

# Unannounced Medicines Management Inspection Report 4 January 2018



## Kirk House

Type of service: Residential Care Home  
Address: 110 Kings Road, Belfast, BT5 7BX  
Tel No: 028 9040 2938  
Inspector: Paul Nixon

[www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

**1.0 What we look for**



**2.0 Profile of service**

This is a residential care home with 46 beds that provides care for residents with a variety of care needs (see Section 3.0).

### 3.0 Service details

<b>Organisation/Registered Provider:</b> Belfast Central Mission  <b>Responsible Individual:</b> Mr Brian Sydney Burns	<b>Registered Manager:</b> Miss Andrea Selby
<b>Person in charge at the time of inspection:</b> Miss Andrea Selby	<b>Date manager registered:</b> 7 January 2015
<b>Categories of care:</b> Residential Care (RC) I – Old age not falling within any other category. DE – Dementia. PH – Physical disability other than sensory impairment. PH(E) - Physical disability other than sensory impairment – over 65 years.	<b>Number of registered places:</b> 46 A maximum of 14 patients in category of care RC-DE

### 4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 4 January 2018 from 09.50 to 13.05.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards (2011).

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified during and since the last medicines management inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to medicines administration, medicine records, medicines storage and the management of controlled drugs.

No areas requiring improvement were identified.

There was a warm and welcoming atmosphere in the home. Residents were relaxed and good relationships with staff were evident.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and residents' experience.

## 4.1 Inspection outcome

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Miss Andrea Selby, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report. Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

## 4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection undertaken on 12 December 2017. Other than those actions detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken. Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

## 5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the home was reviewed. This included the following:

- recent inspection reports and returned QIPs
- recent correspondence with the home
- the management of medicine related incidents reported to RQIA since the last medicines management inspection

During the inspection the inspector met with five residents, the registered manager, deputy manager and two members of care staff.

A total of 10 questionnaires were provided for distribution to patients and their representatives for completion and return to RQIA. Staff were invited to share their views by completing an online questionnaire.

A poster informing visitors to the home that an inspection was being conducted was displayed.

A sample of the following records was examined during the inspection:

- medicines requested and received
- personal medication records
- medicine administration records
- medicines disposed of or transferred
- controlled drug record book
- medicine audits
- care plans
- training records
- medicines storage temperatures

Areas for improvement identified at the last medicines management inspection were reviewed and the assessment of compliance recorded as met, partially met, or not met.

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

## 6.0 The inspection

### 6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 12 December 2017

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP will be reviewed by the care inspector. This QIP will be validated by the care inspector at the next care inspection.

### 6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last medicines management inspection dated 6 January 2016

Areas for improvement from the last medicines management inspection		
Action required to ensure compliance with the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards (2011).		Validation of compliance
<b>Area for improvement 1</b> <b>Ref:</b> Standard 30 <b>Stated:</b> First time	The arrangements for the management of warfarin should be reviewed to ensure safe practice.	<b>Met</b>
	<b>Action taken as confirmed during the inspection:</b> The procedure for the management of warfarin had been updated. Records were double signed by staff and running stock balances were maintained.	
<b>Area for improvement 2</b> <b>Ref:</b> Standard 6 <b>Stated:</b> First time	Where medication is prescribed on a “when required” basis for the management of distressed reactions, there should be a care plan which identifies the parameters for administration.	<b>Met</b>
	<b>Action taken as confirmed during the inspection:</b> The care plans were updated for the identified residents. No residents were currently prescribed medication for administration in this manner.	

## 6.3 Inspection findings

### 6.4 Is care safe?

**Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.**

Medicines were managed by staff who have been trained and deemed competent to do so. An induction process was in place for care staff who had been delegated medicine related tasks. The impact of training was monitored through team meetings, supervision and annual appraisal. Competency assessments were completed annually. Refresher training in medicines management was provided in the last year.

Systems were in place to manage the ordering of prescribed medicines to ensure adequate supplies were available and to prevent wastage. Staff advised of the procedures to identify and report any potential shortfalls in medicines. Antibiotics and newly prescribed medicines had been received into the home without delay. Satisfactory arrangements were in place for the acquisition and storage of prescriptions.

There were mostly satisfactory arrangements in place to manage changes to prescribed medicines. However, personal medication records and handwritten entries on medicine administration records were not always updated by two members of staff. The registered manager gave an assurance that this matter would be rectified.

In relation to safeguarding, staff advised that they were aware of the regional procedures and who to report any safeguarding concerns to.

There were procedures in place to ensure the safe management of medicines during a resident's admission to the home and discharge from the home.

Records of the receipt, administration and disposal of controlled drugs subject to record keeping requirements were maintained in a controlled drug record book. Checks were performed on controlled drugs which require safe custody, at the end of each shift.

Robust arrangements were observed for the management of high risk medicines e.g. warfarin. The use of separate administration charts was acknowledged.

Discontinued or expired medicines were disposed of appropriately.

Medicines were stored safely and securely and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Medicine storage areas were clean, tidy and well organised. There were systems in place to alert staff of the expiry dates of medicines with a limited shelf life, once opened. The medicine refrigerator was checked at regular intervals.

### Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to staff training, competency assessment, the management of medicines on admission and controlled drugs and the storage of medicines.

## Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

### 6.5 Is care effective?

**The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome.**

The sample of medicines examined had been administered in accordance with the prescriber's instructions. There was evidence that time critical medicines had been administered at the correct time. There were arrangements in place to alert staff of when doses of weekly medicines were due.

The sample of records examined indicated that medicines which were prescribed to manage pain had been administered as prescribed. Staff were aware that ongoing monitoring was necessary to ensure that the pain was well controlled and the resident was comfortable. Staff advised that most of the residents could verbalise any pain.

The management of swallowing difficulty was examined. For one resident prescribed a thickening agent, this was recorded on their personal medication record and administrations were recorded. A care plans and speech and language assessment report were in place.

Staff confirmed that compliance with prescribed medicine regimes was monitored and any omissions or refusals likely to have an adverse effect on the resident's health were reported to the prescriber.

Medicine records were generally well maintained and facilitated the audit process. The route of application of two eye medicines were not recorded on the medicine records; the registered manager gave an assurance that this matter would be rectified.

Practices for the management of medicines were audited by the staff and management. For solid formulation medicines, the care staff had completed audits on the empty containers whenever they had been replaced. The registered manager stated that, whilst the completion of a formal audit checklist had recently lapsed, she planned to introduce a monthly audit checklist for management to complete. She provided a copy of the checklist template for information.

Following discussion with the registered manager, staff and residents, it was evident that, when applicable, other healthcare professionals were contacted in response to the healthcare needs of residents.

**Areas of good practice**

There were examples of good practice in relation to the standard of record keeping, care planning and the administration of medicines.

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	<b>Regulations</b>	<b>Standards</b>
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

**6.6 Is care compassionate?**

**Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.**

Appropriate arrangements were in place to facilitate residents responsible for the self-administration of medicines.

Residents were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff. Staff were noted to be friendly, courteous and happy in their work; they treated the residents with dignity.

The residents we spoke with advised that they were content with the management of their medicines and the care provided in the home. They were mostly very complimentary regarding staff and management. Comments made included:

“I am generally satisfied with my care.”

“I receive excellent care; there is no doubt about that. Staff go to no end of trouble; they want the resident to be as independent as possible.”

“I receive tremendous care; the staff are very good.”

Residents who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff.

As part of the inspection process, we issued questionnaires to residents and their representatives. One questionnaire was completed and returned within the specified timeframe. Comments received were positive; with responses recorded as ‘very satisfied’ with the management of medicines in the home.

**Areas of good practice**

Staff listened to residents and relatives and took account of their views.



## Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

### 6.7 Is the service well led?

**Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care.**

Written policies and procedures for the management of medicines were in place. These were no examined as part of the inspection. Following discussion with staff, it was evident that they were familiar with the policies and procedures and that any updates were highlighted to staff.

There were robust arrangements in place for the management of medicine related incidents. Staff confirmed that they knew how to identify and report incidents. Medicine related incidents reported since the last medicines management inspection were discussed. There was evidence of the action taken and learning implemented following incidents. In relation to the regional safeguarding procedures, staff confirmed that they were aware that medicine incidents may need to be reported to the safeguarding team.

A review of the audit records indicated that largely satisfactory outcomes had been achieved. Where a discrepancy had been identified, there was evidence of the action taken and learning which had resulted in a change of practice.

Following discussion with the registered manager and care staff, it was evident that staff were familiar with their roles and responsibilities in relation to medicines management.

Staff confirmed that any concerns in relation to medicines management were raised with management. They advised that management were open and approachable and willing to listen.

No members of staff shared their views by completing an online questionnaire.

### Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to governance arrangements, the management of medicine incidents and quality improvement. There were clearly defined roles and responsibilities for staff.

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	<b>Regulations</b>	<b>Standards</b>
<b>Total number of areas for improvement</b>	0	0

**7.0 Quality improvement plan**

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.



The **Regulation** and  
**Quality Improvement**  
Authority

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

9th Floor

Riverside Tower

5 Lanyon Place

BELFAST

BT1 3BT

**Tel** 028 9051 7500

**Email** [info@rqia.org.uk](mailto:info@rqia.org.uk)

**Web** [www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care