2011).

The purpose of this inspection was to focus on the themes for the 2020/21 inspection year. A poster informing patients that an inspection was being conducted was displayed during the inspection.

We undertook a tour of the premises, met with Mr Peter Smith, Registered Person and two dental nurses; and reviewed relevant records and documents in relation to the day to day operation of the practice.

We found evidence of good practice in relation to the management of medical emergencies; infection prevention and control; decontamination of reusable dental instruments; the practices' adherence to best practice guidance in relation to COVID-19; and governance arrangements.

No immediate concerns were identified regarding the delivery of front line patient care.

The findings of the inspection were provided to Mr Smith at the conclusion of the inspection.

4.1 Inspection outcome

| | Regulations | Standards |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Mr Smith, Registered Person, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report. A quality improvement plan (QIP) was not generated as a result of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent inspection dated 04 June 2019

The most recent inspection of the establishment was an announced care inspection. No areas for improvement were made during that inspection.

4.3 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 04 June 2019

There were no areas for improvement made as a result of the last announced care inspection.

5.0 How we inspect

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic we reviewed our inspection methodology and considered various options to undertake inspections. The purpose of this was to minimise risk to service users and staff, including our staff, whilst being assured that registered services are providing services in keeping with the minimum standards and relevant legislation.

One option considered was a blended inspection methodology; meaning providers completed and submitted a self-assessment with supporting documentation to be reviewed in advance of the onsite inspection. The purpose of the onsite inspection is to validate the information submitted.

We agreed to pilot this methodology in dental practices and The Family Practice agreed to participate in the pilot. The self-assessment and supporting documents were submitted by the practice within the agreed timeframe on 28 September 2020.

Before the inspection, a range of information relevant to the practice was reviewed. This included the following records:

- notifiable events since the previous care inspection;
- the registration status of the establishment;
- the completed self-assessment detailing the management of operations in response to the COVID-19 pandemic; information in relation to the management of medical emergencies; infection prevention and control (IPC); and decontamination of reusable dental instruments
- written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection; and

- the previous care inspection report.

Questionnaires were provided to patients prior to the inspection by the establishment on our behalf.

We also invited staff to complete an electronic questionnaire prior to the inspection. Returned completed patient and staff questionnaires were analysed prior to the inspection and are discussed in section 6.7 of this report.

During the inspection, we spoke with Mr Smith and two dental nurses.

The findings of the inspection were provided to Mr Smith at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 Inspection findings

6.1 Management of operations in response to the COVID-19 pandemic

We discussed the management of operations in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and application of the Health and Social Care Board (HSCB) operational guidance with Mr Smith and staff. We found that COVID-19 policies and procedures were in place in keeping with best practice guidance.

Areas of good practice: Management of operations in response to COVID-19 pandemic

We confirmed the practice had identified a COVID-19 lead; had reviewed and amended policies and procedures in accordance with the HSCB operational guidance to include arrangements to maintain social distancing; prepare staff; implement enhanced infection prevention and control procedures; and the patient pathway.

Areas for improvement: Management of operations in response to COVID-19 pandemic

We identified no areas for improvement regarding the management of operations in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

6.2 Management of medical emergencies

We reviewed the arrangements in place for the management of medicines within the practice to ensure that medicines were safely, securely and effectively managed in compliance with legislative requirements, professional standards and guidelines and found them to be satisfactory.

We found that medicines were stored safely and securely and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. We confirmed that all emergency medicines, as specified within the British National Formulary (BNF), for use in the event of a medical emergency in a dental practice were available. We noted that additional supplies of Buccolam pre-filled syringes and

Adrenaline were needed to meet with the direction issued by the Health and Social Care Board (HSCB) and outlined in the BNF. Mr Smith told us this issue had been identified during recent medical emergency training and that both these medications had been ordered.

We confirmed that all emergency equipment as recommended by the Resuscitation Council (UK) guidelines were available.

We found a robust system was in place to ensure that emergency medicines and equipment do not exceed their expiry date and were ready for immediate use in the event of a medical emergency. Mr Smith confirmed that the additional Buccolam and Adrenaline will be added to the checking procedure.

We spoke with staff who told us the management of medical emergencies was included in the staff induction programme and that training was updated on an annual basis in keeping with best practice guidance. We reviewed training records and evidenced that staff last completed medical emergency refresher training on 7 October 2020. We found that this training included first aid and scenario-based exercises that simulated medical emergencies that have the potential to occur in a dental practice. These included; anaphylaxis; asthma; cardiac emergencies; myocardial infarction; epileptic seizures; hypoglycaemia; syncope; choking and aspiration; and adrenaline insufficiency.

Staff who spoke with us demonstrated a good understanding of the actions to be taken in the event of a medical emergency and were able to identify to us the location of medical emergency medicines and equipment. Staff told us that they felt well prepared to manage a medical emergency.

We were satisfied that sufficient emergency medicines and equipment were in place and staff were well prepared to manage a medical emergency, should this occur.

Areas of good practice: Management of medical emergencies

We reviewed the arrangements in respect of the management of a medical emergency and confirmed that the dental practice takes a proactive approach to this key patient safety area. This included ensuring that staff had the knowledge and skills to react to a medical emergency, should it arise.

Areas for improvement: Management of medical emergencies

We identified no areas for improvement regarding the management of medical emergencies.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

6.3 Infection prevention and control (IPC)

We reviewed arrangements in relation to IPC procedures throughout the practice to evidence that the risk of infection transmission to patients, visitors and staff was minimised. We undertook a tour of the new premises and noted that the clinical and decontamination areas were clean, tidy and uncluttered. We found that all areas of the practice were fully equipped to meet the needs of patients.

We established that personal protective equipment (PPE) was readily available in keeping with best practice guidance. A higher level of PPE is required when dental treatment using aerosol generating procedures (AGPs) are undertaken including the use of FFP3 masks. An FFP3 mask is a respirator mask that covers the mouth and nose of the wearer. The performance of these masks depends on achieving good contact between the wearer’s skin and the mask. The only way to ensure that the FFP3 mask offers the desired level of protection is for the wearer to be fit tested for a particular make and model of the mask. We reviewed the fit testing records and confirmed that the appropriate staff had been fit tested for FFP3 masks.

We confirmed the practice continues to audit compliance with Health Technical Memorandum (HTM) 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices using the Infection Prevention Society (IPS) audit tool. This audit includes key elements of IPC relevant to dentistry, including the arrangements for environmental cleaning; the use of PPE; hand hygiene practice; and waste and sharps management.

Staff who spoke with us confirmed that IPS audits were completed in a meaningful manner and the process involved all dental nurses on a rotational basis. Staff told us that the outcome of the audit was discussed during regular staff meetings. Staff informed us that should the audit identify areas for improvement, an action plan would be generated to address the issues identified and that the IPS audit will be completed every six months.

We confirmed that arrangements were in place to ensure that staff received IPC and COVID-19 training commensurate with their roles and responsibilities. Staff who spoke with us demonstrated good knowledge and understanding of IPC procedures.

We were informed that one new staff member had been recruited since the previous inspection. We found the new staff member had been referred to occupational health and a record of their Hepatitis B vaccination status was retained. Mr Smith confirmed that all newly recruited clinical staff members, who are new to dentistry, would be automatically referred to occupational health.

Areas of good practice: IPC

We reviewed the current arrangements with respect to IPC practice and evidenced good practice that was being actively reviewed.

Areas for improvement: IPC

We identified no areas for improvement regarding IPC.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

6.4 Decontamination of reusable dental instruments

We observed a decontamination room separate from patient treatment areas and dedicated to the decontamination process was available. We evidenced the decontamination room facilitated the flow from dirty through to clean areas for the cleaning and sterilising of reusable instruments.

We found arrangements were in place to ensure staff received training in respect to the decontamination of reusable dental instruments commensurate with their roles and responsibilities.

The processes regarding the decontamination of reusable dental instruments were being audited in line with the best practice outlined in HTM 01-05 using the IPS audit tool. We reviewed the most recent IPS audit, completed during 15 September 2020 and found that the audit had been completed in a meaningful manner and had identified both areas of good practice and areas that require to be improved, as applicable.

We found that appropriate equipment, including a washer disinfectant and two steam sterilisers had been provided to meet the requirements of the practice. We established that equipment used in the decontamination process had been appropriately validated and inspected in keeping with the written scheme of examination. Equipment logbooks evidenced that periodic tests were undertaken and recorded in keeping with HTM 01-05.

We found staff were aware of what equipment, used by the practice, should be treated as single use and what equipment was suitable for decontamination. We confirmed that single use devices were only used for single-treatment episodes and were disposed of following use.

A review of current practice evidenced that arrangements were in place to ensure that reusable dental instruments were appropriately cleaned, sterilised and stored following use in keeping with the best practice guidance outlined in HTM 01-05.

Areas of good practice: Decontamination of reusable dental instruments

We found the current arrangements evidenced that best practice, as outlined in HTM 01-05, was being achieved in respect of the decontamination of reusable dental instruments. This included proactively auditing practice, taking action when issues were identified and ensuring staff had the knowledge and skills to ensure standards were maintained.

Areas for improvement: Decontamination of reusable dental instruments

We identified no areas for improvement regarding the decontamination of reusable dental instruments.

| | Regulations | Standards |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Visits by the Registered Provider (Regulation 26)

Where the business entity operating a dental practice is a corporate body or partnership or an individual owner who is not in day to day management of the practice, unannounced quality monitoring visits by the Registered Provider must be undertaken and documented every six months; as required by Regulation 26 of The Independent Health Care Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. We established that Mr Smith was in day to day charge of the practice, therefore the unannounced quality monitoring visits by the Registered Provider were not applicable.

6.6 Equality data

We discussed the arrangements in place regarding the equality of opportunity for patients and the importance of staff being aware of equality legislation and recognising and responding to the diverse needs of patients. Mr Smith and staff confirmed that equality data collected was managed in line with best practice.

6.7 Patient and staff views

The practice distributed questionnaires to patients on our behalf and one patient submitted a response to RQIA. We found the patient felt their care was safe and effective, that they were treated with compassion and that the service was well led. The patient also indicated that they were very satisfied with each of these areas of their care.

We found seven staff submitted questionnaire responses to RQIA. We found these staff felt patient care was safe, effective, that patients were treated with compassion and that the service was well led. All staff indicated that they were very satisfied with each of these areas of patient care. One staff member commented that Family Dental Practice was a very positive place to work.

6.8 Total number of areas for improvement

| | Regulations | Standards |
|--|-------------|-----------|
| Total number of areas for improvement | 0 | 0 |

7.0 Quality improvement plan

We identified no areas for improvement and a QIP is not required or included as part of this inspection report.



The **Regulation** and
Quality Improvement
Authority

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
9th Floor
Riverside Tower
5 Lanyon Place
BELFAST
BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9536 1111
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care