

Residential Care Inspection Report 16 March 2017











Corriewood Private Clinic

Type of service: Residential Care Home Address: 3 Station Road, Castlewellan, BT31 9NF

Tel no: 028 4377 8230 Inspector: Alice McTavish

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

1.0 Summary

An unannounced inspection of Corriewood Private Clinic took place on 16 March 2017 from 09.35 to 14.20.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised since the last care inspection and to determine if the residential care home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Is care safe?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff recruitment, induction, training, supervision and appraisal, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is care effective?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

One recommendation was made in regard to staff meetings.

Is care compassionate?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is the service well led?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

1.1 Inspection outcome

| | Requirements | Recommendations |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Total number of requirements and | 0 | 1 |
| recommendations made at this inspection | U | Į |

Details of the Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) within this report were discussed with Mrs Teresa McClean, registered manager and Mrs Imelda McGrady, responsible person, as part of the inspection process. The timescales for completion commence from the date of inspection.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

There were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection.

2.0 Service details

| Registered Organisation/Registered Person: Corriewood Private Clinic | Registered Manager: Teresa Josephine McClean |
|--|---|
| Person in Charge of the Home at the Time of Inspection: Teresa Josephine McClean | Date Manager Registered: 1 April 2005 |
| Categories of Care: MP - Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia LD - Learning Disability LD (E) – Learning disability – over 65 years | Number of Registered Places: 4 |

3.0 Methods/processes

Prior to inspection we analysed the following records: the report from the last care inspection and notifications of accidents and incidents.

During the inspection the inspector met with three residents, one care staff, the registered manager and the registered provider. No visiting professionals and no residents' visitors/representatives were present.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Induction programme for new staff
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Staff training schedule/records
- Staff recruitment files
- Care files of four residents
- The home's Statement of Purpose and Residents' Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records

- Audits of care records, accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment
- Infection control register/associated records
- Equipment maintenance records
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings
- Evaluation report from annual service user quality assurance survey
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire drill records
- Maintenance of fire-fighting equipment, alarm system, emergency lighting, fire doors, etc
- Programme of activities
- Policies and procedures manual

A total of 14 questionnaires were provided for distribution to residents, their representatives and staff for completion and return to RQIA. Three questionnaires were returned within the requested timescale.

4.0 The inspection

4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the most recent inspection dated 27 September 2016

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the care inspector.

4.2 Review of requirements and recommendations from the last care inspection dated 27 September 2016

There were no requirements of recommendations made as a result of the last care inspection.

4.3 Is care safe?

The registered manager confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents and staff. A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

Review of completed induction records and discussion with the registered manager and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection.

The registered manager confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any

period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were retained. Samples of completed staff competency and capability assessments were reviewed during the last care inspection and were found to be satisfactory. They were not reviewed on this occasion.

Discussion with the registered manager and review of staff personnel files confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. Enhanced AccessNI disclosures were viewed by the registered manager for all staff prior to the commencement of employment. Personnel records reviewed confirmed that AccessNI information was managed in line with best practice. There were arrangements in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body (where applicable).

The home had an adult safeguarding policy and procedure in place which included definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed. A safeguarding champion had been established.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were aware of the new regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015). Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

In discussion with the registered manager it was established that no issues of adult safeguarding had arisen since the last care inspection. The registered manager confirmed that any suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse would be fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records would be retained.

The registered manager confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the registered manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

A review of policy and procedure on restrictive practice/behaviours which challenge confirmed that this was in keeping with DHSSPS Guidance on Restraint and Seclusion in Health and Personal Social Services (2005) and the Human Rights Act (1998). It also reflected current best practice guidance including Deprivation of Liberties Safeguards (DoLS).

The registered manager advised that a small number of restrictive practices were employed within the home, for example, where residents were assessed as being unsafe to leave the home unaccompanied by staff or where residents were unable to manage their own monies. Discussion with the registered manager regarding such restrictions confirmed these were appropriately assessed, documented, minimised and reviewed with the involvement of the multiprofessional team, as required. The exterior doors of the home were unlocked during the day (apart from when a lone worker may be assisting residents in the bathroom) but were locked at night as part of usual security measures.

Inspection of care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-professional team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

The registered manager confirmed that equipment and medical devices in use in the home were well maintained and regularly serviced.

Review of the infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedure during the last care inspection confirmed that this this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in infection prevention and control IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

The registered manager reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with home policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh-smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

Three completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

4.4 Is care effective?

Discussion with the registered manager established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of the care records of four residents confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments (e.g. nutrition, where appropriate) were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents' health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were observed to be signed by the resident and/or their representative. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were familiar with person centred care and that a person centred approach underpinned practice.

Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.

The registered manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place to monitor, audit and review the effectiveness and quality of care delivered to residents at appropriate intervals. Audits of care records, accidents and incidents, complaints, the home's environment and of activities for residents were available for inspection and evidenced that any actions identified for improvement were incorporated into practice. Further evidence of audit was contained within the monthly monitoring visits reports.

The registered manager confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents' meetings and staff shift handovers. Whilst staff confirmed that staff meetings took place in the home, a review of the minutes of such meetings identified that they did not always take place at least quarterly. A recommendation was made in this regard.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home. Residents spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents. Minutes of resident' meetings were reviewed during the inspection.

A review of care records confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents. There were arrangements were in place, in line with the legislation, to support and advocate for residents.

Three completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Areas for improvement

One area for improvement was identified. This was in relation to the frequency of staff meetings.

| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 1 |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----|
| | | | i . |

4.5 Is care compassionate?

The registered manager confirmed that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

A range of policies and procedures was in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff confirmed that residents' spiritual and cultural needs, including preferences for end of life care, were met within the home. Discussion with staff confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand, which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment, for example, in the residents guide which was provided in an easy read version.

Staff confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. Discussion with residents and staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their awareness of promoting residents' rights, independence and dignity.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Residents confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them. Discussion with staff and residents and observation of practice confirmed that residents' needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff.

There were systems in place to ensure that the views and opinions of residents, and or their representatives, were sought and taken into account in all matters affecting them. Residents participated in the preparation for annual care reviews and attended the reviews of care. The minutes of care reviews were signed by residents.

Residents were consulted with, at least annually, about the quality of care and environment. The findings from the consultation were collated into a summary report which was made available for residents and other interested parties to read. An action plan was developed and implemented to address any issues identified. The annual resident and relative satisfaction survey report for 2016 was reviewed. It was noted that respondents to the survey indicated a high level of satisfaction with care and services provided in the home.

Discussion with staff and residents, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. On the day of inspection residents participated in an outing. Residents advised the inspector that they also attended the daily activities arranged in the home's sister facility, Corriewood Private Nursing Home. Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community.

Residents spoken with during the inspection made the following comments:

- "I really like it here. The staff are very good and they take us out all the time. The food is good and I like it. I always get lots of tea and snacks too."
- "It's a good place to live. I like going out for walks."

A staff member spoken with during the inspection made the following comment:

 "I'm really happy working here. I enjoy working with the residents and making sure they are well cared for."

Three completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
| | | | |

4.6 Is the service well led?

The registered manager outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home's Statement of Purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and Department of Health (DoH) guidance on complaints handling. Residents and/or their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the Residents Guide, which was also provided in an easy read version, and posters displayed in the home. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

Review of the complaints records confirmed that arrangements were in place to effectively manage complaints from residents, their representatives or any other interested party. Records of complaints included details of any investigation undertaken, all communication with complainants, the outcome of the complaint and the complainant's level of satisfaction. Arrangements were in place to share information about complaints and compliments with staff. The registered manager advised that if complaints were to be regularly received, an audit of complaints would be used to identify trends and to enhance service provision.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. The registered manager advised that

accidents and incidents rarely occurred, but that if these should occur more regularly, an audit would be undertaken in order to identify trends and to enhance service provision. Learning from accidents and incidents would be disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents. Such training included epilepsy and the administration of emergency medication (Buccal Midazolam), brain injury awareness, swallow awareness and pressure ulcer risk and use of pressure relieving equipment.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. This was outlined in the home's Statement of Purpose and Residents Guide. Discussion with the responsible person identified that they had understanding of their role and responsibilities under the legislation. The registered manager confirmed that the registered provider was kept informed regarding the day to day running of the home.

The registered manager confirmed that the management and control of operations within the home was in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employer's liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned RQIA Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) confirmed that the registered provider responded to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that any adult safeguarding issues were managed appropriately and that reflective learning had taken place. The registered manager confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place and discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding this. The registered manager confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns they will offer support to staff.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

The registered manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff. There were also open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

Three completed questionnaires were returned to RQIA from residents. Respondents described their level of satisfaction with this aspect of care as very satisfied.

RQIA ID: 1855 Inspection ID: IN025547

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|

5.0 Quality improvement plan

Any issues identified during this inspection are detailed in the QIP. Details of the QIP were discussed with Mrs Teresa McClean, registered manager and Mrs Imelda McGrady, responsible person, as part of the inspection process. The timescales commence from the date of inspection.

The registered provider/manager should note that failure to comply with regulations may lead to further enforcement action including possible prosecution for offences. It is the responsibility of the registered provider to ensure that all requirements and recommendations contained within the QIP are addressed within the specified timescales.

Matters to be addressed as a result of this inspection are set in the context of the current registration of the residential care home. The registration is not transferable so that in the event of any future application to alter, extend or to sell the premises RQIA would apply standards current at the time of that application.

5.1 Statutory requirements

This section outlines the actions which must be taken so that the registered provider meets legislative requirements based on The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005.

5.2 Recommendations

This section outlines the recommended actions based on research, recognised sources and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011. They promote current good practice and if adopted by the registered provider/manager may enhance service, quality and delivery.

5.3 Actions to be taken by the registered provider

The QIP should be completed and detail the actions taken to meet the legislative requirements and recommendations stated. The registered provider should confirm that these actions have been completed and return the completed QIP to web portal for assessment by the inspector.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards. It is expected that the requirements and recommendations outlined in this report will provide the registered provider with the necessary information to assist them to fulfil their responsibilities and enhance practice within the service.

| Quality Improvement Plan | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Recommendations | | |
| Recommendation 1 | The registered provider should ensure that staff meetings take place regularly and at least quarterly. | |
| Ref: Standard 25.8 | | |
| | Response by registered provider detailing the actions taken: | |
| Stated: First time | The meetings were added into the supervision and appraisal schedule and will take place quarterly. | |
| To be completed by: | | |
| 31 May 2017 | | |
| | | |





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

9th Floor

Riverside Tower 5 Lanyon Place BELFAST

BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500

Fax 028 9051 7501

Email info@rqia.org.uk

Web www.rqia.org.uk

@RQIANews