

Unannounced Medicines Management Inspection Report 27 February 2018



Mantlin Court

Type of service: Residential Care Home Address: Mantlin Road, Kesh, BT93 1TU Tel No: 028 6863 3149 Inspector: Helen Daly

<u>www.rqia.org.uk</u>

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the service from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with legislation, standards and best practice.

1.0 What we look for



2.0 Profile of service

This is a residential care home with 18 beds that provides care for residents who are living with a learning disability.

3.0 Service details

Organisation/Registered Provider: Western HSC Trust Responsible Individual: Dr Anne Kilgallen	Registered Manager: Ms Gillian Ingram
Person in charge at the time of inspection: Ms Gillian Ingram	Date manager registered: 1 April 2005
Categories of care: Residential Care (RC) LD – learning disability LD(E) – learning disability – over 65 years	Number of registered places: 18

4.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 27 February 2018 from 11.10 to 13.35.

This inspection was underpinned by The Health and Personal Social Services (Quality, Improvement and Regulation) (Northern Ireland) Order 2003, The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards (2011).

The inspection assessed progress with any areas for improvement identified since the last medicines management inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to medicines administration, medicine records, medicines storage and the management of controlled drugs.

Residents were observed to be comfortable and relaxed in the home.

The findings of this report will provide the home with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities, enhance practice and residents' experience.

4.1 Inspection outcome

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Ms Gillian Ingram, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

4.2 Action/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection undertaken on 18 September 2017. Other than the action detailed in the QIP no further actions were required to be taken. Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

5.0 How we inspect

Prior to the inspection a range of information relevant to the home was reviewed. This included the following:

- · recent inspection reports and returned QIPs
- recent correspondence with the home
- the management of medication related incidents

During the inspection we met with five residents, two staff and the registered manager.

A total of 10 questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents and their representatives for completion and return to RQIA. Staff were invited to share their views by completing an online questionnaire.

A sample of the following records was examined during the inspection:

- medicines requested and received
- personal medication records
- medicine administration records
- medicines disposed of or transferred
- controlled drug record book

- medicine audits
- care plans
- training records
- medicines storage temperatures

The findings of the inspection were provided to the person in charge at the conclusion of the inspection.

6.0 The inspection

6.1 Review of areas for improvement from the most recent inspection dated 18 September 2017

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the care inspector. This QIP will be validated by the care inspector at the next care inspection.

6.2 Review of areas for improvement from the last medicines management inspection dated 22 June 2015

There were no areas for improvement identified as a result of the last medicines management inspection.

6.3 Inspection findings

6.4 Is care safe?

Avoiding and preventing harm to patients and clients from the care, treatment and support that is intended to help them.

Medicines were managed by staff who have been trained and deemed competent to do so. Training had been provided by the community pharmacist in March 2017. Competency assessments were completed annually or more frequently if a need was identified. The impact of training was monitored through the home's audit processes. The registered manager confirmed that all staff were aware of the regional safeguarding procedures and who to report any safeguarding concerns to.

Systems were in place to manage the ordering of prescribed medicines to ensure adequate supplies were available and to prevent wastage. Antibiotics and newly prescribed medicines had been received into the home without delay. Satisfactory arrangements were in place for the acquisition and storage of prescriptions.

There were procedures in place to ensure the safe management of medicines during a resident's admission to the home.

There were satisfactory arrangements in place to manage changes to prescribed medicines. Personal medication records and handwritten entries on medication administration records were updated by two members of staff. This safe practice was acknowledged.

Records of the receipt, administration and disposal of controlled drugs subject to record keeping requirements were maintained in a controlled drug record book. Checks were performed on controlled drugs which require safe custody, at the end of each shift.

Discontinued or expired medicines were returned to the community pharmacist for disposal.

Medicines were stored safely and securely and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Medicine storage areas were clean, tidy and well organised. There were systems in place to alert staff of the expiry dates of medicines with a limited shelf life, once opened. The temperatures of the treatment room and medicines refrigerator were monitored daily. Some temperatures outside the accepted range $(2^{\circ}C - 8^{\circ}C)$ were observed for the refrigerator. Guidance on resetting the thermometer was provided. The registered manager agreed to closely monitor the refrigerator temperatures and take corrective action if necessary. There were no medicines which required cold storage in use on the day of the inspection.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to staff training, competency assessment, the management of medication changes and controlled drugs.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.5 Is care effective?

The right care, at the right time in the right place with the best outcome.

The sample of medicines examined had been administered in accordance with the prescriber's instructions. There were arrangements in place to alert staff of when doses of alternate day and weekly medicines were due.

Care plans were in place when a resident was prescribed a medicine for administration on a "when required" basis for the management of distressed reactions. The dosage directions were clearly recorded on the personal medication records. These medicines were used infrequently. A review of the last administration (August 2017) indicated that the reason for and outcome of the administration had been recorded.

The management of pain was reviewed. The registered manager confirmed that the majority of residents could verbalise their pain and that all staff were aware of how each resident expressed their pain. The reason for and outcome of the administration of "when required" analgesia was recorded. This good practice was acknowledged. Staff were aware that ongoing monitoring was necessary to ensure that any pain was well controlled and the resident was comfortable. We reviewed one care plan and found it to be satisfactory.

Staff confirmed that compliance with prescribed medicine regimes was monitored and any omissions or refusals likely to have an adverse effect on a resident's health were reported to the prescriber.

Medicine records were well maintained and facilitated the audit process.

Practices for the management of medicines were audited throughout the month by staff and management. This included daily counts on medicines not supplied in the 28 day blister packs, a weekly audit on self-administered medicines and a monthly management audit.

Following discussion with the registered manager and staff, it was evident that, when applicable, other healthcare professionals were contacted in response to medication related issues. Staff advised that they had good working relationships with healthcare professionals involved in residents' care.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to the standard of record keeping, care planning and the administration of medicines.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.6 Is care compassionate?

Patients and clients are treated with dignity and respect and should be fully involved in decisions affecting their treatment, care and support.

Appropriate arrangements were in place to facilitate residents responsible for the selfadministration of medicines.

The administration of medicines to residents had been completed prior to the commencement of this inspection and was not observed. Staff were knowledgeable about the administration of medicines and guidance was displayed on the medicines file for easy reference.

Throughout the inspection, it was found that there were good relationships between the staff and the residents. Staff were noted to be friendly and courteous; they treated the residents with dignity. It was clear from discussion and observation of staff, that the staff were familiar with the residents' likes and dislikes.

The residents spoken to at the inspection seemed content and had enjoyed their lunch. They were observed to be comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff.

As part of the inspection process, we issued 10 questionnaires to residents and their representatives. Two residents completed and returned questionnaires within the specified timeframe. Comments received were positive; with responses recorded as 'very satisfied' or 'satisfied' with the care provided in the home.

Comments from residents included:

"I am very happy with the care I receive." "I am very happy with the help I get in Mantlin."

Areas of good practice

Staff listened to residents and took account of their views.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0

6.7 Is the service well led?

Effective leadership, management and governance which creates a culture focused on the needs and experience of service users in order to deliver safe, effective and compassionate care.

Written policies and procedures for the management of medicines were in place. Management advised that these were reviewed regularly. Following discussion with staff it was evident that they were familiar with the policies and procedures and that any updates were highlighted to staff.

There were robust arrangements in place for the management of medicine related incidents. Medicine related incidents reported since the last medicines management inspection were discussed. There was evidence of the action taken and learning implemented following incidents. In relation to the regional safeguarding procedures, the registered manager confirmed that staff were aware that medicine incidents may need to be reported to the safeguarding lead and safeguarding team.

A review of the audit records indicated that largely satisfactory outcomes had been achieved. Where a discrepancy had been identified, there was evidence of the action taken and learning which had resulted in a change of practice.

Following discussion with the registered manager and care staff, it was evident that staff were familiar with their roles and responsibilities in relation to medicines management.

Staff confirmed that any concerns in relation to medicines management were raised with management. They advised that management were open and approachable and willing to listen.

Three members of staff shared their views by completing an online questionnaire. Comments received were positive; with responses recorded as 'very satisfied' or 'satisfied' with the care in the home. Responses had not been entered for some of the questions on the survey.

Areas of good practice

There were examples of good practice in relation to governance arrangements, the management of medicine incidents and quality improvement. There were clearly defined roles and responsibilities for staff.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of areas for improvement	0	0
7.0 Quality improvement plan		

There were no areas for improvement identified during this inspection, and a QIP is not required or included, as part of this inspection report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority 9th Floor Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST BT1 3BT

 Tel
 028 9051 7500

 Fax
 028 9051 7501

 Email
 info@rqia.org.uk

 Web
 www.rqia.org.uk

 O
 @RQIANews

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care