

# Unannounced Care Inspection Report

## 26 April 2018



## Rectory Field

**Type of Service: Residential Care Home**  
**Address: 19b Limavady Road, Londonderry, BT47 6JU**  
**Tel No: 028 7134 7741**  
**Inspector: Ruth Greer**

[www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

**1.0 What we look for**



**2.0 Profile of service**

This is a residential care home with thirty five registered places for residents whose needs have been assessed within the categories of care cited on the certificate of registration and in section three below. The home has designated a number of places for use as part of a “Bridging Beds” initiative. This a scheme to enable early discharge from hospital by providing a temporary period, in the home, of care and rehabilitation before residents return to their own homes.

### 3.0 Service details

<b>Organisation/Registered Provider:</b> Western HSC Trust  <b>Responsible Individual:</b> Anne Kilgallen	<b>Registered Manager:</b> Dolores Moran
<b>Person in charge at the time of inspection:</b> Rachel Casey, senior care assistant	<b>Date manager registered:</b> 1 April 2005
<b>Categories of care:</b> Residential Care (RC) I - Old age not falling within any other category PH - Physical disability other than sensory impairment for 5 residents only	<b>Number of registered places:</b> 35

### 4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced care inspection took place on 26 April 2018 from 10.00 to 15.20.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to quality assurance measures. Regular monitoring was undertaken of all areas of care provision both by the home and at Trust level.

Residents and their representatives said they “were delighted” with the care. The most frequent references, from both residents and their visitors, were in relation to the kindness of the staff.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and resident experience.

### 4.1 Inspection outcome

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Rachel Casey, senior care assistant, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

## 4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent finance inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 15 February 2018.

## 5.0 How we inspect

Prior to inspection the following records were analysed: the previous inspection care and finance reports, the returned QIP, notifiable events, and written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection.

During the inspection the inspector met with eight residents, eight staff, one student social worker and the family members of two residents.

Questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents, their representatives and staff for completion and return to RQIA. One questionnaire was returned within the requested timescale. This was from a relative.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Induction programme for new staff
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Sample of competency and capability assessments
- Staff training schedule/records
- Four resident's care files
- The home's Statement of Purpose and Residents' Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints records
- Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care reviews; accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering
- Equipment maintenance/cleaning records
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc.
- Individual written agreement
- Programme of activities
- Policies and procedures manual

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

## 6.0 The inspection

### 6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 15 February 2018

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced finance inspection.

This QIP will be validated by the finance inspector at the next finance inspection.

### 6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 1 August 2017

There were no areas for improvement made as a result of the last care inspection.

## 6.3 Inspection findings

### 6.4 Is care safe?

**Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.**

The senior care assistant confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents, residents' representatives and staff.

A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

Review of completed induction records and discussion with the senior care assistant and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection.

The senior care assistant and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager. Records of competency and capability assessments were retained. A sample of one completed staff competency and capability assessment for an acting senior care assistant was reviewed and found to satisfactory.

Discussion with the senior care assistant confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and that records were retained at the organisation's personnel department.

Enhanced AccessNI disclosures were viewed by the registered manager for all staff prior to the commencement of employment. Personnel records reviewed confirmed that AccessNI information was managed in line with best practice.

Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body. These included at staff meetings and in individual supervision sessions.

The adult safeguarding policy and procedure in place was consistent with the current regional guidance and included definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were aware of the regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015) along with the new procedures and a copy was available for staff within the home. Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the senior care assistant, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse would be fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records would be retained.

The senior care assistant confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the registered manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

A review of policy and procedure on restrictive practice/behaviours which challenge confirmed that this was in keeping with DHSSPS Guidance on Restraint and Seclusion in Health and Personal Social Services (2005) and the Human Rights Act (1998). It also reflected current best practice guidance including Deprivation of Liberties Safeguards (DoLS).

The senior care assistant confirmed that no restrictive practices were undertaken within the home and on the day of the inspection none were observed.

The senior care assistant confirmed there were risk management policy and procedures in place. Discussion with the senior care assistant and review of the home's policy and procedures relating to safe and healthy working practices confirmed that these were appropriately maintained and reviewed regularly e.g. COSHH, fire safety etc.

The senior care assistant confirmed that equipment and medical devices in use in the home were well maintained and regularly serviced. Observation of equipment, record of individual equipment and aids supplied maintenance/cleaning records. Records showed that hoists etc. had been serviced on 11 March 2018 by the providers of this equipment.

Review of the Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) policy and procedure confirmed that this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures. The senior care assistant in charge of the home advised that she was the designated link to the specialist infection control nurses. She attends regular meetings with community infection control nurses and cascades best practice advice to staff in the home. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures. Records were available of audits which included management's observation of staff hand washing practice.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The senior care assistant reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with trust and home policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the senior care assistant confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated 11 July 2017 and all recommendations were noted to be appropriately addressed.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually, most recently on 20 April 2018. Fire drills were completed most recently on 26 February 2018. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment; fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained.

One completed questionnaire was returned to RQIA from a relative. The respondent described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Comments received from residents, relatives and staff included:

- "This is a brilliant place; I can't get over how much my parent has improved since coming here." (relative)
- "It's great, the residents are just like a family and in the evenings they sit together and chat." (relative)
- "The staff are the best thing about here they are all so kind, there isn't a bad one among them." (resident)



- “It’s great to see residents who come in from the hospital, and are quite unwell, going home better after a few weeks of care.” (staff)

**Areas of good practice**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home’s environment.

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

**6.5 Is care effective?**

**The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome**

Discussion with the senior care assistant established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of four care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents’ health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were signed by the resident. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice. Care plans for the temporary residents were found to reflect a robust pre admission assessment process. The care files also evidenced input from allied professional with agreed objectives and potential outcomes recorded and agreed.

An individual agreement setting out the terms of residency was in place and appropriately signed. Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The senior care assistant confirmed that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to residents at appropriate intervals. Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care review, accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering were available for inspection and evidenced that any actions identified for improvement were incorporated into practice. Further evidence of audit was contained within the monthly monitoring visits reports. A student social worker in the home was undertaking an audit to record the effectiveness of the “Bridging Beds” project. The outcome will be shared with the home, the trust and other stakeholders in the scheme.



The senior care assistant confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents' meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. Staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Residents spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. Minutes of a residents' meeting on 7 April 2018 showed that all residents (temporary and permanent) were welcome to attend and share their views. Minutes recorded a suggestion made by one resident that information about the "Bridging Beds" should be provided to people early in their stay in hospital. In response an information leaflet had been devised and copies left in the hospital for potential residents and their families. This is commendable.

A review of care records, along with accident and incident reports, confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents. The registered manager confirmed that arrangements were in place, in line with the legislation, to support and advocate for residents.

One completed questionnaire was returned to RQIA from a relative. The respondent described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Comments received from residents, relatives and staff included:

- "If you'd seen my parent in the hospital, we thought it was the end. Then he came here and the attention he has got has given him a new lease of life." (relative)
- "I'd recommend Rectory Field to anybody, the staff are angels." (resident)
- "I like seeing the new faces of people coming in for a while. It's great to have new folk to chat with," (permanent resident)

### Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

### Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

## 6.6 Is care compassionate?

**Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.**

The senior care assistant confirmed that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

A range of policies and procedures were in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff, residents and their representatives confirmed that residents' spiritual and cultural needs, including preferences for end of life care, were met within the home. Discussion with residents, their representatives and staff confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner. This was further evidenced by the review of care records which included care plans in respect of, for example, the management of pain, trigger factors, prescribed medication, care of chronic pain etc. One resident advised that her pain relief medication had not "lasted all night until the next dose was due". The resident said that staff had, in conjunction with the GP, amended the dosage and times of administration which meant that the medication was more suitable for the resident's pain relief.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment. Information leaflets have been produced in relation to the Bridging beds scheme and are widely available for potential residents and their families.

The senior care assistant, residents and/or their representatives confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion with residents, their representatives and staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence and dignity and were able to demonstrate how residents' confidentiality was protected.

The senior care assistant and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Residents and/or their representatives confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

Discussion with staff, residents, representatives and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff.

There were systems in place to ensure that the views and opinions of residents, and or their representatives, were sought and taken into account in all matters affecting them. Minutes of a residents' meeting on 7 April 2018 were reviewed and showed that resident views are sought valued and acted upon.

Residents are consulted with, at least annually, about the quality of care and environment. The findings from the consultation were collated into a summary report which was made available for residents and other interested parties to read. An action plan was developed and implemented to address any issues identified.

Discussion with staff, residents, and/or their representatives, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. For example the home facilitates and integrated art therapy group in conjunction with a local primary school. Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community.

One completed questionnaire was returned to RQIA from a relative. The respondent described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Comments received from residents, relatives and staff included:

- “How do I find it in here? I am spoiled, spoiled rotten.” (resident)
- “I didn’t really want to come here, but now I wish I could stay.” (resident)
- “I can honestly say I love coming to work the whole team is great and the residents are really well cared for.” (staff)
- “I have a parent who needs to be in care and I only wish he/she could be in here.” (staff)

**Areas of good practice**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	<b>Regulations</b>	<b>Standards</b>
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

**6.7 Is the service well led?**

**Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care**

The senior care assistant outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home’s statement of purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and Department of Health (DOH) guidance on complaints handling. Residents and/or their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the

Residents Guide and leaflets. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

Review of the complaints records confirmed that arrangements were in place to effectively manage complaints from residents, their representatives or any other interested party. Records of complaints included details of any investigation undertaken, all communication with complainants, the outcome of the complaint and the complainant's level of satisfaction. One complaint had been recorded since the last inspection had been dealt with appropriately. Arrangements were in place to share information about complaints and compliments with staff. An audit of complaints was used to identify trends and to enhance service provision.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was reviewed as part of the inspection process. Learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

There were quality assurance systems in place to drive continuous quality improvement which included regular audits and satisfaction surveys.

There was a system to ensure medical device alerts, safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.

Discussion with the senior care assistant confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. Staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read. Reports of the visits on 10 January, 13 February and 12 March 2018 were examined.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. This was outlined in the home's Statement of Purpose and Residents Guide. The senior care assistant confirmed that the registered provider was kept informed regarding the day to day running of the home. This includes supervision of the registered manager, monthly monitoring visits mails and phone calls.

Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employers' liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned QIP confirmed that the registered provider/s respond to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that any adult safeguarding issues were managed appropriately and that reflective learning had taken place. The senior care assistant confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place and discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding this. Staff confirmed that they could also access line management to raise concerns they will offer support to staff.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

One completed questionnaire was returned to RQIA from a relative. The respondent described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of the service as very satisfied.

**Areas of good practice**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

**7.0 Quality improvement plan**

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.



The **Regulation** and  
**Quality Improvement**  
Authority

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

9th Floor

Riverside Tower

5 Lanyon Place

BELFAST

BT1 3BT

**Tel** 028 9051 7500

**Email** [info@rqia.org.uk](mailto:info@rqia.org.uk)

**Web** [www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)