

Inspection Report

9 June 2022



Autism Initiatives - Community Services

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency

Address: Lower Ground Office 1, Linden House, Beechill Business Park,
96 Beechill Road, Belfast, BT8 7QN

Telephone number: 028 9069 9130

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website <https://www.rqia.org.uk/>

1.0 Service information

Organisation/Registered Provider: Autism Initiative – Community Services	Registered Manager: Ms Maria Waugh
Responsible Individual: Dr Eamonn James Edward Slevin	Date registered: 18 December 2019
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Ms Maria Waugh	
Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates: Autism Initiatives - Community Services is registered as a domiciliary care agency. Autism Initiatives NI is an organisation providing a variety of services to people on the Autistic Spectrum. Services include care and support in the service user's own home, community outreach, floating support and day time opportunities. Community Services are provided within the greater Belfast area, along with the Northern and South Eastern Health and Social Care Trust areas.	

2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 9 June 2022 between 9.45 a.m. and 2.45 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguarding (DoLS), restrictive practices, Dysphagia and Covid-19 guidance was also reviewed.

Good practice was identified in relation to service user involvement, monthly quality monitoring and monitoring staffs' registration with Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC). There were good governance and management arrangements in place.

The Agency uses the term 'people who we support' to describe the people to whom they provide care and support. For the purposes of the inspection report, the term 'service user' is used, in keeping with the relevant regulations.

3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included any previous areas for improvement identified, registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trust.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Having reviewed the model "We Matter" Adult Learning Disability Model for NI 2020, the Vision states, 'We want individuals with a learning disability to be respected and empowered to lead a full and healthy life in their community'.

RQIA shares this vision and want to review the support individuals are offered to make choices and decisions in their life that enable them to develop and to live a safe, active and valued life. RQIA will review how service users who have a learning disability are respected and empowered to lead a full and healthy life in the community and are supported to make choices and decisions that enable them to develop and live safe, active and valued lives.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included questionnaires and an electronic survey.

4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we met with a number of staff who spoke positively about the care and service provided by Autism Initiatives NI. We received feedback from two service users/relative questionnaires. The respondents indicated that were 'very satisfied' that the care provided was safe, effective, compassionate and that the service was well led. Written comment included:

Service users'/relatives':

- 'I very much appreciate the help + care from AI. All the staff are wonderful.'

Staff comments during the inspection:

- “The induction was good. I got all the mandatory training at the start before I went out shadowing. I had three full weeks of shadowing before I went out on a one-to-one. The induction gives the opportunity for the staff to get to know the service users and service users to get to know the staff.”
- “I feel strongly that the service is well lead. We have a good team and working environment. There is an open culture.”

A number of staff responded to the electronic survey. The respondents indicated that they were ‘very satisfied’ that care provided was safe, effective, compassionate and that the service was well led. Written comments included:

- ‘Really impressed with the company and enjoying working for them.’
- ‘I am very happy in my workplace and feel that everything is documented.’

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the agency.

5.0 The inspection

5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?

Due to the coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic, the Department of Health (DoH) directed RQIA to continue to respond to ongoing areas of risk identified in services.

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 24 October 2019 by a care inspector. No areas for improvement were identified. An inspection was not undertaken in the 2020-2021/ 2021-2022 inspection years, due to the impact of the first surge of Covid-19.

5.2 Inspection findings

5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency’s provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation’s adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health’s (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC). The agency’s annual Adult Safeguarding Position report was reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Discussions with the manager established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during induction and every two years thereafter. In addition, staff were also required to complete children's safeguarding training. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse and the process for reporting concerns in normal business hours and out of hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to whistleblowing.

The agency had a process for retaining records of any referrals made to the HSC Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that no adult safeguarding referrals has been made since the last inspection.

The manager was aware that RQIA must be informed of any safeguarding incident that is reported to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI).

Staff were provided with training appropriate to the requirements of their role.

The manager reported that none of the service users currently required the use of specialised equipment. They were aware of how to source such training should it be required in the future.

Care reviews had been undertaken in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures. There was also evidence of regular contact with service users and their representatives, in line with the commissioning trust's requirements.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. The manager advised that one service user required their medicine to be administered with an oral syringe. A review of the policy relating to medicines management identified that it did not include direction for staff in relation to administering liquid medicines. The manager was advised to review the policy to include administration of liquid medication using an oral syringe and ensure a competency assessment would be undertaken before staff undertook this task. The manager agreed to review the policy. This will be reviewed at the next inspection.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the Mental Capacity Act (MCA).

Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) training appropriate to their job roles. The manager reported that one of the service users was subject to DoLS. There were arrangements in place to ensure that service users who required high levels of supervision or monitoring and restriction had had their capacity considered and, where appropriate, assessed. Where a service user was experiencing a deprivation of liberty, the care records contained details of assessments completed and agreed outcomes developed in conjunction with the HSC Trust representative.

5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?

From reviewing service users' care records, it was good to note that service users had an input into devising their own plan of care. Service users were provided with easy read reports which supported them to fully participate in all aspects of their care. The service users' care plans contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and services users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes occur.

5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

New standards for thickening food and fluids were introduced in August 2018. This was called the International Dysphagia Diet Standardisation Initiative (IDDSI). Whilst none of the service users had swallowing difficulties, a review of training records confirmed that staff had completed training in Dysphagia and in relation to how to respond to choking incidents.

5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?

Staff recruitment was completed in conjunction with the organisation's Human Resources (HR) department. A review of staff profile records confirmed that recruitment was managed in accordance with the Regulations and Minimum Standards, before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with the service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC). There was a robust system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager.

There were no volunteers working in the agency.

5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the agency's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured, induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member. Written records were retained by the agency of the person's capability and competency in relation to their job role.

The agency has maintained a record for each member of staff of all training, including induction and professional development activities undertaken.

All registrants must maintain their registration for as long as they are in practice. This includes renewing their registration and completing Post Registration Training and Learning. The manager was advised to discuss the post registration training requirement with staff to ensure that all staff are compliant with the requirements.

5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulations and Standards. A review of the reports of the agency's quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

No incidents had occurred that required investigation under the Serious Adverse Incidents (SAIs) or Significant Event Audits (SEAs) procedures.

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately along with current certificates of public and employers' liability insurance.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. No complaints were received since the last inspection.

6.0 Conclusion

RQIA was satisfied that this agency was providing services in a safe, effective, caring and compassionate manner and the service was well led by the manager/management team.



The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

7th Floor, Victoria House
15-27 Gloucester Street
Belfast
BT1 4LS

Tel 028 9536 1111
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care