

Unannounced Care Inspection Report 14 June 2018



Scrabo House

Type of Service: Residential Care Home Address: 203 Scrabo Road, Newtownards, BT23 4SJ Tel No: 028 9182 6384 Inspector: Ruth Greer

<u>www.rqia.org.uk</u>

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

1.0 What we look for



2.0 Profile of service

This is a residential care home with 17 beds that provides care for residents assessed as old and infirm and residents who are living with dementia.

3.0 Service details

Organisation/Registered Provider: Scrabo House Responsible Individual: Alexander Buchanan	Registered Manager: Elizabeth Buchanan
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Elizabeth Buchanan	Date manager registered: 1 April 2005
Categories of care: Residential Care (RC) I – Old age not falling within any other category DE – Dementia	Number of registered places: 17

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced care inspection took place on 14 June 2018 from 9 50 to 15 30.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified since the last care inspection and sought to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to the implementation of an audit/evaluation of staff training, the environment and a homely ethos in the home.

Residents said they were happy in the home and spoke of staff kindness and attention in a complimentary manner for example one resident said "We couldn't be better looked after".

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and resident experience.

4.1 Inspection outcome

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Elizabeth Buchanan, Registered Manager and Alex Buchanan, Registered Provider as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

No further actions were required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 14 June 2018.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the service was reviewed. This included the following records: the previous inspection report, notifiable events, and written and verbal communication received since the previous care inspection.

During the inspection the inspector met with the registered manager/person in charge, the registered provider, twelve residents and five staff. There were no visiting professionals and no residents' visitors/representatives.

Questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents and/or their representatives to enable them to share their views with RQIA. A poster was provided for staff detailing how they could complete an electronic questionnaire. Six questionnaires were returned by residents and their representatives within the agreed timescale.

During the inspection a sample of records was examined which included:

- Staff duty rota
- Induction programme for new staff
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Staff competency and capability assessments
- Staff training schedule and training records
- Two staff files
- Four residents' care files
- The home's Statement of Purpose and Resident's Guide
- Minutes of staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
- Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care reviews; accidents and incidents (including falls,) NISCC registration and the impact of staff training
- Equipment maintenance/cleaning records
- Accident, incident, notifiable event records
- Annual Quality Review report
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings
- Legionella risk assessment
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc.
- Individual written agreements
- Input from independent advocacy services
- Programme of activities
- Policies and procedures

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 21 November 2017

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection.

6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last care inspection dated 21 November 2017

There were no areas for improvements made as a result of the last care inspection.

6.3 Inspection findings

6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

The registered manager advised that the staffing levels for the home were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. Temporary/agency staff were not used in the home. The registered manager stated that cover for leave etc, was covered by "our own part time staff". Any turnover of staff was kept to minimum, where possible, and was monitored by the management of the home.

No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents and staff. A review of the duty rota confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

A review of completed induction records and discussion with the registered manager and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and annual appraisal of staff was regularly provided. Schedules and records of training, staff appraisals and supervision were reviewed during the inspection.

Discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager. Staff competency and capability assessments were reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Review of the recruitment and selection policy and procedure confirmed that it complied with current legislation and best practice. Discussion with the registered manager and review of staff files confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

The registered manager advised that AccessNI enhanced disclosures were undertaken for all staff prior to the commencement of employment. Staff files reviewed confirmed that AccessNI information was recorded and managed in line with best practice.

Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body (where applicable). The registered provider advised that he checks the NISCC register on a weekly basis. Care staff spoken with advised that they were registered with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC).

The adult safeguarding policy in place was consistent with the current regional policy and procedures. This included the name of the safeguarding champion, definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed. The role and function of the adult safeguarding champion (ASC) and the necessity to complete the annual ASC position report from 1 April 2018 to 31 March 2019 was discussed.

Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles and had an awareness of child protection issues. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff most recently on 8 January 2018.

Discussion with the registered manager, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that there had been no suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse. Any instances would be were fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records would be retained.

The registered manager stated there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents and the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. A review of care records identified those residents' care needs and risk assessments were obtained from the trust prior to admission. Several residents were privately funded. The registered manager stated that she advises referral to the trust for all privately funded residents but some residents and their families have refused any input from the trust. The registered manager was advised of her responsibility, in line with standard 3.9, to inform the local trust care management when a self-referred resident is admitted to the home, even if no further input is accepted by the resident.

The policy and procedure on restrictive practice/behaviours which challenge was in keeping with DHSSPS Guidance on Restraint and Seclusion in Health and Personal Social Services (2005) and the Human Rights Act (1998). It also reflected current best practice guidance including Deprivation of Liberties Safeguards (DoLS).

The registered manager advised there were no restrictive practices within the home and on the day of the inspection none were observed. No residents have been assessed as requiring a profiling bed (with bed rails). Several residents have brought their own bed guards which they

had used in their own homes. The use of the guards has been agreed by the resident, family, outside professionals and the home and is in place in the care plans.

There was an Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) policy and procedure in place which was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records evidenced that all staff had received training in IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities most recently on 5 March 2018. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures.

Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), e.g. disposable gloves and aprons, was available throughout the home. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

IPC compliance audits were undertaken and action plans developed to address any deficits noted. Records showed that individual staff member's knowledge of IPC practice was discussed at supervision sessions.

The registered manager reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with home policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained. appropriate records retained.

The registered manager reported that they were aware of the "Falls Prevention Toolkit" and were using this guidance to improve post falls management within the home. Audits of accidents/falls were undertaken on monthly basis and analysed for themes and trends; an action plan was developed to minimise the risk where possible.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be individualised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh-smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. No malodours were detected in the home.

The registered manager advised that the home's policy, procedures and risk assessments relating to safe and healthy working practices were appropriately maintained and reviewed regularly e.g. Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) and fire safety.

The home had an up to date Legionella risk assessment in place dated 12 June 2018 and no recommendations had been made.

It was established that no residents smoke.

The registered manager advised that equipment and medical devices in use in the home were well maintained and regularly serviced. A system was in place to regularly check the Northern Ireland Adverse Incidence Centre (NIAIC) alerts and action as necessary. A log of the weekly visits to the website was maintained.

The registered manager and review of Lifting Operations and Lifting Equipment Regulations (LOLER) records confirmed that safety maintenance records were up to date.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated 17 January 2018 and all recommendations had been actioned.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually most recently on 18 April 2018. Fire drills were completed on a regular basis and records reviewed confirmed these were up to date. The records also included the staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEP) in place.

Residents and staff spoken with during the inspection made the following comments:

- "I've been here for some years now and have got my room all done up and lovely new furniture" (resident)
- "This is a lovely place and I'm very happy and well looked after" (resident)
- "This is a good home and the residents are really cared for very well" (staff)

Six completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents and their representatives. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff induction, training, supervision and appraisal, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome

Discussion with the registered manager established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

There was a records management policy in place which includes the arrangements for the creation, storage, maintenance and disposal of records. Records were stored safely and securely in line with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The home has devised a policy on GDPR and information shared with residents, families and staff. A review of four care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents' health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were observed to be signed by the resident where possible. An individual agreement setting out the terms of residency was in place and appropriately signed. There was a consent to photography form within each of the care files examined.

Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice. Staff were able to describe in detail how the needs, choices and preferences of individual residents were met within the home. Staff knew the daily routines of each resident and confirmed that the daily care is delivered at a time and in a manner which best suits each resident. It was noted that an agreed individual daily planner setting out preferences was in place on the back of the bedroom door of each resident. This represents good practice.

A varied and nutritious diet is provided which meets the individual and recorded dietary needs and preferences of the residents. Systems are in place to regularly record residents' weights and any significant changes in weight are responded appropriately. An informal audit is taken daily in regard to the views of residents on the food provided and feedback from residents is recorded after every meal. There are arrangements in place to refer residents to dietitians and speech and language therapists (SALT) as required. Any guidance and recommendations provided by dieticians and SALT are reflected within the individual resident's care plans and associated risk assessments.

The registered manager advised that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to residents at appropriate intervals. Audits of care plans, accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), environment and catering were available for inspection and evidenced that any actions identified for improvement were incorporated into practice.

The registered manager advised that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, residents' meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. Minutes of staff meetings and resident and/or their representative meetings were reviewed during the inspection. Separate representative meetings which included a dementia support/education aspect were held in the home.

Observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents. Discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

A review of care records, along with accident and incident reports, confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents.

The registered manager reported that arrangements were in place, in line with the legislation, to support and advocate for residents.

Residents and staff, spoken with during the inspection made the following comments:

- "The assistant manager sets us test after training sessions to see what we've learned. For example the latest couple were on managing challenging behaviour and infection control," (staff)
- "I love it in here, there is always someone to talk to and to help you if you need it"
- (resident)
- "The food is really lovely, all homemade" (resident)

Six completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents and their representatives. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other interested parties.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

A range of policies and procedures was in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care.

The registered manager advised that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

The registered manager and residents advised that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion and observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff described their awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence, dignity and confidentiality were protected.

Discussion with staff and residents confirmed that residents' spiritual and cultural needs, including preferences for end of life care, were met within the home. Action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner. This was further evidenced by the review of care records, for example, care plans were in place for the identification and management of pain, falls, infection, nutrition, where appropriate.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand, which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment.

Discussion with staff, residents, and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff; residents' were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner and their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them. For example residents were encouraged and supported to actively participate in the annual reviews of their care. Other systems of communication included, residents' meetings and a suggestion box.

Residents were consulted with, at least annually, about the quality of care and environment. The findings from the consultation were collated into a summary report and action plan was made available for residents and other interested parties to read.

Discussion with staff, residents, and observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. For example quizzes, proverbs, music, exercises. Saturday afternoons are designated as "pamper time". Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community. For example several residents have personal advocates from a voluntary organisation. Two residents attend day care. A display in the entrance hall showed the activities undertaken and planned for residents which kept families informed. The residents had chosen a premature baby unit as their charity for the year and their craft work to raise funds was on view.

Residents and staff spoken with during the inspection made the following comments:

- "I've worked here for years and I would not have stayed if the care wasn't good" (staff)
- "Everyone is really kind and they are always checking if there is anything we want" (resident)

Six completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents and their representatives and staff. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care

The registered manager outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home and stated that the needs of residents were met in accordance with the home's statement of purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. The registered manager stated that policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and Department of Health (DoH) guidance on complaints handling. Residents and/or their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the Resident's Guide. Staff were knowledgeable about how to respond to complaints. RQIA's complaint poster was available and displayed in the home.

Review of the complaints records showed that no complaints had been received since the last inspection. Arrangements were in place to share information about complaints and compliments with staff.

The home retains compliments received, e.g. thank you letters and cards and there are systems in place to share these with staff.

There was an accident, incident and notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of these events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was reviewed as part of the inspection process. The registered manager advised that learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

There was a system to ensure safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.

There was evidence of managerial staff being provided with additional training in governance and leadership. For example the assistant manager is currently undertaking the KSF level 5

qualification in residential management. The registered manager advised that there was a system to share learning from a range of sources including complaints, incidents, training; feedback was integrated into practice and contributed to continuous quality improvement.

Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. Staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents. For example, regular update sessions on dementia awareness.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. This was outlined in the home's Statement of Purpose and Residents Guide. Discussion with the registered provider identified that he had understanding of his role and responsibilities under the legislation. The registered manager confirmed that the registered provider was on daily duty in the home.

The registered manager reported that the management and control of operations within the home was in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employer's liability insurance certificate were displayed.

The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place and discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable regarding this. The registered manager advised that staff could also access line management to raise concerns and that staff would be offered support.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised. There were open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

The registered manager described the arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff.

The inspector discussed arrangements in place in relation to the equality of opportunity for residents and the importance of staff being aware of equality legislation and recognising and responding to the diverse needs of residents.

The equality data collected on residents in regard to gender, religion and marital status was managed in line with best practice.

Six completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents and their representatives and staff. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

Matters to be addressed as a result of this inspection are set in the context of the current registration of the residential care home. The registration is not transferable so that in the event of any future application to alter, extend or to sell the premises RQIA would apply standards current at the time of that application.

7.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.





The **Regulation** and **Quality Improvement Authority**

The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority 9th Floor Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST BT1 3BT

Tel028 9051 7500Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Comparison of the state of t

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care