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Hollybank is a care home registered to provide residential care for nine people who have been assessed as living with a learning disability. No residents live in the home as it provides respite care only for a core group of people who live with their families in the community.
3.0 Service details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation/Registered Provider:</th>
<th>Registered Manager:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern HSC Trust</td>
<td>Cecelia Donnelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsible Individual(s):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Baxter Stevens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person in charge at the time of inspection:</th>
<th>Date manager registered:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paula Haggan  senior support worker</td>
<td>16 June 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of care:</th>
<th>Number of registered places:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Care (RC)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD - Learning Disability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD (E) – Learning disability – over 65 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced care inspection took place on 3 August 2017 from 10.00 to 14.00.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to the provision of a person centred approach to care and the effectiveness of the service in maintaining residents to remain living with families by providing regular breaks.

Residents spoke positively about their experience of care in the home.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and resident experience.

4.1 Inspection outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulations</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Paula Haggan (senior support worker) as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.
4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 14 March 2017.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to inspection the following records were analysed: the previous inspection report, the returned QIP, notifiable events, and written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection.

During the inspection the inspector met with five residents, four staff and two visiting professionals. There were no residents’ visitors/representatives present.

Questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents, their representatives and staff for completion and return to RQIA. Eight questionnaires were returned within the requested timescale.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Induction programme for new staff
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Staff training schedule
- Four residents’ care files
- The home’s Statement of Purpose and Residents’ Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care reviews; accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc.
- Individual written agreement
- Programme of activities
- Policies and procedures (randomly selected)

Areas for improvements identified at the last care inspection were reviewed and assessment of compliance recorded as partially met.
6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 28 March 2017

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced finance inspection.
The completed QIP was returned and approved by the finance inspector.
This QIP will be validated by the finance inspector at the next finance inspection.

6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 14 March 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas for improvement from the last care inspection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Action required to ensure compliance with the DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area for improvement 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ref</strong>: Standard 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stated</strong>: First time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3 Inspection findings

6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

The senior support worker confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns
were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents, residents’ representatives and staff.

A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

Review of completed induction records and discussion with the senior support worker and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff and a review of returned staff views questionnaires confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection.

Discussion with the senior support worker confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and that records were retained at the organisation’s personnel department.

Enhanced AccessNI disclosures were viewed by the registered manager for all staff prior to the commencement of employment. Personnel records reviewed confirmed that AccessNI information was managed in line with best practice.

Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body.

The adult safeguarding policy and procedure in place was consistent with the current regional guidance and included definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were aware of the regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015) procedures and a copy was available for staff within the home. Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the senior support worker, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that any suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse would be fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records would be retained.

The senior support worker confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the registered manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to the original admission.

A review of policy and procedure on restrictive practice/behaviours which challenge confirmed that this was in keeping with DHSSPS Guidance on Restraint and Seclusion in Health and

The senior support worker confirmed there were, at times, restrictive practices employed within the home in respect of some residents who use the service. Challenging Behaviour and R.E.S.P.E.C.T. training had been provided for a staff and refresher training was organised for November 2017. The home operates a keypad entry systems and at times, lap belts for residents where there is an assessed need. Discussion with the senior support worker regarding such restrictions confirmed these were appropriately assessed, documented, minimised and reviewed with the involvement of the multi-professional team, as required.

A review of the statement of purpose and residents guide identified that restrictions were adequately described.

Inspection of care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-professional team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary. A clinical psychologist was in the home to review the care plan of two residents.

The senior support worker and examination of accident and incident records confirmed that when individual restraint was employed, the appropriate persons/bodies were informed.

Review of the infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedure confirmed that this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The senior support worker reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with trust and home policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and it was found to be fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the senior support worker confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated 18 July 2017 and fire training was planned for 4 September 2017.
Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. Fire drills were completed every six months. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment; fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

Eight completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents, resident’s representatives and staff. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied/satisfied.

Comments received from residents and staff included:

- “I like it, I always come here” (resident)
- “I am well looked after and get nice dinners”
- “We enjoy making sure the residents who come here have a break and a holiday from their normal daily lives” (staff)

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff training, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home’s environment.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of areas for improvement</th>
<th>Regulations</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome

Discussion with the senior support worker established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of four care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments (e.g. manual handling, nutrition, falls, where appropriate) were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents’ health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were signed by the resident and/or their representative where possible.
Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice. For example: residents can choose to continue to attend their day care placements or just enjoy the break in the home.

The senior support worker confirmed that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to residents at appropriate intervals. Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care review, accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), were available for inspection and evidenced that any actions identified for improvement were incorporated into practice. An additional system of audit is in place in regard to accidents/incidents which are quality assured electronically at Trust level. Further evidence of audit was contained within the monthly monitoring visits reports most recently undertaken on 28 July 2017.

The senior support worker confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. Staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Residents spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents and other key stakeholders.

A review of care records, along with accident and incident reports, confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents. The senior support confirmed that arrangements were in place, in line with the legislation, to support and advocate for residents.

Eight completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents, resident’s representatives and staff. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied/satisfied.

Comments received from residents and staff included:

- “Each time a resident comes for respite it’s like greeting an old friend.” (staff)

**Areas of good practice**

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulations</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total number of areas for improvement**
The senior support worker confirmed that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

A range of policies and procedures were in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with residents, their representatives and staff confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner. This was further evidenced by the review of care records which showed that care plans were in place for management of pain. Where appropriate, trigger factors, prescribed medication were also recorded.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand, which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment.

The senior support worker and residents confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion with residents and staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence and dignity and were able to demonstrate how residents' confidentiality was protected.

The senior support worker and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Residents confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

Discussion with staff, residents and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff. One resident had expressed a wish to return home earlier than planned. The community care team had been contacted and, the resident's key worker was in the home to support and talk with the resident. Before the end of the inspection the resident left for home accompanied by the key worker.

Discussion with staff, residents, and/or their representatives, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities.

Eight completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from service users, staff and relatives. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied/satisfied.

Comments received from residents included:

- “We like meeting up when we come for a break.”
- “I like the Cook, she makes nice dinners.”
Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of areas for improvement</th>
<th>Regulations</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care

The senior support worker outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home’s statement of purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and Department of Health (DOH) guidance on complaints handling. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was reviewed as part of the inspection process. Learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

There were quality assurance systems in place to drive continuous quality improvement which included regular audits and satisfaction surveys.

There was a system to ensure medical device alerts, safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.
Discussion with the senior support worker confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. Staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read.

The senior support worker confirmed that the management and control of operations within the home was in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employers’ liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned QIP confirmed that the registered provider/s respond to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place and discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding this. The senior support worker confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns they will offer support to staff.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

Eight completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from service users, staff and relative. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of the service as very satisfied/satisfied.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements and maintaining good working relationships.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulations</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.

RQIA will phase out the issue of draft reports via paperlite in the near future. Registered providers should ensure that their services are opted in for the receipt of reports via Web Portal. If you require further information, please visit www.rqia.org.uk/webportal or contact the web portal team in RQIA on 028 9051 7500.