

Unannounced Care Inspection Report

19 January 2017



Palmerston

Type of service: Residential Care Home
Address: 9-17 Palmerston Road, Belfast, BT4 1QA
Tel No: 028 9065 6166
Inspector: Patricia Galbraith

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

1.0 Summary

An unannounced inspection of Palmerston took place on 21 January 2017 from 10.30 to 15.30.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Is care safe?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is care effective?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is care compassionate?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is the service well led?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

1.1 Inspection outcome

| | Requirements | Recommendations |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Total number of requirements and recommendations made at this inspection | 0 | 0 |

Details of the Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) within this report were discussed with Marsha Tuffin, registered manager, as part of the inspection process. The timescales for completion commence from the date of inspection.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

There were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection.

2.0 Service details

| | |
|---|---|
| Registered organisation/registered person: Abbeyfield and Wesley Housing Association Limited | Registered manager: Marsha Tuffin |
| Person in charge of the home at the time of inspection: Marsha Tuffin | Date manager registered: Marsha Tuffin - application received - "registration pending". |
| Categories of care: I - Old age not falling within any other category DE – Dementia PH (E) - Physical disability other than sensory impairment – over 65 years SI – Sensory impairment | Number of registered places: 38 |

3.0 Methods/processes

Prior to inspection we analysed the following records: The previous inspection report, the returned quality improvement plan and accident/ incidents register.

During the inspection the inspector met with fourteen residents and six staff.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Induction programme for new staff
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Sample of competency and capability assessments

- Staff training schedule/records
- Staff recruitment file(s)
- Four resident's care files
- Residents' Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
- Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care reviews; accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering
- Infection control register/associated records
- Equipment maintenance / cleaning records
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings / representatives' / other
- Evaluation report from annual service user quality assurance survey
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc
- Individual written agreement
- Input from independent advocacy services

A total of 10 questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents, their representatives and staff for completion and return to RQIA. No questionnaires were returned within the requested timescale.

4.0 The inspection

4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the most recent inspection dated 4 October 2016

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. No requirements or recommendations were made.

4.2 Review of requirements and recommendations from the last care inspection dated 4 October 2016

There were no requirements or recommendations made as a result of the last care inspection.

4.3 Is care safe?

The manager confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents and staff.

A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

Review of completed induction records and discussion with the manager and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection.

The manager and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were retained. Samples of completed staff competency and capability assessments were reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body (where applicable).

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were aware of the new regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015) and a copy was available for staff within the home. Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the manager, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse were fully

and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records were retained.

The manager confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

The manager confirmed there were restrictive practices employed within the home. Discussion with the manager regarding such restrictions confirmed these were appropriately assessed, documented, minimised and reviewed with the involvement of the multi-professional team, as required.

Inspection of care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-professional team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

The manager confirmed there were risk management policy and procedures in place. Discussion with the manager and review of the home's policy and procedures relating to safe and healthy working practices confirmed that these were appropriately maintained and reviewed regularly e.g. COSHH, fire safety etc.

The manager confirmed that equipment and medical devices in use in the home were well maintained and regularly serviced.

Review of the infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedure confirmed that this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The manager reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with home policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. The coffee bar had been refurbished and there was a bar area constructed in one lounge and a juke box for entertainment. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff.

Discussion with the manager confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible. The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place and all recommendations were noted to be addressed.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. Fire drills were completed every 6 months. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|

4.4 Is care effective?

Discussion with the manager established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of four care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments (e.g. manual handling, bedrails, nutrition, falls, where appropriate) were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents' health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were observed to be signed by the resident and/or their representative. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice. For example residents' families can come to the home and have meals with their relative. There is an allocated café in the home to enable this.

An individual agreement setting out the terms of residency was in place and appropriately signed. Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to residents at appropriate intervals. Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care review, accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering were available for inspection and evidenced that any actions identified for improvement were incorporated into practice. Further evidence of audit was contained within the monthly monitoring visits reports.

The manager confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents' meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. The manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Residents spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents, and other key stakeholders. Minutes of resident and/or their representative meetings were reviewed during the inspection.

A review of care records, along with accident and incident reports, confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents. The manager confirmed that arrangements were in place, in line with the legislation, to support and advocate for residents.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|

4.5 Is care compassionate?

The manager confirmed that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

A range of policies and procedures were in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff and residents confirmed that residents' spiritual and cultural needs, including preferences for end of life care, were met within the home. Discussion with residents, and staff confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner. This was further evidenced by the review of care records for example, a care plan was in place for management of pain.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand, which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment. The manager, residents and/or their representatives confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion with residents and staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence and dignity and were able to demonstrate how residents' confidentiality was protected.

The manager and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Residents confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

Discussion with staff, residents, and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff.

There were systems in place to ensure that the views and opinions of residents, and or their representatives, were sought and taken into account in all matters affecting them. For example residents' meetings, suggestion box, there has also been a post box set up for families to leave messages for their relatives' key worker if they are off duty when they visit.

Residents are consulted with, at least annually, about the quality of care and environment. The findings from the consultation were collated into a summary report which was made available for residents and other interested parties to read. An action plan was developed and implemented to address any issues identified

Discussion with staff, residents, and observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community.

Comments received from residents were as follows:

- “Staff are great I like living here”.
- “Staff are always at hand to help, nothing is a bother”.
- “There is always something going on and we (residents) are involved in decisions”.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|

4.6 Is the service well led?

The manager outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home's statement of purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and Department of Health (DOH) guidance on complaints handling. Residents and/or their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the Residents Guide, Poster/leaflet etc. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

Review of the complaints records confirmed that arrangements were in place to effectively manage complaints from residents, representatives or any other interested party. Records of complaints included details of any investigation undertaken, all communication with complainants, the outcome of the complaint and the complainant's level of satisfaction. Arrangements were in place to share information about complaints and compliments with staff. An audit of complaints was used to identify trends and to enhance service provision.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was reviewed as part of the inspection process.

Learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

There were quality assurance systems in place to drive quality improvement which included regular audits and satisfaction surveys. There was a system to ensure medical device alerts, safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.

Discussion with the manager confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. Staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read.

There was evidence of managerial staff being provided with additional training in governance and leadership. Learning from complaints, incidents and feedback was integrated into practice and fed into a cycle of continuous improvement.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. This was outlined in the home's Statement of Purpose and Residents Guide. The manager confirmed that the registered provider was kept informed regarding the day to day running of the home.

The manager confirmed that the management and control of operations within the home was in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employers liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned RQIA Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) confirmed that the registered provider/s respond to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the manager and staff confirmed that any adult safeguarding issues were managed appropriately and that reflective learning had taken place. The manager confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

The manager confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns they will offer support to staff.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

The manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff. There were also open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|

5.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no issues identified during this inspection, and a QIP is neither required, nor included, as part of this inspection report.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards.



The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
9th Floor
Riverside Tower
5 Lanyon Place
BELFAST
BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500
Fax 028 9051 7501
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
 @RQIANews