



Unannounced Care Inspection Report 5 September 2018



Collegeland

Type of Service: Nursing Home (NH)

Address: 54 Lislasy Road, Aughanlig, Moy, Dungannon, BT71 6TB

Tel no: 028 3889 1487

Inspector: Gerry Colgan

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

1.0 What we look for



2.0 Profile of service

This is a registered nursing home which is registered to provide nursing care for up to 32 persons.

3.0 Service details

Organisation/Registered Provider: Roughan Care Ltd Responsible Individual: Mr Patrick Anthony McAvoy	Registered Manager: Mrs Ann Keppler
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Mrs Ann Keppler	Date manager registered: 14 August 2014
Categories of care: Nursing Home (NH) I – Old age not falling within any other category. DE – Dementia. PH – Physical disability other than sensory impairment. PH (E) - Physical disability other than sensory impairment – over 65 years.	Number of registered places: 32 comprising: A maximum of 8 patients in category NH-DE

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 5 September 2018 from 08.30 to 17.15 hours.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Care Standards for Nursing Homes 2015.

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staffing, staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management, the home's environment, record keeping, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders. Further examples of good practice were found in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, dignity and privacy, listening to and valuing patients and their representatives, taking account of the views of patients governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

No areas requiring improvement were identified during this inspection.

Patients described living in Collegeland nursing home in positive terms. Patients who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings. There was evidence that the management team listened to and valued patients and their representatives and taking account of the views of patients.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and patients' experience.

4.1 Inspection outcome

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Mr Patrick McAvoy, responsible individual, and Mrs Ann Keppler, registered manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent inspection dated 30 January 2018

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced premises inspection undertaken on 30 January 2018. Other than those actions detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken. Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the service was reviewed. This included the following records:

- notifiable events since the previous care inspection
- written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection which includes information in respect of serious adverse incidents(SAI's), potential adult safeguarding issues and whistleblowing
- the returned QIP from the previous care inspection
- the previous care inspection report.

During the inspection the inspector met with 15 patients, five patients' relatives and ten staff. Questionnaires were also left in the home to obtain feedback from patients and patients' representatives. Ten patients' questionnaires and ten patients' relatives/representatives questionnaires were left for distribution. A poster was also displayed for staff inviting them to provide feedback to RQIA on-line. The inspector provided the registered manager with 'Have we missed you cards' which were then placed in a prominent position to allow patients and their relatives/representatives, who were not present on the day of inspection, the opportunity to give feedback to RQIA regarding the quality of service provision.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- duty rota for all staff from 3 September 2018 to 17 September 2018
- records confirming registration of staff with the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) and the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC)
- staff training records
- incident and accident records
- one staff recruitment and induction file
- four patient care records
- eight patient care charts including food and fluid intake charts and reposition charts
- a sample of governance audits
- complaints record
- compliments received
- RQIA registration certificate
- a sample of monthly quality monitoring reports undertaken in accordance with Regulation 29 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

Areas for improvement identified at the last care inspection were reviewed and assessment of compliance recorded as met, partially met, or not met.

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 30 January 2018

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced premises inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the estates inspector.

6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 30 October 2017

Areas for improvement from the last care inspection		
Action required to ensure compliance with The Care Standards for Nursing Homes (2015)		Validation of compliance
Area for improvement 1 Ref: Standard 44 Criteria 14 Stated: First time To be completed by: 30 December 2017	The registered person shall ensure that provision is made, in accordance with legislation and guidance for patients who smoke. Ref: Section 6.4	Met
	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: A new heated smoking shelter has been created complete with a nurse call system.	
Area for improvement 2 Ref: Standard 35 Criteria 16 Stated: First time To be completed by: 30 December 2017	The registered person shall ensure that any areas for improvement identified during the monthly monitoring visits are reflected in an action plan. Ref: Section 6.6	Met
	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: A review of documentation confirmed that any areas for improvement identified during the monthly monitoring visits are reflected in an action plan.	

6.3 Inspection findings

6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

The registered manager confirmed the planned daily staffing levels for the home and that these levels were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the patients were met. A review of the staffing rota from 3 September 2018 to 17 September 2018 evidenced that the planned staffing levels were adhered to. Rotas also confirmed that catering and housekeeping were on duty daily to meet the needs of the patients and to support the nursing and care staff.

Observation of the delivery of care evidenced that patients' needs were met by the levels and skill mix of staff on duty and that staff attended to patients needs in a timely and caring manner. Patients spoken with indicated that they were well looked after by the staff and felt safe and happy living in Collegeland nursing home.

As stated previously, observation of the delivery of care evidenced that patients' needs were met by the levels and skill mix of staff on duty and that staff attended to patients needs in a timely and caring manner.

Review of one staff recruitment file evidenced that this was maintained in accordance with Regulation 21, Schedule 2 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. Records also evidenced that an enhanced Access NI check was sought, received and reviewed prior to the member of staff commencing work. Discussion with staff and review of records evidenced that newly appointed staff completed a structured orientation and induction programme at the commencement of their employment.

A review of records confirmed that a process was in place to monitor the registration status of registered nurses with the NMC and care staff registration with the NISCC. There were systems and processes in place to ensure that alerts issued by Chief Nursing Officer (CNO) were managed appropriately and shared with key staff.

We discussed the provision of mandatory training with staff and reviewed staff training records. Staff confirmed that they were enabled to attend training and that the training provided them with the necessary skills and knowledge to care for the patients. Training records were maintained in accordance with Standard 39 of The Nursing Homes Care Standards. Observation of the delivery of care evidenced that training had been embedded into practice, for example, the moving and handling of patients.

Staff spoken with were knowledgeable regarding their roles and responsibilities in relation to adult safeguarding and their duty to report concerns. Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that the regional operational safeguarding policy and procedures were embedded into practice. Systems were in place to collate the information required for the annual adult safeguarding position report.

Review of four patients' care records evidenced that a range of validated risk assessments were completed and reviewed as required. These assessments informed the care planning process.

We reviewed accidents/incidents records from the previous care inspection in comparison with the notifications submitted by the home to RQIA in accordance with Regulation 30 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. Records were maintained appropriately and notifications were submitted in accordance with regulation.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records confirmed that on at least a monthly basis falls occurring in the home were analysed to identify if any patterns or trends were emerging. Following this review an action plan was devised to address any identified deficits. This information was also reviewed as part of the responsible individual's monthly monitoring visit in accordance with Regulation 29 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

From a review of records, observation of practices and discussion with the registered manager and staff there was evidence of proactive management of falls.

A review of the home’s environment was undertaken and included observations of most of the bedrooms, all bathrooms, lounges, dining room and storage areas. The home was found to be warm, well decorated, fresh smelling and clean throughout. Patients/representatives/staff spoken with were complimentary in respect of the home’s environment. Fire exits and corridors were observed to be clear of clutter and obstruction.

Observation of practices/care delivery, discussion with staff and review of records evidenced that infection prevention and control measures/best practice guidance were consistently adhered to.

The registered manager had an awareness of the importance to monitor the incidents of HCAI’s and/or when antibiotics were prescribed. Patients recently prescribed antibiotics had a care plan in place.

A review of records evidenced that appropriate risk assessments had been completed prior to the use of restrictive practices, for example bed rails, alarm mats. There was also evidence of consultation with relevant persons and care plans were in place.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staffing, staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home’s environment.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome.

Review of four patient care records evidenced that care plans were in place to direct the care required and reflected the assessed needs of the patient. We reviewed the management of nutrition, patients’ weight, management of infections and wound care. Care records contained details of the specific care requirements in each of the areas reviewed and a daily record was maintained to evidence the delivery of care.

Care records reflected that, where appropriate, referrals were made to healthcare professionals such as care managers, General Practitioners (GPs), SALT and dieticians. Supplementary care charts such as food and fluid intake records evidenced that contemporaneous records were maintained. There was evidence that care plans had been reviewed in accordance with recommendations made by other healthcare professionals such as, the tissue viability nurse (TVN), the speech and language therapist (SALT) or the dietician changed.

Discussion with staff evidenced that nursing and care staff were required to attend a handover meeting at the beginning of each shift. Staff were aware of the importance of handover reports in ensuring effective communication and confirmed that the shift handover provided information regarding each patient’s condition and any changes noted.

Staff stated that there was effective teamwork; each staff member knew their role, function and responsibilities. Staff also confirmed that if they had any concerns, they could raise these with the registered manager or the nurse in charge. All grades of staff consulted demonstrated the ability to communicate effectively with their colleagues and other healthcare professionals.

There was evidence that the care planning process included input from patients and/or their representatives, if appropriate. There was evidence of regular communication with representatives within the care records.

The registered manager advised that patient and/or relatives meetings were held on a regular basis. Minutes were available. Patients and their representatives confirmed that they attended meetings/were aware of the dates of the meetings in advance.

Patient and representatives spoken with expressed their confidence in raising concerns with the home’s staff/management. Patients and representatives were aware of who their named nurse was and knew the registered manager.

There was information available to staff, patients, representatives in relation to advocacy services.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to record keeping, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

We arrived in the home at 08.30 hours and were greeted by staff who were helpful and attentive. Patients were enjoying breakfast or a morning cup of tea/coffee in the dining room, in one of the lounges or in their bedroom, as was their personal preference. Some patients remained in bed, again in keeping with their personal preference or their assessed needs. Patients had access to fresh water and/or juice and staff were observed assisting patients to enjoy their chosen activity and to eat and drink as required. Staff demonstrated a detailed knowledge of patients' wishes, preferences and assessed needs and how to provide comfort if required.

Staff interactions with patients were observed to be compassionate, caring and timely. Patients were afforded choice, privacy, dignity and respect. Staff were also aware of the requirements regarding patient information and patient confidentiality.

Discussion with patients and staff and review of the activity programme displayed on the notice board evidenced that arrangements were in place to meet patients' social, religious and spiritual needs within the home.

The environment had been adapted to promote positive outcomes for the patients. Bedrooms were personalised with possessions that were meaningful to the patient and reflected their life experiences. A variety of methods were used to promote orientation, for example appropriate signage, photographs, the provision of clocks and prompts for the date.

We observed the serving of the lunchtime meal. Patients were assisted to the dining room or had trays delivered to them as required. Staff were observed assisting patients with their meal appropriately and a registered nurse was overseeing the mealtime. Patients able to communicate indicated that they enjoyed their meal. Staff demonstrated their knowledge of patients' likes and dislikes regarding food and drinks, how to modify fluids and how to care for patients during mealtimes.

There were systems in place to obtain the views of patients and their representatives on the running of the home. Cards and letters of compliment and thanks were displayed in the home.

Consultation with 15 patients individually, and with others in smaller groups, confirmed that living in Collegeland was a positive experience.

Patient comments included:

- "It couldn't be better. I have everything I need and the staff are great."
- "It couldn't get any better. Looked after great."
- "I love it. My own room, good food and lovely people."
- "No complaints at all. They are all 'wile' good to me."
- "It was the best move I ever made coming in here, but still your home is your home."

Patients who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff.

Ten relative questionnaires were provided; eight were returned within the timescale. All eight indicated that they were very satisfied or satisfied with the care provided across the four domains. Additional comments were recorded as follows:

- “The level of care is excellent.”
- “The staff in this home are really outstanding.”

Comments from relatives spoken with during the inspection include:

- “My mother decided to stay here herself. She loves the company and there is always someone to talk to.”
- “This home is absolutely brilliant. My relatives whole demeanour has changed. She is so happy and content. Staff are wonderful”.
- “As a family we are delighted. It is spotlessly clean and the staff ensure my relative is always neat, tidy and very well dressed.”

Staff were asked to complete an on line survey, we had no responses within the timescale specified.

Any comments from patients, patient representatives and staff in returned questionnaires received after the return date were shared with the registered manager for their information and action as required.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, dignity and privacy, listening to and valuing patients and their representatives and taking account of the views of patients

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care.

The certificate of registration issued by RQIA was appropriately displayed in the foyer of the home. Discussion with staff, and observations confirmed that the home was operating within the categories of care registered.

Since the last inspection there has been no change in management arrangements. A review of the duty rota evidenced that the registered manager’s hours, and the capacity in which these were worked, were clearly recorded. Discussion with staff/patients/representatives

evidenced that the registered manager's working patterns supported effective engagement with patients, their representatives and the multi-professional team. Staff were able to identify the person in charge of the home in the absence of the registered manager/manager.

We discussed the arrangements in place in relation to the equality of opportunity for patients and the importance of staff being aware of equality legislation and recognising and responding to the diverse needs of patients. The equality data collected was managed in line with best practice.

Review of the home's complaints records evidenced that systems were in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with Regulation 24 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Care Standards for Nursing Homes 2015.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records evidenced that a number of audits were completed to assure the quality of care and services. For example, audits were completed regarding accidents/incidents, IPC practices, care records, catering arrangements. In addition robust measures were also in place to provide the registered manager with an overview of the management of infections and wounds occurring in the home.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records evidenced that quality monitoring visits were completed on a monthly basis by the responsible individual in accordance with Regulation 29 of The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005/ The Care Standards for Nursing Homes.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of records evidenced that systems were in place to ensure that notifiable events were investigated and reported to RQIA or other relevant bodies appropriately.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships and that management were supportive and responsive to any suggestions or concerns raised.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

7.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.



The **Regulation** and
Quality Improvement
Authority

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

9th Floor

Riverside Tower

5 Lanyon Place

BELFAST

BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9536 1111

Email info@rqia.org.uk

Web www.rqia.org.uk

Twitter @RQIANews

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care