

# Unannounced Care Inspection Report

## 13 October 2016



## Redlands

**Type of service: Residential Care Home**  
**Address: 20 Adelaide Park, Belfast, BT9 6FX**  
**Tel no: 028 9066 1526**  
**Inspector: Patricia Galbraith**

[www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

## 1.0 Summary

An unannounced inspection of Redlands took place on 13 October 2016 from 07.30 to 13.30.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

### Is care safe?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

### Is care effective?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

### Is care compassionate?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

### Is the service well led?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

One requirement was made in regards to the completion of monthly monitoring visits.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

## 1.1 Inspection outcome

	Requirements	Recommendations
Total number of requirements and recommendations made at this inspection	1	0

Details of the Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) within this report were discussed with Irene Best, registered manager, as part of the inspection process. The timescales for completion commence from the date of inspection.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

## 1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent premises inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP there were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 10 May 2016.

## 2.0 Service details

<b>Registered organisation/registered person:</b> Whiteabbey Proprietors Ltd	<b>Registered manager:</b> Irene Best
<b>Person in charge of the home at the time of inspection:</b> Irene Best	<b>Date manager registered:</b> 1 April 2005
<b>Categories of care:</b> I - Old age not falling within any other category DE – Dementia	<b>Number of registered places:</b> 17

## 3.0 Methods/processes

Prior to inspection we analysed the following records: notifications of accidents and incidents submitted to RQIA since the previous care inspection, the returned Quality Improvement Plan (QIP), the previous inspection report.

During the inspection the inspector met with 12 residents, four staff and two resident's representatives/ visitors.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Sample of competency and capability assessments
- Staff training schedule/records
- Staff recruitment file(s)
- Three resident's care files
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
- Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care reviews; accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings / representatives' / other

- Evaluation report from annual service user quality assurance survey
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc
- Safe guarding policy and procedure

A total of 15 questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents, their representatives and staff for completion and return to RQIA. Two were returned within the requested timescale.

#### 4.0 The inspection

##### 4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the most recent inspection dated 10 May 2016

The most recent inspection of the home was an announced premises inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the estates inspector. This QIP will be validated by the estates inspector at the next premises inspection.

##### 4.2 Review of requirements and recommendations from the last care inspection dated 3 March 2016

Last care inspection recommendations		Validation of compliance
<b>Recommendation 1</b>  <b>Ref:</b> Standard 21.1  <b>Stated:</b> First time  <b>To be completed by:</b> 3 June 2016	The registered manager should ensure that a policy is developed in regard to the involvement of residents in the running of the home.	<b>Met</b>
	<b>Action taken as confirmed during the inspection:</b> The policy was reviewed and had been developed in regard to residents' involvement in the running of the home.	

##### 4.3 Is care safe?

The registered manager confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents, residents' representatives and staff.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was available for inspection.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were retained. A sample of staff competency and capability assessments were reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Review of the recruitment and selection policy and procedure confirmed that it complied with current legislation and best practice. Discussion with the registered manager and review of staff personnel files confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

Enhanced Access NI disclosures were viewed by the registered manager for all staff prior to the commencement of employment.

The adult safeguarding policies and procedures in place were consistent with the current regional guidance and included the name of the safeguarding champion, definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed. A safeguarding champion had been established.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were aware of the new regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015) and a copy was available for staff within the home. Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the registered manager, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse were fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records were retained.

The registered manager confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the registered manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission. Care needs assessment and risk assessments (e.g. manual handling, nutrition, falls, where appropriate) were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The registered manager confirmed there were restrictive practices employed within the home, notably locked doors, keypad entry systems, lap belts, pressure alarm mats, etc. Discussion with the registered manager regarding such restrictions confirmed these were appropriately assessed, documented, minimised and reviewed with the involvement of the multi-professional team, as required.

Inspection of three care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-disciplinary team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

The registered manager and examination of accident and incident records confirmed that when individual restraint was employed, the appropriate persons / bodies were informed.

The registered manager confirmed there were risk management policy and procedures in place. Discussion with the registered manager and review of the home's policy and procedures relating to safe and healthy working practices confirmed that these were appropriately maintained and reviewed regularly e.g. COSHH, fire safety etc.

Review of the infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedure confirmed that this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in IPC; in line with their roles and responsibilities. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Hand hygiene was a priority for the home and efforts were applied to promoting good standards of hand hygiene among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The registered manager reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with home policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place and all recommendations were noted to be appropriately addressed.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. Fire drills were completed every 6 months. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked *weekly* and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

Two completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

One resident commented:

- "Very safe"

### **Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<b>Number of requirements</b>	0	<b>Number of recommendations</b>	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

#### 4.4 Is care effective?

Discussion with the registered manager and residents established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of three care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents' health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the resident. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice.

An individual agreement setting out the terms of residency was in place and appropriately signed. Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The registered manager confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents' meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. The registered manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Residents and their representatives spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders.

Minutes of resident and/or their representative meetings were available for inspection.

A review of care records along with accident and incident reports confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents.

Two completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

One resident commented:

- "Staff are friendly & helpful"

#### Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<b>Number of requirements</b>	0	<b>Number of recommendations</b>	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---



#### 4.5 Is care compassionate?

The registered manager confirmed that there was a culture/ethos within the home that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

There were a range of policies and procedures in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff, residents and/or their representatives confirmed that residents' spiritual and cultural needs, including preferences for end of life care, were met within the home. Discussion with residents confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner.

The registered manager, residents and/or their representatives confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion with residents, their representatives and staff along with observation of practice and interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence and dignity. Staff were also able to demonstrate how residents' confidentiality was protected. For example staff ensured information was passed on in the office and the door was closed.

Discussion with staff, residents, and/or their representatives, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community. For example residents reported their visitors could come and go freely. Residents also reported they had been out on shopping trips and went to the theatre.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Discussion with staff, residents, representatives and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand, which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment

There were systems in place to ensure that the views and opinions of residents, and or their representatives, were sought and taken into account in all matters affecting them. For example there had been a residents satisfaction questionnaire completed. There was also a suggestion box kept in the front hall which residents could avail of daily.

Residents are consulted with, at least annually, about the quality of care and environment. The findings from the consultation were collated into a summary report which was made available for residents and other interested parties to read. An action plan was developed and implemented to address any issues identified.

Residents and/or their representatives confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

Two completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.



Comments received from representative was as follows:

- “My relative has settled in here so well and staff have made the transition process so easy”

### Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<b>Number of requirements</b>	0	<b>Number of recommendations</b>	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

### 4.6 Is the service well led?

The registered manager outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be generally in line with good practice. The monthly monitoring visits had not been undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. A requirement was made in this regard.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and DHSSPS guidance on complaints handling. Residents and/or their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the Residents Guide, Poster / leaflet etc. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

Review of the complaints records confirmed that arrangements were in place to effectively manage complaints from residents, their representatives or any other interested party. Records of complaints included details of any investigation undertaken, all communication with complainants, the outcome of the complaint and the complainant's level of satisfaction. Arrangements were in place to share information about complaints and compliments with staff. An audit of complaints was used to identify trends and to enhance service provision.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was available for inspection. Learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

The registered manager confirmed that they had their own falls prevention toolkit.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. The registered manager confirmed that the registered provider was kept informed regarding the day to day running of the home. The registered manager confirmed the registered provider meets at least monthly and is in constant contact with the registered manager by phone.

The registered manager confirmed that the home operated in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employers liability insurance certificate were displayed appropriately.

Review of notifications of accidents and incidents and the returned RQIA Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) confirmed that the registered provider/s respond to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that any adult safeguarding issues were managed appropriately and that reflective learning had taken place. The registered manager confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders. The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding the policy and procedure. The registered manager confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns and to offer support to staff. Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised. Residents were informed of the roles of staff within the home and who to speak with if they wanted advice or had any issues or concerns.

The registered manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff. There were also open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

Two completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from service users, staff and relative. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of the service as very satisfied.

### Areas for improvement

One area for improvement was identified in relation to the completion of monthly monitoring visits.

<b>Number of requirements</b>	1	<b>Number of recommendations</b>	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

## 5.0 Quality improvement plan

Any issues identified during this inspection are detailed in the QIP. Details of the QIP were discussed with Irene Best, registered manager, as part of the inspection process. The timescales commence from the date of inspection.

The registered provider/manager should note that failure to comply with regulations may lead to further enforcement action including possible prosecution for offences. It is the responsibility of the registered provider to ensure that all requirements and recommendations contained within the QIP are addressed within the specified timescales.

Matters to be addressed as a result of this inspection are set in the context of the current registration of the residential care home. The registration is not transferable so that in the event of any future application to alter, extend or to sell the premises RQIA would apply standards current at the time of that application.

## 5.1 Statutory requirements

This section outlines the actions which must be taken so that the registered provider meets legislative requirements based on The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

## 5.2 Recommendations

This section outlines the recommended actions based on research, recognised sources and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011. They promote current good practice and if adopted by the registered provider/manager may enhance service, quality and delivery.

## 5.3 Actions to be taken by the registered provider

The QIP should be completed and detail the actions taken to meet the legislative requirements and recommendations stated. The registered provider should confirm that these actions have been completed and return the completed QIP to [care.team@rqia.org.uk](mailto:care.team@rqia.org.uk) assessment by the inspector.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards. It is expected that the requirements and recommendations outlined in this report will provide the registered provider with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities and enhance practice within the service.

## Quality Improvement Plan

### Statutory requirements

#### Requirement 1

**Ref:** Regulation 29(4)  
(c) & Schedule 4 (5)

**Stated:** Second time

**To be completed by:**  
**31 October 2016**

The registered person shall ensure that visits are undertaken under regulation 29 and are completed in line with legislation.

**Response by registered provider detailing the actions taken:**

The R29 reports are now completed on the RQIA template and in line with legislation

*\*Please ensure this document is completed in full and returned to [care.team@rqia.org.uk](mailto:care.team@rqia.org.uk) from the authorised email address\**



The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority  
9th Floor  
Riverside Tower  
5 Lanyon Place  
BELFAST  
BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500  
Fax 028 9051 7501  
Email [info@rqia.org.uk](mailto:info@rqia.org.uk)  
Web [www.rqia.org.uk](http://www.rqia.org.uk)  
 @RQIANews