

Unannounced Medicines Management Inspection Report 7 December 2017



De La Cour House

Type of service: Residential Care Home
Address: 48 Woodcot Avenue, Belfast, BT5 5JB
Tel No: 028 9073 9848
Inspector: Paul Nixon

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

1.0 What we look for



2.0 Profile of service

This is a residential care home with 13 beds that provides care for residents of old age.

3.0 Service details

Organisation/Registered Provider: Clanmil Housing Association Responsible Individual: Ms Clare Imogen McCarty	Registered Manager: See Box Below
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Ms Pauline Toner (Acting Senior Care Assistant)	Date manager registered: Mr Andrew Gregg Johnston – application not yet submitted
Categories of care: Residential Care (RC) I – Old age not falling within any other category.	Number of registered places: 13 Maximum of 6 residents in residential care dementia category of care

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 11 December 2017 from 09.30 to 12.05.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards (2011).

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified during and since the last medicines management inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to medicine governance, medicine administration, medicine records, medicines storage and the management of controlled drugs.

No areas requiring improvement were identified.

There was a warm and welcoming atmosphere in the home. Residents were relaxed and good relationships with staff were evident.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and residents' experience.

4.1 Inspection outcome

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Ms Pauline Toner, Senior Care Assistant, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection undertaken on 29 August 2017. Other than those actions detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken. Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the home was reviewed. This included the following:

- recent inspection reports and returned QIPs
- recent correspondence with the home
- the management of medicine related incidents reported to RQIA since the last medicines management inspection

During the inspection the inspector met with three residents and four members of care staff.

A total of 10 questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents and their representatives for completion and return to RQIA. Staff were invited to share their views by completing an online questionnaire.

A poster informing visitors to the home that an inspection was being conducted was displayed.

A sample of the following records was examined during the inspection:

- medicines requested and received
- personal medication records
- medicine administration records
- medicines disposed of or transferred
- controlled drug record book
- medicine audits
- care plans
- training records
- medicines storage temperatures

Areas for improvement identified at the last medicines management inspection were reviewed and the assessment of compliance recorded as met, partially met, or not met.

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 29 August 2017

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the care inspector. This QIP will be validated by the care inspector at the next care inspection.

6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last medicines management inspection dated 14 December 2015

There were no areas for improvement made as a result of the last medicines management inspection.

6.3 Inspection findings

6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

Medicines were managed by staff who have been trained and deemed competent to do so. An induction process was in place for care staff who had been delegated medicine related tasks. The impact of training was monitored through team meetings, supervision and annual appraisal. Competency assessments were completed annually. Refresher training in medicines management was provided in the last year.

Systems were in place to manage the ordering of prescribed medicines to ensure adequate supplies were available and to prevent wastage. Staff advised of the procedures to identify and report any potential shortfalls in medicines. Antibiotics and newly prescribed medicines had been received into the home without delay. Satisfactory arrangements were in place for the acquisition and storage of prescriptions.

There were satisfactory arrangements in place to manage changes to prescribed medicines. Personal medication records and handwritten entries on medicine administration records were updated by two members of staff. This safe practice was acknowledged.

In relation to safeguarding, staff advised that they were aware of the regional procedures and who to report any safeguarding concerns to. They also confirmed that they had attended safeguarding training organised by the organisation.

There were procedures in place to ensure the safe management of medicines during a resident's admission to the home.

Records of the receipt, administration and disposal of controlled drugs subject to record keeping requirements were maintained in a controlled drug record book. Checks were performed on controlled drugs which require safe custody, at the end of each shift.

Appropriate arrangements were in place for administering medicines in disguised form.

Discontinued or expired medicines were disposed of appropriately.

Medicines were stored safely and securely and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Medicine storage areas were clean, tidy and well organised. There were systems in place to alert staff of the expiry dates of medicines with a limited shelf life, once opened.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to staff training, competency assessments, the management of medicines on admission, controlled drugs and the storage of medicines.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome.

The sample of medicines examined had been administered in accordance with the prescriber's instructions. There was evidence that time critical medicines had been administered at the correct time. There were arrangements in place to alert staff of when doses of weekly, monthly or three monthly medicines were due.

The sample of records examined indicated that medicines which were prescribed to manage pain had been administered as prescribed. Staff were aware that ongoing monitoring was necessary to ensure that the pain was well controlled and the resident was comfortable. Staff advised that the residents could verbalise any pain. The senior care assistant administering medicines asked residents if they were in any pain and administered pain management medication where appropriate.

Staff confirmed that compliance with prescribed medicine regimes was monitored and any omissions or refusals likely to have an adverse effect on the resident's health were reported to the prescriber.

Medicine records were generally well maintained and facilitated the audit process. For a couple of residents, the medicine allergy status printed on the medicine administration record by the pharmacy did not match that declared on their personal medication record sheet. The person-in-charge gave an assurance that this matter would be rectified.

Practices for the management of medicines were audited throughout the month by the staff and management. This included daily stock balance checks on solid dosage medicines not contained in the monitored dosage system blister packs. In addition, a periodic audit was completed by the community pharmacist.

Following discussion with staff, it was evident that, when applicable, other healthcare professionals were contacted in response to medication related issues. Staff advised that they had good working relationships with healthcare professionals involved in patient care.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to the standard of record keeping and the administration of medicines.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

The administration of medicines to residents was completed in a caring manner, the residents were given time to take their medicines and they were administered as discreetly as possible.

Residents were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff. Staff were noted to be friendly, courteous and happy in their work; they treated the residents with dignity.

The residents we spoke with generally advised that they were content with the management of their medicines and the care provided in the home. They were very complimentary regarding staff and management.

Residents who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff.

As part of the inspection process, we issued questionnaires to residents and their representatives. Two questionnaires were completed and returned within the specified timeframe. Comments received were positive; with responses recorded as ‘very satisfied’ with the management of medicines in the home.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff listening to and valuing residents and taking account of their views.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care.

Written policies and procedures for the management of medicines were in place. These were not examined. Following discussion with staff it was evident that they were familiar with the policies and procedures and that any updates were highlighted to them.

There were robust arrangements in place for the management of medicine related incidents. Staff confirmed that they knew how to identify and report incidents. In relation to the regional safeguarding procedures, staff confirmed that they were aware that medicine incidents may need to be reported to the safeguarding team.

A review of the audit records indicated that largely satisfactory outcomes had been achieved. Where a discrepancy had been identified, there was evidence of the action taken and learning which had resulted in a change of practice.

Following discussion with the care staff, it was evident that they were familiar with their roles and responsibilities in relation to medicines management.

Staff confirmed that any concerns in relation to medicines management were raised with management. They advised that management were open and approachable and willing to listen.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to governance arrangements, the management of medicine incidents and quality improvement. There were clearly defined roles and responsibilities for staff.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

7.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.



The **Regulation** and
Quality Improvement
Authority

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
9th Floor
Riverside Tower
5 Lanyon Place
BELFAST
BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care