

Unannounced Care Inspection Report 4 November 2019











Oakmont Lodge Nursing Home

Type of Service: Nursing Home

Address: 267 - 271 Old Belfast Road, Bangor BT19 1LU

Tel no: 028 91465822 Inspector: Gerry Colgan It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Care Standards for Nursing Homes. 2015.

1.0 What we look for



2.0 Profile of service

This is a registered nursing home which is registered to provide nursing care for up to 29 persons.

3.0 Service details

Organisation/Registered Provider: Dunluce Healthcare Bangor Ltd Responsible Individual: Ryan Smith	Registered Manager and date registered: Juliet Green 25 June 2018
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Juliet Green	Number of registered places: 29
Categories of care: Nursing Home (NH) I – Old age not falling within any other category. PH – Physical disability other than sensory impairment. PH(E) - Physical disability other than sensory impairment – over 65 years.	Number of patients accommodated in the nursing home on the day of this inspection: 27

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 4 November 2019 from 09.00 hours to 15.00 hours.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Care Standards for Nursing Homes 2015.

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led. This inspection also considered the recent change of ownership of the home and the impact that this has had on the services delivered to patients in Oakmont Lodge Nursing Home.

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staffing, staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management, the home's environment, record keeping, audits and reviews, and communication between patients, staff and other key stakeholders. There were further examples of good practice found in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, dignity and privacy, listening to and valuing patients and their representatives, taking account of the views of patients, robust governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

It was positive to note that the responsible person Mr Ryan Smith, Dunluce Healthcare Bangor Limited, attended the home to support the inspection and to receive feedback.

Patients described living in the home in positive terms. Patients who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings. There was evidence that the management team listened to and valued patients and their representatives and taking account of the views of patients.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, and enhance practice and patients' experience.

4.1 Inspection outcome

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Juliet Green, registered manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent inspection dated14 January 2019

No further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 14 January 2019.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the service was reviewed. This included the following records:

- notifiable events since the previous care inspection
- written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection which includes information in respect of serious adverse incidents(SAI's), potential adult safeguarding issues and whistleblowing
- the previous care inspection report

During the inspection we met with 15 patients, eight staff, and four patients' representatives. Questionnaires were also left in the home to obtain feedback from patients and patients' representatives. A poster was provided which directed staff to an online survey and staff not on duty during the inspection.

A poster informing visitors to the home that an inspection was being conducted was displayed.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- duty rota for all staff from 28 October 2019 to 17 November 2019.
- records confirming registration of staff with the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) and the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC)
- staff training records
- incident and accident records
- two staff recruitment and induction files
- three patient care records
- six patient care charts including food and fluid intake charts and reposition charts
- a sample of governance audits
- · complaints record
- · compliments received
- RQIA registration certificate
- a sample of monthly quality monitoring reports undertaken in accordance with Regulation 29 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005

The findings of the inspection were provided to Juliet Green, registered manager, at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from previous inspection

There were no areas for improvement identified as a result of the last care inspection.

6.2 Inspection findings

6.3 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

The registered manager confirmed the planned daily staffing levels for the home and that these levels were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the patients were met. A review of the staffing rota from 28 October 2019 to 17 November 2019 evidenced that the planned staffing levels were adhered to. Rotas also confirmed that catering and housekeeping staff were on duty daily to meet the needs of the patients and to support the nursing and care staff.

Observation of the delivery of care evidenced that patients' needs were met by the levels and skill mix of staff on duty and that staff attended to patients needs in a timely and caring manner.

Staff spoken with were satisfied that there was sufficient staff on duty to meet the needs of the patients. Staff said that on occasions staffing levels were affected by short notice leave. However, they also confirmed that this only happened occasionally and that shifts were "covered." We also sought staff opinion on staffing via the online survey. No responses were received within the required timeframe. Patients spoken with indicated that they were well looked after by the staff and felt safe and happy living in Oakmont Lodge Care Home.

Four relatives spoken with did not raise any concerns regarding staff or staffing levels. We also sought relatives' opinion on staffing via questionnaires. No questionnaires were returned within the required time frame.

As stated previously, observation of the delivery of care evidenced that patients' needs were met by the levels and skill mix of staff on duty and that staff attended to patients' needs in a timely and caring manner.

Review of two staff recruitment files evidenced that these were maintained in accordance with Regulation 21, Schedule 2 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. Records also evidenced that enhanced AccessNI checks were sought, received and reviewed prior to staff commencing work. Discussion with staff and review of records evidenced that newly appointed staff completed a structured orientation and induction programme at the commencement of their employment.

A review of records confirmed that a process was in place to monitor the registration status of registered nurses with the NMC and care staff registration with the NISCC. There were systems and processes in place to ensure that alerts issued by Chief Nursing Officer (CNO) were managed appropriately and shared with key staff.

We discussed the provision of mandatory training with staff and reviewed staff training records. Staff confirmed that they were enabled to attend training and that the training provided them with the necessary skills and knowledge to care for the patients. Training records were maintained in accordance with Standard 39 of The Nursing Homes Care Standards. Observation of the delivery of care evidenced that training had been embedded into practice, for example, the moving and handling of patients.

Review of three patients' care records evidenced that a range of validated risk assessments were completed and reviewed as required. These assessments informed the care planning process. Staff spoken with were knowledgeable regarding their roles and responsibilities in relation to adult safeguarding and their duty to report concerns. Discussion with the staff and the registered manager, confirmed that the regional operational safeguarding policy and procedures were embedded into practice. Systems were in place to collate the information required for the annual adult safeguarding position report.

We reviewed accidents/incidents records from January 2019 in comparison with the notifications submitted by the home to RQIA in accordance with Regulation 30 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. Records were maintained appropriately and notifications were submitted in accordance with regulation.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records confirmed that on at least a monthly basis falls occurring in the home were analysed to identify if any patterns or trends were emerging. Following this review an action plan was devised to address any identified deficits. This information was also reviewed as part of the responsible individual's monthly

monitoring visit in accordance with Regulation 29 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

From a review of records, observation of practices and discussion with the registered manager and staff there was evidence of proactive management of falls.

A review of the home's environment was undertaken and included observations of a sample of bedrooms, bathrooms, lounges, dining rooms and storage areas. The home was found to be warm, well decorated, fresh smelling and clean throughout. Patients/representatives/staff spoken with were complimentary in respect of the home's environment.

The building work for the new 24 bed extension is progressing well. We discussed the plans that Mr Smith, responsible person, has for the general environment and were advised that significant improvements are planned to be undertaken in the coming months.

Fire exits and corridors were observed to be clear of clutter and obstruction.

Observation of care delivery, discussion with staff and review of records evidenced that infection prevention and control measures/best practice guidance were consistently adhered to. The registered manager had an awareness of the importance to monitor the incidents of HCAI's and/or when antibiotics were prescribed.

A review of records evidenced that appropriate risk assessments had been completed prior to the use of restrictive practices, for example, bed rails and alarm mats. There was also evidence of consultation with relevant persons.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staffing, staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

Areas for improvement

No new areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in this domain.

	Regulations	Standards
Total numb of areas for improvement	0	0

6.4 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome.

Review of three patient care records evidenced that care plans were in place to direct the care required and reflected the assessed needs of the patient.

We reviewed the management of nutrition, patients' weight, management of infections and wound care. Care records contained details of the specific care requirements in each of the areas reviewed and a daily record was maintained to evidence the delivery of care.

Care records reflected that, where appropriate, referrals were made to healthcare professionals such as care managers, General Practitioners (GPs), speech and language therapist (SALT) and dieticians. Supplementary care charts such as food and fluid intake records evidenced that contemporaneous records were maintained. There was evidence that care plans had been reviewed in accordance with recommendations made by other healthcare professionals such as, the tissue viability nurse (TVN), SALT or the dietician changed.

Staff were aware of the importance of handover reports in ensuring effective communication and confirmed that the shift handover provided information regarding each patient's condition and any changes noted.

Staff confirmed that there was effective teamwork; each staff member knew their role, function and responsibilities. Staff also confirmed that if they had any concerns, they could raise these with the registered manager or the nurse in charge.

All grades of staff consulted demonstrated the ability to communicate effectively with their colleagues and other healthcare professionals.

There was evidence that the care planning process included input from patients and/or their representatives, if appropriate. There was evidence of regular communication between staff and patient representatives within the care records.

The registered manager advised that patient and/or relatives meetings were held on a regular basis and minutes were available at inspection.

Patients and their representatives confirmed that they attended meetings and were aware of the dates of the meetings in advance.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to record keeping, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified within this domain during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.5 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

We arrived in the home at 09.00 and were greeted by staff who were helpful and attentive. Some patients were enjoying breakfast whilst others were being assisted to wash and dress or attend to personal care as was their personal preference.

Staff demonstrated a detailed knowledge of patients' wishes, preferences and assessed needs and how to provide comfort if required.

Staff interactions with patients were observed to be compassionate, caring and timely. Patients were afforded choice, privacy, dignity and respect. Staff were also aware of the requirements regarding patient information and patient confidentiality.

Discussion with patients, activity staff and review of the activity programme displayed evidenced that arrangements were in place to meet patients' social, religious and spiritual needs within the home. Activities are very much grounded in the community. Local school children come to the home to speak with residents and it has been arranged for patients to attend the yacht club for their Christmas dinner.

The environment had been adapted to promote positive outcomes for the patients. Bedrooms were personalised with possessions that were meaningful to the patient and reflected their life experiences. A variety of methods were used to promote orientation, for example appropriate signage, photographs, the provision of clocks and prompts for the date.

We observed the serving of breakfast and the lunchtime meal. Patients were assisted to the dining room or had trays delivered to them as required. Staff were observed assisting patients with their meal appropriately and a registered nurse was overseeing the mealtimes. Patients able to communicate indicated that they enjoyed their meal. Staff demonstrated their knowledge of patients' likes and dislikes regarding food and drinks, how to modify fluids and how to care for patients during mealtimes.

Cards and letters of compliment and thanks were displayed in the home and systems were in place to obtain the views of patients and their representatives on the running of the home.

Consultation with 15 patients individually, and with others in smaller groups, confirmed that living in Oakmont Lodge Care Home was a good experience. Patient comments included:

- "I have been in four homes and this is by far the best due to the staff. They couldn't do enough for you."
- "I have Parkinsons and everyone is so helpful to me."
- "This is my home now at the end of the day. I am very happy here. The food is good and the staff are great."
- "Oakmont is a nice place, well decorated, clean, good food. Staff look after me extremely well. I cannot complain."

Patients who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff.

Relatives spoken with during the inspection were very satisfied with the care their loved one receives. Comments from relatives included:

- "I was round a lot of homes and decided this one was the best. Turns out I was right. My relative is very happy here."
- "It's lovely. It is bright, clean and staff are extremely friendly."
- "It is a marvellous place. There is always plenty going on."
- "It may not be home but it is the next best thing."

Staff were asked to complete an on line survey; we had nil responses within the timescale specified. However, staff who met with the inspector during the inspection did comment positively on the care delivered and the working relationships within Oakmont Lodge Care Home. Some of the comments included:

- "It is the residents' home after all. We try to do our best for all our residents."
- "I love working here. Management and staff are very supportive."
- "We all get on well together and work well as a team."
- "After working in other homes I was surprised at how good it is here. We receive proper training to enable us to do the job and we have very supportive management and colleagues."

Any comments from patients, patient representatives and staff in returned questionnaires received after the return date were shared with the registered manager for their information and actioned as required.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, dignity and privacy, listening to and valuing patients and their representatives and taking account of the views of patients.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.6 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care.

Oakmont Lodge Care Home ownership transferred to Dunluce Healthcare Bangor Ltd on 17 April 2019. The transfer of ownership appears to have had a positive effect on the home. Plans are already in place for a number of improvements and the registered manager is being supported by the responsible individual.

The certificate of registration issued by RQIA was appropriately displayed in the foyer of the home. Discussion with staff, and observations confirmed that the home was operating within the categories of care registered.

A review of the duty rota evidenced that the registered manager's hours, and the capacity in which these were worked, were clearly recorded. Discussion with staff/patients/representatives evidenced that the registered manager's working patterns supported effective engagement with patients, their representatives and the multi-professional

team. Staff were able to identify the person in charge of the home in the absence of the registered manager.

We discussed the arrangements in place in relation to the equality of opportunity for patients and the importance of staff being aware of equality legislation and recognising and responding to the diverse needs of patients. The equality data collected was managed in line with best practice.

Review of the home's complaints records evidenced that systems were in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with Regulation 24 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Care Standards for Nursing Homes 2015.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records evidenced that a number of audits were completed to assure the quality of care and services. For example, audits were completed regarding accidents/incidents, infection prevention and control (IPC) practices, care records and the home's catering arrangements. In addition, robust measures were also in place to provide the registered manager with an overview of the management of infections and wounds occurring in the home.

We confirmed with the registered manager that quality monitoring visits were completed on a monthly basis by the responsible individual in accordance with Regulation 29 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. The documentation maintained was examined and evidenced to be up to date, appropriate and in accordance to the required standards.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records evidenced that systems were in place to ensure that notifiable events were investigated and reported to RQIA or other relevant bodies appropriately.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships and that management were supportive and responsive to any suggestions or concerns raised.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

7.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a quality improvement plan (QIP) is not required or included as part of this inspection report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
9th Floor
Riverside Tower
5 Lanyon Place
BELFAST
BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9536 1111
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
② @RQIANews